

Lecture 10

Curl

R. Milson
Math 2002, Winter 2020

Outline

- ▶ Text: sections 16.3, 16.5
- ▶ 2D curl
- ▶ Irrotational 2D vector fields
- ▶ Examples
- ▶ 3D curl
- ▶ Vorticity and fluid flow
- ▶ Example

2D Curl

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{F} = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j}$ be a 2D vector field. The quantity $Q_x - P_y$ is called the curl of \mathbf{F} .
- ▶ We may therefore restate Green's Theorem as

$$\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_D \text{curl } \mathbf{F} \, dA.$$

- ▶ Physically, circulation is the amount of “pushing” force along a path. Curl is the amount of “twisting” force present at any given point, or the circulation when we shrink the path down to a single point.
- ▶ Thus, intuitively, Green's Theorem asserts that the total circulation of vector field \mathbf{F} around a closed curve C is the total amount of curl (twisting) produced by \mathbf{F} at the points located within C .

Irrotational 2D vector fields

- ▶ A 2D vector field $\mathbf{F} = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j}$ has zero curl if $Q_x = P_y$. Such a vector field is called **irrotational**.
- ▶ **Theorem.** A conservative vector field is necessarily irrotational.
- ▶ Proof. A conservative v.f. has the form $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f = f_x\mathbf{i} + f_y\mathbf{j}$. Calculating the curl gives $\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = f_{xy} - f_{yx} = 0$.
- ▶ **Theorem.** Let \mathbf{F} be an irrotational 2D vector field. If \mathbf{F} is defined on a simply connected domain, then \mathbf{F} is conservative.
- ▶ Proof. Let $C \subset \text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$ be a simple closed curve, and let D be the interior of C . Since $\text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$ is simply connected, we have $D \subset \text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$. Hence, $Q_x = P_y$ for all points in D . Hence, by Green's Theorem, $\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$. In the preceding lecture, we showed that if the circulation of \mathbf{F} around every simple closed curve is zero, then \mathbf{F} must be conservative.
- ▶ Consequently, if \mathbf{F} is not irrotational, then the integral $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is **path dependent**. The converse is not true; the condition $Q_x = P_y$ **does not guarantee** path independence if $\text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$ is not simply connected.

Example 1

- ▶ Claim: the vector field $\mathbf{F} = (x - y)\mathbf{i} + (x - 2)\mathbf{j}$ is not conservative.
- ▶ Here $P = x - y$, $Q = x - 2$ and hence $P_y = -1$, $Q_x = 1$ are not equal. Therefore \mathbf{F} is not irrotational, and therefore not conservative.
- ▶ We can also show that \mathbf{F} fails to be conservative by demonstrating that $I_C = \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is path dependent.
- ▶ Consider the curve C_1 defined by $(x, y) = (t, t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

$$I_{C_1} = \int_0^1 0 dt + (t - 2) dt = t^2 - 2t \Big|_0^1 = -1.$$

- ▶ Consider the curve C_2 defined by $(x, y) = (t, t^2)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{C_2} &= \int_0^1 (t - t^2) dt + \int_0^1 (t - 2) 2t dt = \int_0^1 -2 + 2t - t^2 \\ &= \left[-2t + t^2 - \frac{t^3}{3} \right]_0^1 = -2 + 1 - \frac{1}{3} = -\frac{4}{3} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Both curves have endpoints $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. However $I_{C_1} \neq I_{C_2}$.

Example 2

- ▶ Claim: the vector field $\mathbf{F} = (3 + 2xy)\mathbf{i} + (x^2 - 3y^2)\mathbf{j}$ is conservative.
- ▶ Here $P = 3 + 2xy$, $Q = x^2 - 3y^2$. Observe that $Q_x = 2x$, $P_y = 2x$ are equal. Hence, \mathbf{F} is irrotational.
- ▶ There are no singularities, so $\text{dom}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected. Therefore, \mathbf{F} is conservative.
- ▶ We will now determine the $f(x, y)$ such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$.
- ▶ Since $P = f_x$ we begin by integrating $P(x, y)$ with respect to x . This allows us to determine $f(x, y) \pm$ a function of y .

$$f(x, y) = \int^x (3 + 2xy) dx = 3x + x^2y + g(y).$$

- ▶ It follows that $f_y = 2x^2 + g'(y)$. Hence,

$$g'(y) = -3y^2, \quad g(y) = -y^3 + C.$$

- ▶ Therefore, $f(x, y) = 3x^2 + 2x^2y - y^3 + C$, where C is a constant of integration.

