

and then if

$$(21a) \quad T_k(x) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} t_{n,k} x^{n-k}; \quad T(x) = T_0(x),$$

$$(21b) \quad T_k(x) = [T(x)]^{k+1}.$$

Conversely, given a triangular array satisfying (21), we may recover a sequence $\{a_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) via (20). What are the sequences arising in this way in the partition problems considered above [see (4, 12, 16)]?

REFERENCES

1. D. S. Hale. "Peter's Number Triangle." *Mathematics Teaching* 73 (1975):32.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th ed. O.U.P., 1960.
3. I. F. Carson and F. H. C. Oates. "Letters." *Mathematics Teaching* 74 (1976):4-5.
4. L. W. Shapiro. "A Catalan Triangle." *Discrete Math.* 14 (1976):83-90.

BREAK-UP OF INTEGERS AND BRACKET FUNCTIONS IN TERMS OF BRACKET FUNCTIONS

H. N. MALIK

Ahmadya Secondary School, Gomoa, Postin, Ghana
and

A. QADIR

Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

We have presented a general formula for the break-up of integers into bracket functions, and some formulas for the break-up of bracket functions into other bracket functions.

It is interesting to find break-ups of variable integers into a sum of bracket functions involving the integer we want to break up and other integers. Two well-known examples of this are

$$(1) \quad x = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[\frac{x+i}{m} \right] \quad \text{integers } m > 0;$$

$$(2) \quad x = \left[\frac{(p+1)x}{2p+1} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^p \left[\frac{x+2i}{2p+1} \right] \quad \text{integers } p > 0.$$

Here we shall find a general break-up of the variable integer into bracket functions involving two other integers (equation 12). The above-mentioned break-ups are special cases of this more general formula.

To derive the general formula, we shall need to use the h -function (defined in [1]) defined by

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} h(x, m) = 1 & \text{if } m|x \\ & = 0 & \text{if } m \nmid x \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that it satisfies the following properties (which we shall use later);

$$(4) \quad \{h(x, m)\}^j = h(x, m) \quad \text{integers } j > 0;$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m h(x+j, m) = 1;$$

$$(6) \quad h(x, m_1)h(x, m_2) = h(x, m) \quad \text{where } m = (m_1, m_2);$$

$$(7) \quad h(x + mk, m) = h(x, m) \quad \text{integers } k;$$

$$(8) \quad h(nx, m) = h(x, m) \quad \text{if } \langle n, m \rangle = 1.$$

Now, considering the difference operator, Δ , acting on the bracket function $\left[\frac{x-1}{m} \right]$:

$$\Delta \left[\frac{x-1}{m} \right] = \left[\frac{x}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{x-1}{m} \right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m/x \\ 0 & \text{if } m \nmid x \end{cases}$$

we see that we can put

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left[\frac{x-1}{m} \right] &= h(x, m) \\ (9) \quad \Delta^{-1} h(x, m) &= \left[\frac{x-1}{m} \right] + c_1 \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is an arbitrary constant. Applying the inverse difference operator to equation (5), we obtain

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^m \Delta^{-1} h(x+j, m) + c_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{x+j-1}{m} \right] + c_3.$$

To evaluate the constant here, take $x = 1$. Clearly the lefthand side is equal to the bracket function. Thus, c_3 is zero.

$$\therefore x = \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{x+j-1}{m} \right],$$

which is the same as equation (1).

To derive the general formula, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n h(nx+y+r, m) &= \left| \Delta^{-1} h(nx+y+r, m) \right|_{r=1}^{n+1} \\ &= \left[\frac{nx+y+r-1}{m} \right] - \left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] \\ &= \Delta \left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$(10) \quad \therefore \left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta^{-1} h(nx+y+r, m) + c.$$

We restrict our attention to relatively prime integers n and m . There must, then, exist two integers a and b such that

$$an + bm = 1$$

$$\therefore \left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta^{-1} h(nx + (an + bm)(y+r)m) + c.$$

Using equation (7), we now get

$$\left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta^{-1} h(nx + na(y+r), m) + c.$$

As $\langle n, m \rangle = 1$, using equation (8) gives

$$\left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^n \Delta^{-1} h(x + a(y+r), m) + c = \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{x + a(y+r) - 1}{m} \right] + c.$$

Putting $x = 0$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \left[\frac{y}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{a(y+r) - 1}{m} \right] \\ (11) \quad \therefore \left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] &= \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{x + a(y+r) - 1}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{a(y+r) - 1}{m} \right] + \left[\frac{y}{m} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We now further restrict our attention to the case $n < m$. We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} n &= pq + 1 \\ m &= pq + p + 1 \end{aligned}$$

as these numbers are relatively prime (as can be easily checked). Then, taking $y = 0$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{pq+1} \left[\frac{x+ra-1}{pq+p+1} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{pq+1} \left[\frac{ra-1}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Now a solution to the constraint on a and b with the above values of m and n is

$$a = q + 1, \quad b = -q.$$

Thus we get

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{pq+1} \left[\frac{x+r(q+1)-1}{pq+p+1} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{pq+1} \left[\frac{r(q+1)-1}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

