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#### Abstract

Sharp upper and lower bounds are established for the modes of the Poisson distribution of order $k$. The lower bound established in this paper is better than the previously established lower bound. In addition, for $k=2,3,4,5$, a recent conjecture is presently proved solving partially an open problem since 1983.


## 1. Introduction and Summary

For any given positive integer $k$, denote by $N_{k}$ the number of independent trials with constant success probability $p$ until the occurrence of the $k$ th consecutive success, and set $q=1-p$. For $n \geq k$, Philippou and Muwafi [13] derived the probability $P\left(N_{k}=n\right)$ in terms of multinomial coefficients and noted that $P\left(N_{k}=n \mid p=1 / 2\right)=f_{n-k+1}^{(k)} / 2^{n}$ where $f_{n}^{(k)}$ is the $n$th Fibonacci number of order $k,[3,15,16]$. Philippou, et al. [12] showed that $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} P\left(N_{k}=n\right)=1$ and named the distribution of $N_{k}$ the geometric distribution of order $k$ with parameter $p$, since for $k=1$ it reduces to the geometric distribution with parameter $p$. Assuming that $N_{k, 1}, \ldots, N_{k, r}$ are independent random variables distributed as geometric of order $k$ with parameter $p$, and setting $Y_{k, r}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} N_{k, j}$, the latter authors showed that

$$
P\left(Y_{k, r}=y\right)=p^{y} \sum\binom{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k}+r-1}{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, r-1}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k}} \quad y=k r, k r+1, \ldots
$$

where the summation is taken over all $k$-tuples of nonnegative integers $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}$ such that $y_{1}+2 y_{2}+\cdots+k y_{k}=y-k r$. They named the distribution of $Y_{k, r}$ the negative binomial distribution of order $k$ with parameters $r$ and $p$, since for $k=1$ it reduces to the negative binomial distribution with the same parameters. Furthermore they showed that, if $r q \rightarrow$ $\lambda(\lambda>0)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $q \rightarrow 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P\left(Y_{k, r}-k r=x\right)=\sum_{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}} e^{-k \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k}}}{x_{1}!\cdots x_{k}!}=f_{k}(x ; \lambda), \quad x=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is taken over all $k$-tuples of nonnegative integers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that $x_{1}+2 x_{2}+\cdots+k x_{k}=x$. They named the distribution with probability mass function $f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$ the Poisson distribution of order $k$ with parameter $\lambda$, since for $k=1$ it reduces to the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda,[1,9,2]$.

Denote by $m_{k, \lambda}$ the mode(s) of $f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$, i.e. the value(s) of $x$ for which $f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$ attains its maximum. It is well-known that $m_{1, \lambda}=\lambda$ or $\lambda-1$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m_{1, \lambda}=\lfloor\lambda\rfloor$ if $\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}$. Philippou [7] derived some properties of $f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$ and posed the problem of finding its mode(s) for $k \geq 2$. See also [8] and [11].

Hirano, et al. [5] presents several graphs of $f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $2 \leq k \leq 8$, and Luo [6] derived the following inequality
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$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k, \lambda} \geq k \lambda k \sqrt{k!}-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}, \quad k \geq 1(\lambda>0), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is sharp in the sense that $m_{1, \lambda}=\lambda-1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently, Philippou and Saghafi [14] conjectured that, for $k \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{k, \lambda}=\lambda k(k+1) / 2-\lfloor k / 2\rfloor, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lfloor u\rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
In this paper, we employ the probability generating function of the Poisson distribution of order $k$ to improve the bound of Luo [6] and to also give an upper bound (see Theorem 2.1). We then use Theorem 2.1 to prove the conjecture of Philippou and Saghafi [14] when $k=2,3,4,5$, partially answering the open problem of Philippou [7, 8, 11].

## 2. Main Results

In the present section, we state and prove the following two theorems.
Theorem 2.1. For any integer $k \geq 1$ and real $\lambda>0$, the mode of the Poisson distribution of order $k$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+1-\delta_{k, 1} \leq m_{k, \lambda} \leq\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor,
$$

where $\delta_{k, 1}$ is the Kronecker delta.
Theorem 2.2. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq k \leq 5$, the Poisson distribution of order $k$ has a unique mode $m_{k, \lambda}=\lambda k(k+1) / 2-\lfloor k / 2\rfloor$.