Example 2 cont.

- ▶ Evaluate $I_C = \int_C (3 + 2xy)dx + (x^2 - 3y^2)dy$ where C is the curve given by $\mathbf{r}(t) = e^t \sin(t)\mathbf{i} + e^t \cos(t)\mathbf{j}$, $0 \leq t \leq \pi$
- ▶ In the preceding slide we showed the corresponding vector field $\mathbf{F} = (3 + 2xy)\mathbf{i} + (x^2 - 3y^2)\mathbf{j}$ is conservative with $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ where $f(x, y) = 3x^2 + 2x^2y - y^3 + C$.
- ▶ Observe that $\mathbf{r}(0) = (0, 1)$, $\mathbf{r}(\pi) = (0, -e^\pi)$.
- ▶ Thus, by the fundamental theorem of line integrals,

$$I_C = f(0, -e^\pi) - f(0, 1) = e^{3\pi} + C - (-1 + C) = 1 + e^{3\pi}.$$

Example 3.

- ▶ Consider $I = \int \frac{-ydx + xdy}{x^2 + y^2}$
- ▶ Claim: the corresponding vector field is irrotational.

$$P_y = \frac{-(x^2 + y^2) + 2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{y^2 - x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$Q_x = \frac{(x^2 + y^2) - 2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = P_y$$

- ▶ Claim: the above integral is path dependent.
- ▶ Let C be the unit circle: $x = \cos t$, $y = \sin t$, $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$.
- ▶ Thus, $dx = -\sin t dt$, $dy = \cos t dt$.

$$\frac{-ydx + xdy}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{\sin^2 t dt + \cos^2 t dt}{\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t} = dt, \quad I_C = \int_0^{2\pi} dt = 2\pi \neq 0.$$

Example 3 cont.

- ▶ On the previous slide we showed that the vector field

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{-y\mathbf{i}}{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{x\mathbf{j}}{x^2 + y^2}$$

is path dependent, despite being irrotational.

- ▶ Let $f(x, y) = \tan^{-1}(y/x)$, $x > 0$ and observe that

$$f_x = \frac{1}{1 + y^2/x^2} \left(-\frac{y}{x^2} \right) = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$f_y = \frac{1}{1 + y^2/x^2} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$.

- ▶ We just showed that $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is path dependent, and therefore not conservative. However, there is no contradiction, because $f(x, y)$ cannot be continuously defined on the same domain as $\mathbf{F}(x, y)$.
- ▶ Here $\text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$ is not simply connected, because it does not include $(0, 0)$. This example illustrates that an irrotational vector field has singularities, then $\text{dom}(\mathbf{F})$ is not simply connected. Therefore, such an \mathbf{F} may fail to be conservative.

3D Curl

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = P(x, y, z)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y, z)\mathbf{j} + R(x, y, z)\mathbf{k}$ be a 3D vector field. We define

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = (R_y - Q_z)\mathbf{i} + (P_z - R_x)\mathbf{j} + (Q_x - P_y)\mathbf{k}$$

- ▶ Recall the definition of the cross product. For 3D vectors $\mathbf{u} = u_1\mathbf{i} + u_2\mathbf{j} + u_3\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{v} = v_1\mathbf{i} + v_2\mathbf{j} + v_3\mathbf{k}$ we have

$$\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

- ▶ Its convenient to express the gradient operator as $\nabla = D_x\mathbf{i} + D_y\mathbf{j} + D_z\mathbf{k}$, so that $\nabla f = f_x\mathbf{i} + f_y\mathbf{j} + f_z\mathbf{k}$.
- ▶ We can now express the curl operator as

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix},$$

which agrees with our original definition.