To obtain the required formula, we shall break up the summation into the ranges $r = 1, \dots, p$; $r = p+1, \dots, 2p$; $r = p(q-1)+1, \dots, pq$, and the last term $r = pq+1$. This may be written as a double summation over i and j by writing $r = pj+i+1$ where j goes from 0 to $q-1$ and i from 0 to $p-1$, apart from the last term. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{x+(pj+i+1)(q+1)-1}{pq+p+1} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\frac{(pj+i+1)(q+1)-1}{pq+p+1} \right] \right\} + \left[\frac{x}{pq+p+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

as the last term ($r = pq+1$) is just

$$\left[\frac{x+q(pq+p+1)}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{q(pq+p+1)}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Now we have

$$(pj+i+1)(q+1)-1 = j(pq+p+1) + i(q+1) + q - j.$$

Cancelling the multiples of $pq+p+1$ in both bracket functions, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{x+i(q+1)+q-j}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{i(q+1)+q-j}{pq+p+1} \right] \right\} + \left[\frac{x}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Inverting the order of summation of j , we can replace $q-j$ by $j+1$.

$$\therefore \left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \left[\frac{x+i(q+1)+j+1}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{i(q+1)+j+1}{pq+p+1} \right] \right\} - \left[\frac{x}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Now the second bracket function on the righthand side is zero, as the maximum value of the numerator is $pq+p-1$. Changing the range of summation of j from 0 to $q-1$ to 1 to q and replacing j in the bracket function by $j-1$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i+j}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{x}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Adding and subtracting the term for $j = 0$,

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^q \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i+j}{pq+p+1} \right] - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{x}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Now the $i = 0$ term in the second bracket on the righthand side cancels the last term. We can now again replace the double summation over i and j by a summation over t from 0 to $pq+p-1$. Adding and subtracting the term for $pq+p$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{pq+p} \left[\frac{x+t}{pq+p+1} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i}{pq+p+1} \right] - \left[\frac{x+pq+1}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

Using equation (1) for the first bracket function on the righthand side and transposing, we finally obtain

$$x = \left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i}{pq+p+1} \right] + \left[\frac{x+(q+1)p}{pq+p+1} \right]$$

$$(12) \quad \therefore x = \left[\frac{(pq+1)x}{pq+p+1} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^p \left[\frac{x+(q+1)i}{pq+p+1} \right].$$

This is the general formula which we were searching for.

The special case $q = 0$ in equation (12) gives equation (1). The case $q = 1$ in equation (12) gives equation (2). Similarly, $q = 2$ gives us

$$(13) \quad x = \left[\frac{(2p+1)x}{3p+1} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^p \left[\frac{x+3i}{3p+1} \right].$$

which is a new break-up of the type in equation (2). We can generate any number of such series. Separately, by choosing the special values of p we generate other break-ups. Thus, for $p = 1$

$$(14) \quad x = \left[\frac{rx}{r+1} \right] + \left[\frac{x+r}{r+1} \right]$$

(where r is $q+1$). We can in fact take $r \geq 0$. The next break-up in the series is, for $p = 2$,

$$(15) \quad x = \left[\frac{(2q+1)x}{2q+3} \right] + \left[\frac{x+q+1}{2q+3} \right] + \left[\frac{x+2q+2}{2q+3} \right].$$

Again we can generate any number of such break-ups. It is obvious that equation (12) provides a considerable generalization of equations (1) and (2).

We are able to obtain an identity involving bracket functions by using equation (11). It is clearly going to be equivalent to take $y = x$ and to take $y = 0$ and replace n by $n+1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{x+a(x+r)-1}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{a(x+r)-1}{m} \right] + \left[\frac{x}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{x+ar-1}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{ar-1}{m} \right]$$

$$(16) \quad \therefore \left[\frac{x}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{x+ar-1}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{x+a(x+r)-1}{m} \right] + \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{ax+ar-1}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\frac{ar-1}{m} \right].$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge their indebtedness to Professor M. A. Rashid, who suggested the method used (of taking relatively prime numbers) instead of that indicated in the Note (below), thus making the derivation more elegant.

NOTE

We can also derive the result using Euler's ϕ -function, by using

$$\left[\frac{nx+y}{m} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{x+P_r}{m} \right] - \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\frac{P_r}{m} \right], \text{ where } P_r = \frac{(n-y-r)(m^{\phi(r)}-1)}{n}.$$

REFERENCE

1. H. N. Malik and A. Qadir. "Solution of Pseudo Periodic Difference Equations." This work, pp. 179-186, below.
