Recent Applications of Geometric Vertex Decomposition

Sergio Da Silva



Combinatorial Algebra meets Algebraic Combinatorics January 21, 2023

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆恵▶ ◆恵▶ ─ 恵





• A square-free monomial ideal I_{Δ} is GVD iff Δ is vertex decomposable.



• A square-free monomial ideal I_{Δ} is GVD iff Δ is vertex decomposable.

• Geometric vertex decomposition \Leftrightarrow Height 1 elementary *G*-biliaison



- A square-free monomial ideal I_{Δ} is GVD iff Δ is vertex decomposable.
- Geometric vertex decomposition \Leftrightarrow Height 1 elementary G-biliaison
- I homogeneous and GVD \Rightarrow then R/I is Cohen-Macaulay and I glicci



- A square-free monomial ideal I_{Δ} is GVD iff Δ is vertex decomposable.
- Geometric vertex decomposition \Leftrightarrow Height 1 elementary G-biliaison
- I homogeneous and GVD \Rightarrow then R/I is Cohen-Macaulay and I glicci
- We can also build Gröbner bases via linkage

Set R = k[x₁,...,x_n] and fix y = x_i and a lex order with y > x_j.
Let I = ⟨g₁,...,g_m⟩ and write g_i = y^{d_i}q_i + r_i.

Set R = k[x₁,...,x_n] and fix y = x_i and a lex order with y > x_j.
Let I = ⟨g₁,...,g_m⟩ and write g_i = y^{d_i}q_i + r_i.

$$C_{y,I} = \langle q_1, \ldots, q_m \rangle$$
 $N_{y,I} = \langle q_i \mid d_i = 0 \rangle.$

$$C_{y,l} = \langle q_1, \ldots, q_m \rangle$$
 $N_{y,l} = \langle q_i \mid d_i = 0 \rangle.$

Definition

The ideal I is geometrically vertex decomposable if I is unmixed with

$$\operatorname{in}_{y}(I) = \langle y^{d_{1}}q_{1}, \ldots, y^{d_{m}}q_{m} \rangle = C_{y,I} \cap (N_{y,I} + \langle y \rangle),$$

and $C_{y,I}$ and $N_{y,I}$ are GVD in $k[x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n]$.

$$C_{y,l} = \langle q_1, \ldots, q_m \rangle$$
 $N_{y,l} = \langle q_i \mid d_i = 0 \rangle.$

Definition

The ideal I is geometrically vertex decomposable if I is unmixed with

$$\operatorname{in}_{y}(I) = \langle y^{d_{1}}q_{1}, \ldots, y^{d_{m}}q_{m} \rangle = C_{y,I} \cap (N_{y,I} + \langle y \rangle),$$

and $C_{y,I}$ and $N_{y,I}$ are GVD in $k[x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, x_n]$.

• Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph.

Image: Image:

- Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph.
- The *toric ideal* I_G of the graph G is generated by binomials corresponding to closed even walks of G.



- Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph.
- The *toric ideal* I_G of the graph G is generated by binomials corresponding to closed even walks of G.



$$(g, e, f, a) \rightarrow fg - ae \in I_G$$

- Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph.
- The *toric ideal* I_G of the graph G is generated by binomials corresponding to closed even walks of G.



$$(g, e, f, a) \rightarrow fg - ae \in I_G$$

$$({m g},{m e},{m f},{m a},{m g},{m d},{m c},{m b}) \ o \ {m cfg}^2-{m abde}\in {m I}_G$$

• Primitive closed even walks define a universal Gröbner basis for I_G .

- Primitive closed even walks define a universal Gröbner basis for I_G .
- If I_G possesses a square-free degeneration, then R/I_G is both normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

- Primitive closed even walks define a universal Gröbner basis for I_G .
- If I_G possesses a square-free degeneration, then R/I_G is both normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

Example

Consider a lex ordering such that $g > f > \dots$

$$I = I_G = \langle ae - fg, bd - cg, ace - bdf \rangle$$

- Primitive closed even walks define a universal Gröbner basis for I_G .
- If I_G possesses a square-free degeneration, then R/I_G is both normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