2D curl vs 3D curl

- ▶ A 2D vector field may always be regarded as a 3D vector field by writing $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j}$.
- ▶ Applying the definition of curl, we have

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ P & Q & 0 \end{vmatrix} = (Q_x - P_y)\mathbf{k}$$

- ▶ The name curl comes from the physics of fluid flow. The flow of a liquid in a shallow bed is governed by a 2D vector field $P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$ which represents the velocity of the fluid.
- ▶ Imagine putting a small vertically oriented turbine at each point of the flow. A small difference in the flow at different points of the turbine will cause it to rotate with angular velocity $Q_x - P_y$ and with an axis of rotation parallel to \mathbf{k} .
- ▶ Thus 2D curl $Q_x - P_y$ is merely the magnitude of the 3D curl $(Q_x - P_y)\mathbf{k}$; the two concepts are largely equivalent.

Conservative implies irrotational

- ▶ **Theorem 1.** Let $f = f(x, y, z)$ be a function of 3 variables. Then, $\text{curl } \nabla f = 0$. In other words, a conservative v.f. is irrotational.
- ▶ It is tempting to rewrite the above identity as $\nabla \times \nabla f$ and argue that $\nabla \times \nabla = 0$. While, this is a useful mnemonic, it is not a valid proof.
- ▶ Proof. We apply the definition of ∇f and of curl to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{curl } \nabla f &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ f_x & f_y & f_z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (f_{zy} - f_{yz})\mathbf{i} + (f_{xz} - f_{zx})\mathbf{j} + (f_{yx} - f_{xy})\mathbf{k} = 0\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Theorem 1 provides test for conservative vector fields, in the sense that if $\text{curl } \mathbf{F} \neq 0$, then \mathbf{F} is not conservative. Under certain conditions, it is possible to strengthen the test for a conservative vector field.
- ▶ **Theorem 2.** Suppose that \mathbf{F} is irrotational; i.e., $\text{curl } \mathbf{F} = 0$. Also suppose that \mathbf{F} and the partial derivatives are defined on all of \mathbb{R}^3 , without singularities. Then, \mathbf{F} is conservative; i.e. $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ for some $f(x, y, z)$.

Additional remarks

- ▶ In 3D space, the interpretation of curl is similar to the 2D interpretation. The difference is that instead of a vertically oriented turbine we imagine a small sphere that is free to rotate about a fixed point.
- ▶ A non-uniform flow will cause the sphere to spin with the direction of $\text{curl } F$ giving the axis of rotation and with the magnitude of $\text{curl } F$ giving angular velocity. Follow this [curl idea link](#) for additional explanations and visualizations.
- ▶ To put it another way, curl is the circulation per unit area, circulation density, or rate of rotation — the amount of twisting at a single point. In 3D space that circulation depends on orientation of the plane. and that's why 3D curl is a vector quantity. The following [article](#) elaborates on the physical intuition behind 2D and 3D curl.

Example 4

- ▶ Calculate the curl of $\mathbf{F} = xz\mathbf{i} + xyz\mathbf{j} - y^2\mathbf{k}$.
- ▶ We have

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ xz & xyz & -y^2 \end{vmatrix} = (-xy - 2y)\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j} + yz\mathbf{k}.$$

- ▶ Since the given vector field has non-vanishing curl, it is not conservative.

Example 5

- ▶ Show that $\mathbf{F} = y^2z^3\mathbf{i} + 2xyz^3\mathbf{j} + 3xy^2z^2\mathbf{k}$ is a conservative v.f.
- ▶ There are no singularities. Enough to establish that \mathbf{F} is irrotational.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \mathbf{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ D_x & D_y & D_z \\ y^2z^3 & 2xyz^3 & 3xy^2z^2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (6xyz^2 - 6xyz^2)\mathbf{i} + (3y^2z^2 - 3y^2z^2)\mathbf{j} + (2yz^3 - 2yz^3)\mathbf{k} = 0\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Find the potential function $f(x, y, z)$ such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$.
- ▶ Since $f_x = P, f_y = Q, f_z = R$, we have

$$f = \int y^2z^3 dx = xy^2z^3 + g(y, z)$$

- ▶ We now apply the condition $f_y = Q$ to obtain

$$f_y = 2xyz^3 + g_y = 2xyz^3.$$

Hence, $g_y = 0$ and $g(y, z) = h(z)$ is a function of z only.

- ▶ Finally, we apply the condition $f_z = R$ to obtain

$$f_z = 3xy^2z^2 + h'(z) = 3xy^2z^2.$$

Hence, $h'(z) = 0$ and therefore $f = xy^2z^3 + C$, where C is a constant of integration.