For the proofs of the theorems we employ the probability generating function of the Poisson distribution of order $k$ and some recurrences derived from it. We observe first that the lefthand side inequality in Theorem 2.1 is sharp since, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}, m_{1, \lambda}=\lambda-1$, the value of the lower bound for $k=1$. The right-hand side inequality is also sharp in the sense that there exist values of $k$ and $\lambda$ for which $m_{k, \lambda}=\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor$. We also note that our lower bound is better than that of Luo [6] for $k \geq 2$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For notational simplicity, we presently set $P_{x}=f_{k}(x ; \lambda)$, omitting the dependence on $k$ and $\lambda$, and $\Delta_{x}=P_{x}-P_{x-1}, x=0,1, \ldots$ It is easily seen $[4,7,10]$ that the probability generating function of $P_{x}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s)=\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} s^{x} P_{x}=e^{\lambda\left(-k+s+s^{2}+\cdots+s^{k}\right)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(s)=\lambda\left(1+2 s+\cdots+k s^{k-1}\right) g(s) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \geq 1$, we differentiate $(x-1)$ times $g^{\prime}(s)$ and employ the fact that $P_{x}=\left(\frac{1}{x!}\right) \frac{\partial^{x} g(s)}{\partial s^{x}}$ at $s=0$ to get the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x P_{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} j \lambda P_{x-j}, \quad x \geq 1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We note that (2.3) is trivially true for $x=0$. By definition $P_{x} \leq P_{m_{k, \lambda}}$ for every $x \geq 0$, and therefore

$$
x P_{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} j \lambda P_{x-j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} j \lambda P_{m_{k, \lambda}}=\lambda P_{m_{k, \lambda}} k(k+1) / 2 .
$$

Upon setting $x=m_{k, \lambda}$ we get $m_{k, \lambda} \leq \lambda k(k+1) / 2$. Therefore, $m_{k, \lambda} \leq\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor$ since $m_{k, \lambda}$ is a nonnegative integer.

As for the left-hand side inequality we note that it is trivially true for $k=1$ and $\lambda>0$, since $m_{1, \lambda}=\lambda$ or $\lambda-1$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m_{1, \lambda}=\lfloor\lambda\rfloor$ if $\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}$. Therefore we assume that $k \geq 2$. For $0<\lambda<1$, the inequality is true since $\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+1 \leq 0$. For $\lambda=1$ it is also true since $e^{-k}=P_{0}=P_{1}<P_{2}=3 e^{-k} / 2$. Let then $\lambda>1$. We will show that $P_{x}$ increases, or, equivalently, $\Delta_{x}$ is positive, for $0 \leq x \leq\lfloor\lambda k(k+1) / 2\rfloor-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+1$.

From the definition of $\Delta_{x}$ and equation (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(s)=\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} s^{x} \Delta_{x}=(1-s) g(s) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $h(s)$ twice we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime \prime}(s)=\lambda\left(\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j(j+1)}{2} s^{j-1}+\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right)(\lambda-2) s^{k-1}+s^{k} f(s)\right) g(s), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} s^{j}$ is a $(k-1)$ th degree polynomial. Next, differentiating $x$ times $h^{\prime \prime}(s)$ and then setting $s=0$, we get

$$
\frac{(x+1)(x+2)}{\lambda} \Delta_{x+2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \lambda P_{x+1-j}+\frac{k(k+1)}{2}(\lambda-2) P_{x-k+1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} P_{x-k-j} .
$$

Finally, eliminating successively $P_{x-2 k+1}, P_{x-2 k}, \ldots, P_{x-k}$, by means of equation (2.3) we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(x+1)(x+2)}{\lambda} \Delta_{x+2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(j \lambda+x+1-j) P_{x+1-j}+k(\lambda-x-2) P_{x-k+1} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $P_{x+1-j}=\Delta_{x+1-j}+P_{x-j}$ in (2.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{(x+1)(x+2)}{\lambda} \Delta_{x+2}= & \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(j(x+1)+\frac{(\lambda-1) j(j+1)}{2}\right) \Delta_{x+1-j}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\left(\frac{(\lambda-1) k(k+1)}{2}-1-x\right) P_{x+1-k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\lambda>1$, we have $\Delta_{0}=e^{-k \lambda}>0$ and $\Delta_{1}=(\lambda-1) e^{-k \lambda}>0$. An easy recursion using (2.7) shows that $\Delta_{x}>0$ for $2 \leq x+2 \leq \frac{(\lambda-1) k(k+1)}{2}+1$ also. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For $k=2$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to $3 \lambda-2 \leq m_{2, \lambda} \leq 3 \lambda$. Therefore, in order to show that $m_{2, \lambda}=3 \lambda-1$, it suffices to show that $\Delta_{3 \lambda-1}>0$ and $\Delta_{3 \lambda}<0$. However, by (2.3), $3 \Delta_{3 \lambda}=-2 \Delta_{3 \lambda-1}$. Therefore, we will only show $\Delta_{3 \lambda-1}>0$. For $\lambda=1$, $\Delta_{3 \lambda-1}=\Delta_{2}=e^{-2} / 2>0$; for $\lambda=2, \Delta_{3 \lambda-1}=\Delta_{5}=\frac{4 e^{-4}}{15}>0$. Let $\lambda \geq 3$ and $x=3 \lambda-3$. Using (2.6) we have

$$
\frac{(3 \lambda-1)(3 \lambda-2)}{\lambda} \Delta_{3 \lambda-1}=(4 \lambda-3) P_{3 \lambda-3}-(4 \lambda-2) P_{3 \lambda-4}=(4 \lambda-3) \Delta_{3 \lambda-3}-P_{3 \lambda-4} .
$$
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By (2.3),