Example

Consider a lex ordering such that $g > f > \dots$

$$I = I_G = \langle ae - fg, bd - cg, ace - bdf \rangle$$

$$C_{g,I} = \langle c, f, ace - bdf \rangle = \langle c, f \rangle$$
 $N_{g,I} = \langle ace - bdf \rangle$

- Primitive closed even walks define a universal Gröbner basis for I_G .
- If I_G possesses a square-free degeneration, then R/I_G is both normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

Example

Consider a lex ordering such that $g > f > \dots$

$$I = I_G = \langle ae - fg, bd - cg, ace - bdf \rangle$$

$$C_{g,I} = \langle c, f, ace - bdf \rangle = \langle c, f \rangle$$
 $N_{g,I} = \langle ace - bdf \rangle$

Note that $C_{g,I}$ is already GVD, and setting $J = N_{g,I}$:

$$C_{f,J} = \langle bd \rangle$$
 $N_{f,J} = \langle 0 \rangle$

Joint work with Michael Cummings, Jenna Rajchgot, and Adam Van Tuyl:

•
$$N_{y,I_G} = I_{G\setminus y}$$
 and $C_{y,I_G} = \bigcap_{i=1}^d (M_i + I_{G\setminus E_i})$.

Joint work with Michael Cummings, Jenna Rajchgot, and Adam Van Tuyl:

•
$$N_{y,I_G} = I_{G\setminus y}$$
 and $C_{y,I_G} = \bigcap_{i=1}^d (M_i + I_{G\setminus E_i}).$

- The GVD property respects tensor products and ring extensions.
- Let $G = H \sqcup K$. Then I_G is GVD iff I_H and I_K are.

Joint work with Michael Cummings, Jenna Rajchgot, and Adam Van Tuyl:

•
$$N_{y,I_G} = I_{G\setminus y}$$
 and $C_{y,I_G} = \bigcap_{i=1}^d (M_i + I_{G\setminus E_i}).$

- The GVD property respects tensor products and ring extensions.
- Let $G = H \sqcup K$. Then I_G is GVD iff I_H and I_K are.
- Gluing on even cycles preserves the GVD property.



Families of Graphs

• I_G is GVD if G is a bipartite graph (building on proof of Constantinescu and Gorla).

Families of Graphs

- I_G is GVD if G is a bipartite graph (building on proof of Constantinescu and Gorla).
- If primitive closed even walks of I_G are quadratic, then I_G is GVD with respect to any <.



Families of Graphs

- I_G is GVD if G is a bipartite graph (building on proof of Constantinescu and Gorla).
- If primitive closed even walks of I_G are quadratic, then I_G is GVD with respect to any <.



• Let G be a gap-free graph such that the graph complement \overline{G} is not gap-free. Then I_G is glicci.



Goal: Classify which toric ideals of graphs are GVD.

Conjecture

Let G be a finite simple graph with toric ideal I_G . If $in_{<}(I_G)$ is square-free with respect to a lex ordering <, then I_G is GVD.



Height of I_G

In joint work with Jenna Rajchgot and Emma Naguit:

If I_G is a GVD, then height $(I_G) = \#$ of "boundary" non-degenerate one-step GVDs of I_G .

In joint work with Jenna Rajchgot and Emma Naguit:

If I_G is a GVD, then height $(I_G) = \#$ of "boundary" non-degenerate one-step GVDs of I_G .

Provides an alternate proof that

$$\operatorname{height}(I_G) = \begin{cases} |E| - |V| & \text{if } G \text{ is not bipartite} \\ |E| - |V| + 1 & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite} \end{cases}$$

In joint work with Jenna Rajchgot and Emma Naguit:

If I_G is a GVD, then height $(I_G) = \#$ of "boundary" non-degenerate one-step GVDs of I_G .

Provides an alternate proof that

$$\operatorname{height}(I_G) = \begin{cases} |E| - |V| & \text{if } G \text{ is not bipartite} \\ |E| - |V| + 1 & \text{if } G \text{ is bipartite} \end{cases}$$

Conjecture

Given any toric ideal I_G of a graph G, there exists at least one variable y and some order < for which I_G is square-free in y. That is, there is some < where $in_<(I_G)$ is square-free in y.

What about the graph G?

• Suppose that I_G is GVD. Consider the graph deletions

 $G \setminus y_1, G \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}, \ldots$

corresponding to variables from GVD.

