SOME PERIODICITIES IN THE CONTINUED FRACTION EXPANSIONS OF FIBONACCI AND LUCAS DIRICHLET SERIES

CHRIS K. CALDWELL AND TAKAO KOMATSU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the Fibonacci Zeta functions $\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-s}$ and the Lucas Zeta functions $\zeta_L(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^{-s}$. The sequences $\{A_\nu\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_\nu\}_{\nu\geq 0}$, which are derived from $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} F_{\nu}^{-s} = A_n/B_n$, satisfy certain recurrence formulas. We examine some properties of the periodicities of A_n and B_n . For example, let m and k be positive integers. If $n \geq mk$, then $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{F_k^m}$ (with a similar result holding for A_n). The power of 2 which divides B_n is $\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{n}{3\cdot 2^i} \rfloor$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the so-called Fibonacci and Lucas Zeta functions:

$$\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n^s}, \qquad \zeta_L(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_n^s}.$$

In [13] the analytic continuation of these series is discussed. In [4] it is shown that the numbers

 $\zeta_F(2), \zeta_F(4), \zeta_F(6)$ (respectively, $\zeta_L(2), \zeta_L(4), \zeta_L(6)$)

are algebraically independent, and that each of

 $\zeta_F(2s)$ (respectively, $\zeta_L(2s)$) $(s = 4, 5, 6, \dots)$

may be written as a rational (respectively, algebraic) function of these three numbers over \mathbb{Q} , e.g.

$$\zeta_F(8) - \frac{15}{14}\zeta_F(4) = \frac{1}{378(4u+5)^2} \Big(256u^6 - 3456u^5 + 2880u^4 + 1792u^3v - 11100u^3 + 20160u^2v - 10125u^2 + 7560uv + 3136v^2 - 1050v \Big),$$

where $u = \zeta_F(2)$ and $v = \zeta_F(6)$. Similar results are obtained in [4] for the alternating sums

$$\zeta_F^*(2s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{F_n^{2s}} \quad \left(\text{respectively}, \zeta_L^*(2s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{L_n^{2s}} \right) \quad (s = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

From the main theorem in [5] it follows that for any positive distinct integers s_1, s_2, s_3 the numbers $\zeta_F(2s_1)$, $\zeta_F(2s_2)$, and $\zeta_F(2s_3)$ are algebraically independent if and only if at least one of s_1, s_2, s_3 is even. Other types of algebraic independence, including the functions

FEBRUARY 2010

The second author was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 18540006), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n-1}^s}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_{2n}^s}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_{2n-1}^s}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{L_{2n}^s}$$

are discussed in [9].

On the other hand, in [8] Fibonacci zeta functions and Lucas zeta functions including

 $\zeta_F(1), \zeta_F(2), \zeta_F(3), \zeta_F^*(1), \zeta_L(1), \zeta_L(2), \zeta_L^*(1)$

are expanded as non-regular continued fractions whose components are Fibonacci or Lucas numbers. For example, [8, Theorem 1] says

Lemma 1.1. We have

$$\zeta_F(1) = \frac{1}{F_2 - \frac{F_1^2}{F_3 - \frac{F_2^2}{F_4 - \frac{F_3^2}{\cdots} - \frac{F_3^2}{F_{n+1} - \cdots}}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{1}{F_{\nu}} = \frac{A_n}{B_n},$$

where $\{A_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ are determined by the recurrence formulas:

$$A_{\nu} = F_{\nu+1}A_{\nu-1} - F_{\nu-1}^{2}A_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad A_{0} = 0, \qquad A_{1} = 1;$$

$$B_{\nu} = F_{\nu+1}B_{\nu-1} - F_{\nu-1}^{2}B_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad B_{0} = 1, \qquad B_{1} = 1.$$

Similar continued fraction expansions with corresponding recurrence relations hold for $\zeta_F(2)$, $\zeta_F(3)$, $\zeta_F^*(1)$, $\zeta_L(1)$, $\zeta_L(2)$, $\zeta_L^*(1)$ and related Fibonacci and Lucas Dirichlet series [8, Table 1]. In [8, Theorem 5.1] the periodicity of the sequences $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{B_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ modulo t for any integer $t \geq 2$ is considered using a result recently obtained in [7].

