Weak subintegral closure of ideals and connections with reductions and valuations

Marie A. Vitulli

University of Oregon Eugene, OR 97403

July 19, 2006

M. A. Vitulli Weak subintegral closure of ideals

An extension $A \subseteq B$ is weakly subintegral if

- **1** B is integral over A;
- ② Spec(B) → Spec(A) is a bijection; and
- residue field extensions are purely inseparable.

If rings are reduced and of finite type over $k = \overline{k}$ with char(k) = 0 then (3) follows from (1)-(2). In this case, the residue field extensions are isomorphisms.

- The weak normalization *_BA of A in B is the largest weakly subintegral extension of A in B.
- **2** If *B* is the normalization of a reduced Noetherian ring *A* then we write *A in lieu of *_BA and call *A the *weak* normalization of *A*.

An element $b \in B$ is said to be *weakly subintegral over* A provided that there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in A$ $(1 \le i \le 2q + 1)$ such that b satisfies the equations

$$F_n(T) = T^n + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} a_i T^{n-i} = 0 \quad (q+1 \le n \le 2q+1) \quad (1)$$

Reid, Roberts, and Singh proved that $b \in B$ is weakly subintegral over A if and only if $A \subseteq A[b]$ is a weakly subintegral extension.

NOTE: Let
$$F(T) = F_{2q+1}(T)$$

• $F_{2q}(T) = (2q+1)F'(T);$
• $F_n(T) = (2q+1)\cdots(n+1)F^{(2q+1-n)}(T)$
for $(q+1 \le n \le 2q+1).$

For a rational function h on an algebraic variety V let V_h denote the sets of points where h is regular and let $\Gamma_h \subset V_h \times \mathbb{C}$ denote the graph of $h: V_h \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition (Gaffney-Vitulli)

Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an irreducible algebraic variety. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[V]$ and let $h \in \overline{A}$. Then, $h \in {}^*A \Leftrightarrow$ there exists an affine variety $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ such that:

- the projection onto the first m factors p : Y → C^m is a finite morphism;
- 2 the restriction of p to $p^{-1}(V)$ is a homeomorphism; and

Consider $I \subset A \subset B$.

- We say $b \in B$ is weakly subintegral over I provided that there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in I^i$ $(1 \le i \le 2q + 1)$ such that $b^n + \sum_{i=1}^n {n \choose i} a_i b^{n-i} = 0$ $(q+1 \le n \le 2q + 1)$.
- We let ${}^*_B I = \{ b \in B \mid b \text{ is weakly subintegral over } I \}$ and call ${}^*_B I$ the weak subintegral closure of I in B.
- The *weak subintegral closure of I* is the weak subintegral closure of *I* in *A* and is denoted by **I*.

Fact. ${}^*_B I$ is an ideal of ${}^*_B A$.

Theorem

For $I \subseteq A \subseteq B$, let R denote the Rees ring A[It] and let S = B[t]. Then,

$${}^*_S R = \oplus_{n \ge 0} {}^*_B(I^n) t^n.$$

In particular, ${}_{B}^{*}(I^{n})$ contains each element of B that is weakly subintegral over ${}_{B}^{*}(I^{n})$, for all $n \ge 0$.

Corollary

Let A be a reduced ring with finitely many minimal primes and I a regular ideal of A. Let Q denote the total quotient ring of A and R = A[It]. Then

$$^*R = \oplus_{n \ge 0} ^*_Q(I^n)t^n.$$

Local Characterizations

- Joint work with T. Gaffney
- Assume A is a Noetherian ring.

Notation

For an ideal $I \subseteq A$ and $a \in A$

$$\operatorname{ord}_{I}(a) = \sup\{n \mid a \in I^{n}\}.$$

Next we let

$$\overline{v}_I(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{ord}_I(a^n)}{n}.$$

(the asymptotic Samuel function of I) Let

$$I_{>} = \{a \in A \mid \overline{v}_{I}(a) > 1\}.$$

Example

$$I = (x^{2}, y^{2}) \subset k[x, y]$$

$$v(x^{a}y^{b}) = a + b \quad (v \text{ is the only Rees valuation of } I)$$

$$v(I) = 2$$

$$\overline{v}_{I}(f) = \frac{v(f)}{v(I)} = \frac{v(f)}{2} > 1 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$v(f) > 2 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$v(f) \geq 3$$
Thus $I_{>} = \mathfrak{m}^{3} \subset \overline{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{2}.$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Fact. $I_{>}$ is a subideal of \overline{I} .

Notation

For a non-nilpotent ideal I, let

 $\mathcal{RV}(I) = \{(V_1, \mathfrak{m}_1), \dots, (V_r, \mathfrak{m}_r)\}$: Rees valuation rings of I, and $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$: the corresponding Rees valuations.

