

**Math 4680/5680, Topics in Logic and Computation, Winter 2017**  
**Handout 2: Natural Deduction for Quantifiers**

**Problems.**

**Problem 1** Prove the following in natural deduction:

- (a)  $Q \rightarrow \forall x P(x) \equiv \forall x (Q \rightarrow P(x))$  — assume that  $x$  does not occur in  $Q$ .
- (b)  $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall y \neg P(y)$ .
- (c)  $\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) \equiv \forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ .
- (d)  $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \vdash \forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .
- (e)  $\exists x \forall y P(x, y) \vdash \forall y \exists x P(x, y)$ .
- (f)  $\exists x \forall y P(x, y) \vdash \exists z P(z, z)$ .
- (g)  $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \equiv \exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .
- (h)  $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$ .
- (i)  $\exists x P(x, x) \vdash \exists y \exists z P(y, z)$ .
- (j)  $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \vdash \exists x \neg B(x) \rightarrow \exists x \neg A(x)$ .
- (k)  $\neg \exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \equiv \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow \neg B(x))$ .
- (l)  $\exists x \forall y P(x, y, x) \vdash \exists x \forall y \exists z P(x, y, z)$ .
- (m)  $\vdash \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow \exists y P(y))$ .
- (n)  $\vdash \forall x (\forall y P(y) \rightarrow P(x))$ .
- (o)  $\forall x P(x) \vdash \exists x P(x)$ .
- (p)  $\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)), \forall y (B(y) \rightarrow C(y)) \vdash \forall z (A(z) \rightarrow C(z))$ .
- (q)  $\exists x A(x), \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \vdash \exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x))$ .
- (r)  $\forall x A(x), \exists x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \vdash \exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x))$ .
- (s)  $\neg \exists x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \equiv \forall x \neg A(x) \wedge \forall x \neg B(x)$ .
- (t)  $\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \forall y Q(y) \equiv \forall x \forall y (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y))$ .

**Problem 2** Prove the following by natural deduction. Note: each of these problems requires the  $\neg\neg$ -elimination rule.

- (u)  $Q \rightarrow \exists x P(x) \equiv \exists x (Q \rightarrow P(x))$  — assume that  $x$  does not occur in  $Q$ .
- (v)  $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists y \neg P(y)$ .
- (w)  $\exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \equiv \neg \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow \neg B(x))$ .
- (x)  $\vdash \exists x (\exists y P(y) \rightarrow P(x))$ .
- (y)  $\neg \forall x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg A(x) \vee \exists x \neg B(x)$ .
- (z)  $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \exists y Q(y) \equiv \exists x \exists y (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y))$ .

**Problem 3** Prove the equivalences in Problem 1 (a), (b), (c), (g), (k), (s), (t) and Problem 2 (u), (v), (w), (y), (z) by the laws of statement algebra.

**Problem 4** In Problem 1 (d), (e), (f), (h), (i), (j), (l), (o), (p), (q), (r), prove that the converse direction does not hold by giving a counterexample.