Lemma 1.2. Let $t \ge 2$ be any integer, and let $\{Y_n\}_{n\ge 0}$ be a sequence of integers satisfying the recurrence relation

$$Y_{\nu} = T(\nu)Y_{\nu-1} + U(\nu)Y_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2)$$

with sequences $\{T(\nu)\}_{\nu\geq 2}$ and $\{U(\nu)\}_{\nu\geq 2}$ of integers, which are periodic modulo t. Then the sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is ultimately periodic modulo t. If $U(\nu) = 1$ for all $\nu \geq 2$, then the sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is periodic modulo t.

By applying this lemma to the recurrence formulas for A_n and B_n in [8, Table 1], the following result is obtained in [8, Theorem 5.2].

Lemma 1.3. For any integer $t \ge 2$, the sequences $(A_n)_{n\ge 0}$ and $(B_n)_{n\ge 0}$ are ultimately periodic modulo t.

However, the exact period has not been known. In this paper we discuss the details about periodicity. For example, let m and k be positive integers. If $n \ge mk$, then $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{F_k^m}$ (with a similar result holding for A_n). The power of 2 which divides B_n is $\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{n}{3 \cdot 2^i} \rfloor$.

VOLUME 48, NUMBER 1

2. FIBONACCI-TYPE ZETA FUNCTIONS

Consider Fibonacci-type numbers $\{G_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by

$$G_n = G_{n-1} + G_{n-2} \quad (n \ge 2)$$

with positive integral initial values G_1 and G_2 . Let

$$\zeta_G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{G_n^s}, \qquad \zeta_G^*(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{G_n^s}.$$

Continued fraction expansions of $\zeta_G(s)$ and $\zeta_G^*(s)$ are obtained in [8, Lemma 2, Lemma 3]. Namely,

$$\zeta_G(s) = \frac{1}{G_1^s - \frac{G_1^{2s}}{G_1^s + G_2^s - \frac{G_2^{2s}}{G_2^s + G_3^s - \frac{G_3^{2s}}{G_3^s + G_4^s - \dots - \frac{G_{n-1}^{2s}}{G_{n-1}^s + G_n^s - \dots}}}$$

and

$$\zeta_{G}^{*}(s) = \frac{1}{G_{1}^{s} + \frac{G_{1}^{2s}}{-G_{1}^{s} + G_{2}^{s} + \frac{G_{2}^{2s}}{-G_{2}^{s} + G_{3}^{s} + \frac{G_{2}^{2s}}{-G_{3}^{s} + G_{4}^{s} + \cdots} + \frac{G_{n-1}^{2s}}{-G_{n-1}^{s} + G_{n}^{s} + \cdots}}$$

Now A_n (respectively B_n) are defined as the numerator (respectively denominator) convergent of the continued fraction expansion given for $\zeta_G(s)$:

$$\frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{1}{G_1^s - \frac{G_1^{2s}}{G_1^s + G_2^s - \frac{G_2^{2s}}{G_2^s + G_3^s - \frac{G_3^{2s}}{G_3^s + G_4^s - \dots - \frac{G_{n-1}^{2s}}{G_{n-1}^s + G_n^s}}}$$

Hence, $\{A_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ satisfy the following recurrence formulas.

$$A_{\nu} = (G_{\nu-1}^{s} + G_{\nu}^{s})A_{\nu-1} - G_{\nu-1}^{2s}A_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad A_{0} = 0, \qquad A_{1} = 1;$$

$$B_{\nu} = (G_{\nu-1}^{s} + G_{\nu}^{s})B_{\nu-1} - G_{\nu-1}^{2s}B_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad B_{0} = 1, \qquad B_{1} = G_{1}^{s}.$$

In fact, A_{ν} and B_{ν} can be expressed explicitly as follows.