For $\mathbb N\text{-}\mathrm{graded}$ ring R let

$$R_+=\oplus_{n>0}\,R_n,$$

 $\operatorname{Proj}(R) = \{ P \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) \mid P \text{ homogeneous, } R_+ \not\subset P \}.$

The following is an algebraic version of a result by LeJeune-Teissier.

Proposition

Let I be a nonzero proper ideal in a reduced local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k), R = A[It], S = \overline{R}$, and $a \in A$. Then,

$$a \in \overline{I} \Leftrightarrow a \in IS_{(q)} \ \forall q \in \operatorname{Proj}(S) \ s.t. \ q \cap A = \mathfrak{m}.$$

There is analog of this for weak subintegral closure.

Proposition

Let I be a nonzero proper ideal in a reduced local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k), R = A[It], S = {}^{*}R$, and $a \in A$. Then,

$$a \in {}^*I \Leftrightarrow a \in IS_{(\mathfrak{q})} \ \forall \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Proj}(S) \ s.t. \ \mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{m}.$$

- Joint work with T. Gaffney
- We still assume A is Noetherian
- If $I = \overline{I}$ then $I_{>}$ is a subideal of I. Recall that

$$\overline{v}_I(a) = \min_j \left\{ \frac{v_j(a)}{v_j(I)} \right\},$$

where $v_j(I) = \min\{v_j(b) \mid b \in I\}$ and the v_j are the Rees valuations of I.

Lemma

Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring A. Then,

$$I_{>} = \bigcap_{i} \mathfrak{m}_{i} I V_{i} \cap A.$$

In particular, $I_{>}$ is an integrally closed ideal.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Notice that $a \in I_{>} \Leftrightarrow v_{j}(a) > v_{j}(I)$ (j = 1, ..., r). Since $(V_{j}, \mathfrak{m}_{j})$ is a dvr the latter is true if and only if $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{j}/V_{j}$ for all $(V_{j}, \mathfrak{m}_{j}) \in \mathcal{RV}(I)$.

The following was first conjectured by D. Lantz in the case of an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal in 2-dimensional regular local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) .

Proposition

Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring A. Then, $I_{>} \subseteq *I$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \in I_{>}$. Then we must have $\operatorname{ord}_{I}(a^{n}) > n$ for all $n \gg 0$. In particular, $a^{n} \in I^{n}$ for all $n \gg 0$. This immediately implies that $a \in {}^{*}I$.

Notation

For an ideal I we write

 $\mathcal{MR}(I)$

for the set of minimal reductions of I.

Corollary

Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring A. Then,

$$I_{>}\subseteq \bigcap_{J\in\mathcal{MR}(I)}^{*}J.$$

Proof. Observe that if J is any reduction of I then $\overline{v}_J = \overline{v}_I$ and hence $J_{>} = I_{>}$. The assertion immediately follows from preceding Proposition.

Theorem

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring of dimension d such that $k = \overline{k}$ and char(k) = 0. Suppose that $I = \overline{I}$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. If J is any minimal reduction of I, then

$$J+I_{>}={}^{*}J.$$

Lemma

Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ and $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ be ideals in a local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) . If $I_1 + JI_2$ is a reduction of I_2 , then I_1 is a reduction of I_2 .

Proposition

Let $J \subseteq I$ be ideals in a Noetherian ring. If $J + (I_{>} \cap I)$ is a reduction of I, then J is a reduction of I.

Corollary

If I is m-primary ideal in the local ring (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) of dimension d, then $I/(I_{>} \cap I)$ is a k-vector space and $\dim_{k}(I/I_{>} \cap I) \geq d$.

/⊒ > < ∃ >

- ∢ ⊒ →

Corollary

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring of dimension d such that $k = \overline{k}$ has characteristic 0. Suppose that $I = \overline{I}$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal.

- If $\dim_k(I/I_>) = d$, then *J = I for every reduction J of I.
- $If \dim_k(I/I_>) > d, then \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{MR}(I)} {}^*J = I_>.$

Proof of 1. Assume dim_k($I/I_>$) = d and let $J \in MR(I)$. Then, $J/(J \cap I_>) = I/I_>$ we must have $J + I_> = I$. Since $J + I_> \subseteq *J$ we also have *J = I.

Proof of 2. Assume $\dim_k(I/I_>) = D > d$. Choose g_1, \ldots, g_D in I whose images form a k-basis for $I/I_{>}$. The set of minimal reductions of (g_1, \ldots, g_D) can be identified with a dense Zariski-open subset of the space of d-planes in $I/I_{>}$, which we identify with affine D-space. Intersecting over all minimal reductions J of (g_1, \ldots, g_D) we get $\cap J/I_{>} = \cap (J + I_{>})/I_{>}$ is the zero subspace. Hence the intersection of the ideals $J + I_{>}$ over all minimal reductions of (g_1, \ldots, g_D) is $I_>$. Since every minimal reduction of (g_1, \ldots, g_D) is a minimal reduction of *I* the result follows.