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda^{3}} \prod_{j=1}^{6}(6 \lambda-j) \Delta_{3 \lambda-1}=\left(64 \lambda^{3}-267 \lambda^{2}+360 \lambda-156\right) \Delta_{3 \lambda-7}+3\left(\lambda^{2}+8 \lambda-12\right) P_{3 \lambda-8}
$$

Therefore, $\Delta_{3 \lambda-1}$ is positive since $\Delta_{3 \lambda-7}>0$ by Theorem 2.1, $P_{3 \lambda-8}>0$ by (1.1), and both $64 \lambda^{3}-267 \lambda^{2}+360 \lambda-156$ and $\lambda^{2}+8 \lambda-12$ take positive values.

For $k=3$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to $6 \lambda-5 \leq m_{3, \lambda} \leq 6 \lambda$. Therefore, in order to show that $m_{3, \lambda}=6 \lambda-1$, it suffices to show that $\Delta_{6 \lambda-j}>0(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ and $\Delta_{6 \lambda}<0$. However, $6 \Delta_{6 \lambda}=-5 \Delta_{6 \lambda-1}-3 \Delta_{6 \lambda-2}$ because of equation (2.3). We will show then only that $\Delta_{6 \lambda-4}>0$ (the other three can be treated similarly). For $\lambda=1, \Delta_{6 \lambda-4}=\Delta_{2}=e^{-3} / 2>0$. Let $\lambda \geq 2$ and $x=6 \lambda-6$. Using (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(6 \lambda-4)(6 \lambda-5)}{\lambda} \Delta_{6 \lambda-4} & =(7 \lambda-6) P_{6 \lambda-6}+(8 \lambda-7) P_{6 \lambda-7}-(15 \lambda-12) P_{6 \lambda-8} \\
& =(7 \lambda-6) \Delta_{6 \lambda-6}+(15 \lambda-13) \Delta_{6 \lambda-7}-P_{6 \lambda-8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\lambda^{3}} \prod_{j=4}^{8}(6 \lambda-j) \Delta_{6 \lambda-4}=\left(1015 \lambda^{3}-3234 \lambda^{2}+3396 \lambda-1176\right) \Delta_{6 \lambda-9} \\
& \quad+\left(1203 \lambda^{3}-3610 \lambda^{2}+3576 \lambda-1176\right) \Delta_{6 \lambda-10}+2\left(199 \lambda^{2}-372 \lambda+168\right) P_{6 \lambda-11},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is positive, since $\Delta_{6 \lambda-9}>0$ and $\Delta_{6 \lambda-10}>0$ by Theorem $2.1, P_{6 \lambda-11}>0$ by equation (1.1), and their polynomial coefficients take positive values.

When $k=4(k=5)$ we use the same procedure as above to show that $\Delta_{10 \lambda-j}>$ $0(2 \leq j \leq 8)$ and $\Delta_{10 \lambda-1}<0\left(\Delta_{15 \lambda-j}>0(2 \leq j \leq 13)\right.$ and $\left.\Delta_{15 \lambda-1}<0\right)$. Therefore, $m_{4, \lambda}=10 \lambda-2\left(m_{5, \lambda}=15 \lambda-2\right)$.

Remark 2.1. As $k$ increases the computations become increasingly difficult and lengthy. We have used the computer algebra system Derive and a personal computer to check them.

Remark 2.2. According to the conjecture of Philippou and Saghafi [14], $m_{6,2}=39$. However, by equation (2.3) (and equation (1.1)), we presently find that $f_{6}(40 ; 2)=0.0297464817>$ $0.0297385179=f_{6}(39 ; 2)$. Therefore the conjecture is not true at least for $k=6$ and $\lambda=2$.

## 3. Further Research

In this note we have derived an upper and a lower bound for the mode(s) of the Poisson distribution of order $k$. Our lower bound is better than that of Luo [6]. We have also established the conjecture of Philippou and Saghafi [14] for $2 \leq k \leq 5$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, partially solving the open problem of Philippou [7, 8, 11]. However, the problem remains open for all other cases.
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