Lemma 2.1. For n = 1, 2, ...

$$A_n = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{G_{\nu}^s}, \qquad B_n = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s.$$

FEBRUARY 2010

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

Proof. By induction we have $B_n = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s$. Thus,

$$A_n = B_n \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{G_{\nu}^s} = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{G_{\nu}^s}.$$

Similarly, if A_n^* (respectively B_n^*) are defined as the numerator (respectively denominator) convergent of the continued fraction expansion given for $\zeta_G^*(s)$, then $\{A_\nu^*\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_\nu^*\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ satisfy the following recurrence formulas.

$$\begin{split} A^*_{\nu} &= (-G^s_{\nu-1} + G^s_{\nu}) A^*_{\nu-1} + G^{2s}_{\nu-1} A^*_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad A^*_0 = 0, \qquad A^*_1 = 1; \\ B^*_{\nu} &= (-G^s_{\nu-1} + G^s_{\nu}) B^*_{\nu-1} + G^{2s}_{\nu-1} B^*_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad B^*_0 = 1, \qquad B^*_1 = G^s_1. \end{split}$$

Similar to Lemma 2.1, we have the following.

Lemma 2.2. For n = 1, 2, ...

$$A_n^* = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1}}{G_\nu^s}, \qquad B_n^* = (G_1 G_2 \dots G_n)^s.$$

Some reciprocal sums of consecutive Fibonacci or Lucas numbers have been studied (e.g. [1, 14]). For example, the reciprocal sum of $G_n^s G_{n+1}^s$ has the following continued fraction expansion.

Corollary 2.3.



VOLUME 48, NUMBER 1

Proof. By [8, Lemma 2.1], we have



3. FIBONACCI ZETA FUNCTIONS

Let $G_1 = G_2 = 1$. Then $G_n = F_n$ are reduced to Fibonacci numbers. Consider the continued fraction expansion

$$\zeta_F(s) = \frac{1}{F_1^s - \frac{F_1^{2s}}{F_1^s + F_2^s - \frac{F_2^{2s}}{F_2^s + F_3^s - \frac{F_3^{2s}}{\ddots} - \frac{F_3^{2s}}{F_{n-1}^{2s} + F_n^s - \dots}}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{F_\nu^s} = \frac{A_n}{B_n},$$

FEBRUARY 2010

51

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

where $\{A_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ are determined by the recurrence formulas:

$$A_{\nu} = (F_{\nu-1}^{s} + F_{\nu}^{s})A_{\nu-1} - F_{\nu-1}^{2s}A_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad A_{0} = 0, \qquad A_{1} = 1;$$

$$B_{\nu} = (F_{\nu-1}^{s} + F_{\nu}^{s})B_{\nu-1} - F_{\nu-1}^{2s}B_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad B_{0} = 1, \qquad B_{1} = F_{1}^{s}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let *m* be a positive integer.

- (1) For all $n \ge (\lceil m/s \rceil + 1)k$, $A_n \equiv 0 \pmod{F_k^m}$.
- (2) For all $n \ge \lceil m/s \rceil k$, $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{F_k^m}$.

Remark. If s = 1 and m = 1, then this matches Theorem 5.3 in [8].

Proof. The second assertion follows from the fact $F_k|F_{ik}$ (i = 1, 2, ...) (see e.g. [10, Theorem 16.1]) and by Lemma 2.1 $B_n = F_1^s F_2^s \dots F_n^s$. On the other hand,

$$F_k^m \left| \left(\frac{F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n}{F_\nu} \right)^s \right|$$

holds for $n \ge \lfloor m/s \rfloor k$ if $k \nmid \nu$, and for $n \ge (\lfloor m/s \rfloor + 1)k$ if $k \mid \nu$.

Next, we shall consider the periodicity modulo the power of a prime p. We may assume s = 1 because the general case is obtained by multiplying by s. Hence, $B_n = F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n$ and $A_n = (F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n) \sum_{\nu=1}^n F_{\nu}^{-1}$.

Proposition 3.2. The power of 2 which divides B_n is $\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{n}{3 \cdot 2^i} \rfloor$.

Then, we have the following.

Theorem 3.3. Let *m* be a positive integer, and choose $\sigma = \sigma(n)$ to be the least positive integer for which $m \leq \lfloor \frac{\sigma}{6} \rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{\sigma}{3 \cdot 2^i} \rfloor$. Then $B_{\sigma-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$ and $B_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$ for all $k \geq \sigma$.