• We can detect the first instance when a graph deletion is bipartite.

What about the graph G?

• Suppose that I_G is GVD. Consider the graph deletions

 $G \setminus y_1, G \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}, \ldots$

corresponding to variables from GVD.

• We can detect the first instance when a graph deletion is bipartite.

Theorem

Let G be a finite simple graph which is not bipartite such that I_G is GVD. Suppose y defines a degenerate GVD of I_G and is not a bridge of G. Then $G \setminus y$ is bipartite.



Geometric Vertex Decomposition by Substitution

What about the non-square-free case?



$$I_{G} = \langle e_{1}e_{4}^{2}e_{6}e_{7} - e_{2}e_{3}e_{5}^{2}e_{8} \rangle$$

Geometric Vertex Decomposition by Substitution

What about the non-square-free case?



$$I_{G} = \langle e_{1}e_{4}^{2}e_{6}e_{7} - e_{2}e_{3}e_{5}^{2}e_{8} \rangle$$

Here I_G is not GVD. Make the substitution $y = e_4^2$:

$$\langle ye_1e_6e_7 - e_2e_3e_5^2e_8 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}[e_1, e_2, e_3, y, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8]$$

This is now GVD, but not the toric ideal of a graph.

Associated Graph

In joint work with Agnieszka Nachman:

Goal 1: Formalize how to associate graphs after substituting:



$$I_G = \langle e_1 e_4 \tilde{e_4} e_6 e_7 - e_2 e_3 e_5^2 e_8 \rangle$$

Associated Graph

In joint work with Agnieszka Nachman:

Goal 1: Formalize how to associate graphs after substituting:



$$I_G = \langle e_1 e_4 \tilde{e_4} e_6 e_7 - e_2 e_3 e_5^2 e_8 \rangle$$

Setting $\tilde{e_4} = 1$ gives the ideal from the previous slide.

CAAC 2023

Goal 2: Find families of graphs which are not GVD, but are after substitution.



Goal 2: Find families of graphs which are not GVD, but are after substitution.



Conjecture

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs which are not bipartite, and suppose that I_{G_1} and I_{G_2} are GVD. Construct a new graph H by joining an odd cycle of G_1 to an odd cycle of G_2 by a path of length > 2. Then I_H is not GVD, but is up to substitution.

Goal 2: Find families of graphs which are not GVD, but are after substitution.



Conjecture

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs which are not bipartite, and suppose that I_{G_1} and I_{G_2} are GVD. Construct a new graph H by joining an odd cycle of G_1 to an odd cycle of G_2 by a path of length > 2. Then I_H is not GVD, but is up to substitution.

Result: Conjecture holds when G_1 and G_2 are bipartite with exactly one odd cycle glued on.

CAAC 2023

Goal 3: Find graph operations which preserve the list of primitive closed even walks.



Goal 3: Find graph operations which preserve the list of primitive closed even walks.



 $\langle ace - bdf, ae - fg, bd - cg \rangle \longrightarrow \langle ac - bd, a - g, bd - cg \rangle$

Theorem

Choose a vertex v of G and contract all edges e_1, \ldots, e_k incident to v. The set of primitive closed even walks of the contracted graph G_v is equal to the set of primitive closed even walks of G with $e_1 = \cdots = e_k = 1$.

Theorem

Choose a vertex v of G and contract all edges e_1, \ldots, e_k incident to v. The set of primitive closed even walks of the contracted graph G_v is equal to the set of primitive closed even walks of G with $e_1 = \cdots = e_k = 1$.

Example

Havel-Hakimi theorem can be used to compute when a given list of non-negative integers is the degree sequence of a graph.

Question: When is a homogeneous ideal the toric ideal of some graph G?

Apply the theorem to all possible subsets of variables set to 1. If we cannot determine whether the resulting ideal is the toric ideal of graph, continue the process.

A B A B
 A B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- With M. Harada, regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in the w₀-chart are GVD.
- With M.Cummings, M. Harada, and J. Rajchgot, regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in each Schubert cell are GVD. Provides a computational proof that regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties have an affine paving.
- M. Cummings and A. Van Tuyl developed a Macaulay2 package for computing GVDs and related quantities.

Going Forward: There is a real need to optimize the general algorithm to be able to compute examples quickly.

Thank you!

< E

æ