The proof of Proposition 3.2 depends upon the following facts.

Lemma 3.4.

For d = 3, 4, ...

$$2^d \| F_{3 \cdot 2^{d-2}(2m-1)}$$
 $(m = 1, 2, ...).$

 $2 \| F_{6m-3}$ (m = 1, 2, ...).

We need some more lemmas in order to prove Lemma 3.4. The proof without these lemmas can be achieved by applying [6, Corollary 1].

Lemma 3.5. ([11], [10, Theorem 35.5]) The period of the generalized Fibonacci sequence modulo 2^n is $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$.

Lemma 3.6. ([2], [10, Ex.35.42, Ex.35.43]) For $n \ge 1$

$$F_{3 \cdot 2^n} \equiv 2^{n+2} \pmod{2^{n+3}},$$

$$L_{3 \cdot 2^n} \equiv 2 + 2^{n+2} \pmod{2^{n+4}}.$$

VOLUME 48, NUMBER 1

Lemma 3.7. For n = 1, 2, ...

$$2 \nmid L_{3n\pm 1}, \quad 2 \parallel L_{6n}, \quad 2^2 \parallel L_{6n-3}.$$

Proof. Note that

 ${L_n}_{n>1} = 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, 76, 123, 199, 322, \dots$

The first assertion is clear because L_{3n-2} and L_{3n-1} are odd and L_{3n} is even. Since

$$\{L_n \pmod{2}^3\}_{n\geq 1} = \underbrace{1, 3, 4, 7, 3, 2, 5, 7, 4, 3, 7, 2}_{12}, \underbrace{1, 3, 4, 7, 3, 2, 5, 7, 4, 3, 7, 2}_{12}, \dots$$

and by Lemma 3.5 the period of the Lucas sequence modulo 2^3 is 12, we have the second and the third assertions.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. By Lemma 3.5 the period of the Fibonacci sequence modulo 2^2 is 6. Since

$${F_n \pmod{2}^2}_{n\geq 1} = \underbrace{1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 0}_{6}, \underbrace{1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 0}_{6}, \dots,$$

we have $2 \| F_{6m-3} (m = 1, 2, \ldots)$.

Next, we shall show that $F_{9\cdot 2^{d-2}} \equiv 2^d \pmod{2^{d+1}} (d = 3, 4, ...)$. For d = 3, $F_{18} = 2584 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$. By Lemma 3.7 we have $L_{9\cdot 2^{d-2}} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $L_{9\cdot 2^{d-2}} = 2 + 4k'$ for some integer k'. Assume that for some integer $l(\geq 3)$

$$F_{9\cdot 2^{l-2}} \equiv 2^l \pmod{2^{l+1}}$$

or there exists an integer k such that $F_{9\cdot 2^{l-2}} = 2^l + k \cdot 2^{l+1}$. By $F_{2n} = F_n L_n$ (see e.g. [10, Corollary 5.5 (5.13)]),

$$F_{9\cdot 2^{l-1}} = F_{9\cdot 2^{l-2}}L_{9\cdot 2^{l-2}} = (2^l + k \cdot 2^{l+1})(2 + 4k')$$
$$= 2^{l+1} + (k + k' + 2kk') \cdot 2^{l+2}.$$

Hence, $F_{9\cdot 2^{l-1}} \equiv 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}$. By induction, we have $F_{9\cdot 2^{d-2}} \equiv 2^d \pmod{2^{d+1}}$ $(d = 3, 4, \ldots)$.

Since by Lemma 3.5 the period of the Fibonacci sequence modulo 2^{d+1} is $3 \cdot 2^d$, we also have

$$2^{d} \| F_{9 \cdot 2^{d-2} + (m-1) \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{d}} = F_{3 \cdot 2^{d-2} (4m-1)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Similarly, by $2^d || F_{3 \cdot 2^{d-2}}$, we have

$$2^{d} \| F_{3 \cdot 2^{d-2} + (m-1) \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{d}} = F_{3 \cdot 2^{d-2} (4m-3)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Therefore, we have the desired results.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Similarly to Lucas numbers in Lemma 3.7, $2 \nmid F_n$ if and only if $3 \nmid n$. By Lemma 3.4 the power of 2 which divides F_n is 1 if $3 \mid k$ and $6 \nmid k$, and is 2 more than the power of 2 dividing n if $6 \mid n$.

We need additional notation in order to address the cases where p is an odd prime. Let $\nu_p(r)$ denote the exponent of the highest power of a prime p which divides r. Namely, $p^{\nu_p(r)} || r$, or $p^{\nu_p(r)} || r$ and $p^{\nu_p(r)+1} \nmid r$. $\nu_p(F_n)$ and $\nu_p(L_n)$ are characterized in [12]. Let f_p denote the first positive index for which $p|F_{f_p}$. This index is called the *rank of apparition* or *Fibonacci* entry-point of p. For example, $f_2 = 3$, $f_3 = 4$, $f_5 = 5$, $f_7 = 8$, $f_{11} = 10$, $f_{13} = 7$ and $f_{17} = 9$.

FEBRUARY 2010

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

The order of p in F_{f_p} is denoted by $e_p = \nu_p(F_{f_p})$. Namely, $p^{e_p}|F_{f_p}$ and $p^{e_p+1} \nmid F_{f_p}$. Note that usually $e_p = 1$ and no exceptional prime has been found for $p < 10^{14}$ [3].

Lemma 3.8. [12] Let p be an odd prime with $p \neq 5$. If $f_p|n$, then $\nu_p(F_n) = \nu_p(n) + e_p$. If $f_p \nmid n$, then $\nu_p(F_n) = 0$.

Corollary 3.9. The power of an odd prime p which divides $B_n = F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n$ is

$$(e_p-1)\left\lfloor \frac{n}{f_p} \right\rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{f_p p^i} \right\rfloor.$$

Theorem 3.10. Let *m* be a positive integer, and choose $\sigma = \sigma(n)$ to be the least positive integer for which $m \leq (e_p - 1) \left\lfloor \frac{\sigma}{f_p} \right\rfloor + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{\sigma}{f_p p^i} \right\rfloor$. Then $B_{\sigma-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ and $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ for all $n \geq \sigma$.

Example. For all $n \ge 5m$, $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{5\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lfloor m/5^i \rfloor}$. It is easy to see this. Since $f_5 = 5$, $5^{e_5}|F_5 = 5$, so $e_5 = 1$. Setting $\sigma = 5m$ yields the result.

Proposition 3.11. The power of a prime p which divides $A_{f_pp^m}$ is

$$e_p(p^m - 1) + \frac{p^m - 1}{p - 1} - m.$$

Proof. When $n = f_p p^m$ in Corollary 3.9, we see that the power of p which divides $B_{f_p p^m}$ is

$$(e_p - 1)p^m + (p^m + \dots + p + 1) = e_p p^m + \frac{p^m - 1}{p - 1}$$

(Note that $(e_p - 1)p^m$ is usually 0.) By Lemma 3.8 we know $p^{m+e_p} || F_{f_p p^m}$, and $p^{m+e_p} \nmid F_i$ $(1 \leq i < f_p p^m)$. Thus, the power of p which divides the denominator of $\sum_{i=1}^{f_p p^m} F_i^{-1}$, when written as a single reduced fraction, is p^{m+e_p} . Since $A_{f_p p^m} = B_{f_p p^m} \sum_{i=1}^{f_p p^m} F_i^{-1}$, we have the desired result.

4. LUCAS ZETA FUNCTIONS

Consider the continued fraction expansion

$$\zeta_L(s) = \frac{1}{L_1^s - \frac{L_1^{2s}}{L_1^s + L_2^s - \frac{L_2^{2s}}{L_2^s + L_3^s - \frac{L_3^{2s}}{\ddots} - \frac{L_3^{2s}}{\frac{L_{n-1}^{2s}}{L_{n-1}^s + L_n^s - \dots}}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{1}{L_{\nu}^s} = \frac{A_n}{B_n}$$

where $\{A_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ and $\{B_{\nu}\}_{\nu\geq 0}$ are determined by the recurrence formulas:

$$A_{\nu} = (L_{\nu-1}^{s} + L_{\nu}^{s})A_{\nu-1} - L_{\nu-1}^{2s}A_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad A_{0} = 0, \qquad A_{1} = 1;$$

$$B_{\nu} = (L_{\nu-1}^{s} + L_{\nu}^{s})B_{\nu-1} - L_{\nu-1}^{2s}B_{\nu-2} \qquad (\nu \ge 2), \qquad B_{0} = 1, \qquad B_{1} = L_{1}^{s}.$$

VOLUME 48, NUMBER 1

Theorem 4.1. Let *m* be a positive integer.

- (1) For all $n \ge (2 \lceil m/s \rceil + 1)k$, $A_n \equiv 0 \pmod{L_k^m}$.
- (2) For all $n \ge (2 \lceil m/s \rceil 1)k$, $B_n \equiv 0 \pmod{L_k^m}$.

Proof. The second statement in Theorem 4.1 is based upon the fact $L_k|L_{(2i-1)k}$ (i = 1, 2, ...) (see e.g. [10, Theorem 16.6]) and $B_n = L_1^s L_2^s ... L_n^s$.

Lemma 4.2. [12] Let p be an odd prime with $p \neq 5$. If the period modulo p of the Fibonacci sequences is not equal to $4f_p$ and $n \equiv f_p/2 \pmod{f_p}$, then $\nu_p(L_n) = \nu_p(n) + e(p)$. Otherwise, $\nu_p(L_n) = 0$.

Next, we shall consider the periodicity modulo the power of a prime p. We may assume s = 1 without loss of generality. Hence, $B_n = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n$ and $A_n = (L_1 L_2 \cdots L_n) \sum_{\nu=1} L_{\nu}^{-1}$.

Proposition 4.3. The power of 2 which divides B_n is $2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. It is clear from Lemma 3.7.

References

- R. André-Jeannin, Lambert series and the summation of reciprocals in certain Fibonacci-Lucas-Type sequences, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 28.3 (1990), 223–226.
- [2] P. S. Bruckman, Problem H-280, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 17.4 (1979), 377.
- [3] A.-S. Elsenhaus and J. Jahnel, The Fibonacci sequence modulo p^2 —an investigation by computer for $p < 10^{14}$, (preprint), http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/jahnel/Preprints/Fibams3.pdf.
- [4] C. Elsner, S. Shimomura and I. Shiokawa, Algebraic relations for reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers, Acta Arith., 130 (2007), 37–60.
- [5] C. Elsner and S. Shimomura and I. Shiokawa, Algebraic independence results for reciprocal sums of Fibonacci numbers, (preprint), http://www.carstenelsner.de/Abstract48.pdf.
- [6] C. Elsner and T. Komatsu, A recurrence formula for leaping convergents of non-regular continued fractions, Linear Algebra Appl., 428 (2008), 824–833.
- [7] C. Elsner and T. Komatsu, On the residue classes of integer sequences satisfying a linear three term recurrence formula, Linear Algebra Appl., **429** (2008), 933–947.
- [8] T. Komatsu, On continued fraction expansions of Fibonacci and Lucas Dirichlet series, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 46/47.3 (2008/2009), 268–278.
- [9] T. Komatsu, V. Laohakosol and P. Ruengsinsub, *Independence measures of arithmetic functions*, (preprint).
- [10] T. Koshy, Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2001.
- [11] J. Kramer and V. E. Hoggatt Jr., Special cases of Fibonacci periodicity, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 10.6 (1972), 519–522, 530.
- [12] T. Lengyel, The order of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 33.3 (1995), 234–239.
- [13] L. Navas, Analytic continuation of the Fibonacci Dirichlet series, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 39.5 (2001), 409–418.
- T.-a. Tanaka, Algebraic independence of Fibonacci reciprocal sums associated with Newton's method, Tsukuba J. Math., 27 (2003), 375–387.

MSC2000: 11A55, 11B37, 11B39

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tennessee at Martin, Martin, TN 38238-0002

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{caldwellQutm.edu}$

Graduate School of Science and Technology, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki, 036-8561, Japan

E-mail address: komatsu@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp

FEBRUARY 2010

55