

BEGINNERS' CORNER

EDITED BY DMITRI THORO, SAN JOSE STATE COLLEGE

THE GOLDEN RATIO: COMPUTATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

"Geometry has two great treasures: one is the Theorem of Pythagoras; the other, the division of a line into extreme and mean ratio. The first we may compare to a measure of gold; the second we may name a precious jewel" – so wrote Kepler (1571–1630) [1].

The famous golden section involves the division of a given line segment into mean and extreme ratio, i. e. , into two parts a and b , such that $a/b = b/(a + b)$, $a < b$. Setting $x = b/a$ we have $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$. Let us designate the positive root of this equation by ϕ (the golden ratio). Thus

$$(1) \quad \phi^2 - \phi - 1 = 0 .$$

Since the roots of (1) are $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and $-1/\phi = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$ we may write Binet's formula [2], [3], [4] for the n^{th} Fibonacci number in the form

$$(2) \quad F_n = \frac{\phi^n - (-\phi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}$$

2. POWERS OF THE GOLDEN RATIO

Returning to (1), let us "solve for ϕ^2 " by writing

$$(3) \quad \phi^2 = \phi + 1 .$$

Multiplying both members by ϕ , we get $\phi^3 = \phi^2 + \phi = (\phi + 1) + \phi = 2\phi + 1$. Now $\phi^3 = 2\phi + 1$ yields $\phi^4 = 2\phi^2 + \phi = 2(\phi + 1) + \phi = 3\phi + 2$. Similarly,

$$\phi^5 = 3\phi^2 + 2\phi = 3(\phi + 1) + 2\phi = 5\phi + 3 .$$

This pattern suggests

$$(4) \quad \phi^n = F_n \phi + F_{n-1} , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

To prove (4) by mathematical induction [5], [6], we note that it is true for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ (since $F_0 = 0$ by definition). Assume $\phi^k = F_k \phi + F_{k-1}$. Then $\phi^{k+1} = F_k \phi^2 + F_{k-1} \phi = F_k(\phi + 1) + F_{k-1} \phi = (F_k + F_{k-1}) \phi + F_k = F_{k+1} \phi + F_k$, which completes the proof. The computational advantage of (4) over expansion of

$$\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$$

by the binomial theorem is striking.

Dividing both members of (3) by ϕ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{\phi} = \phi - 1 .$$

Thus $1/\phi^2 = 1 - 1/\phi = 1 - (\phi - 1) = -(\phi - 2)$. Using this result and (5), $1/\phi^3 = 2/\phi - 1 = 2(\phi - 1) - 1 = 2\phi - 3$. Similarly, $1/\phi^4 = 2 - 3/\phi = 2 - 3\phi + 3 = -(3\phi - 5)$. Via induction, the reader may provide a painless proof of

$$(6) \quad \phi^{-n} = (-1)^{n+1} (F_n \phi - F_{n+1}) , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

3. A LIMIT OF FIBONACCI RATIOS

If we "solve" $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ for x by writing $x = 1 + 1/x$ and then consider the related recursion relation

$$(7) \quad x_1 = 1, \quad x_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{x_n} ,$$

Fibonacci numbers start popping out! We immediately deduce $x_2 = 1 + 1/x_1 = 1 + 1/1 = 2$, $x_3 = 1 + 1/x_2 = 1 + 1/2 = 3/2$, $x_4 = 5/3$, $x_5 = 8/5$, etc. This suggests that $x_n = F_{n+1}/F_n$.

Now suppose the sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots has a limit, say L , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$$

whence (7) yields $L = 1 + 1/L$ or $L = \phi$ since the x_n are positive. Indeed, there are many ways of proving Kepler's observation that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \phi$$

E.g., from (2)

$$\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{[\phi^{n+1} - (-\phi)^{-n-1}]}{[\phi^n - (-\phi)^{-n}]} = \frac{\phi - \frac{1}{(-\phi)^{n+1}\phi^n}}{1 - \frac{1}{(-\phi)^n\phi^n}} \rightarrow \phi$$

since $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 > 1$

implies that the fractions involving ϕ^n approach 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4. AN APPROXIMATE ERROR ANALYSIS

Just how accurate are the above approximations to the golden ratio? Let us denote the exact error at the n^{th} iteration by

$$(9) \quad e_n \equiv x_n - \phi$$

The trick is to express e_{n+1} in terms of e_n and then to make use of the identity

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{1+w} = 1 - w + w^2 - w^3 + w^4 - \dots, \quad w < 1$$

(The latter may be discovered by dividing 1 by $1 + w$; cf. [7].)

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &\equiv x_{n+1} - \phi = 1 + \frac{1}{x_n} - \phi \\ &= 1 - \phi + \frac{1}{e_n + \phi} = 1 - \phi + \frac{1}{\phi} \left[\frac{1}{1 + (e_n/\phi)} \right] \\ &= 1 - \phi + \frac{1}{\phi} \left[1 - (e_n/\phi) + (e_n/\phi)^2 - (e_n/\phi)^3 + \dots \right] \\ &= -\frac{e_n}{\phi^2} + \frac{e_n^2}{\phi^3} - \frac{e_n^3}{\phi^4} + \dots \quad \text{since } \frac{1}{\phi} = \phi - 1 \text{ by (5).} \end{aligned}$$

However, the terms involving the higher powers of e_n are quite small in comparison with the first term. Thus, following the customary practice of neglecting high order terms, we will approximate the error at the $(n+1)$ st step by $\epsilon_{n+1} = -\epsilon_n \phi^{-2}$. Finally, we may note that $\epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_1 \phi^{-2}$, $\epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_2 \phi^{-2} = \epsilon_1 \phi^{-4}$, $\epsilon_4 = -\epsilon_1 \phi^{-6}$, and, in general,

$$(11) \quad \epsilon_n = (-1)^{n+1} \epsilon_1 \phi^{-2(n-1)} .$$

5. COMPUTATION OF ϕ VIA MATRICES

We recall (cf. [8]) that if the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

and the column vector

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

then the product Mv is defined to be the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} ar + bs \\ cr + ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us investigate the recursion relation

$$(12) \quad v_{n+1} = Av_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where A is a given matrix and v_1 a given vector. (For convenience we will always take v_1 to be the first column of A .)

(a) If A is the Q matrix [9], [10] $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_2 = Av_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_3 = Av_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $v_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$, \dots , $v_n = \begin{pmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{pmatrix}$, \dots . Thus if $v_i = \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ s_i \end{pmatrix}$, then for $A = Q$ the ratio r_i/s_i is precisely the approximation to ϕ obtained from (7).

(b) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 34 \\ 21 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

This time $v_n = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2n+1} \\ F_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$. Note that here the ratio obtained from, say, v_3 is exactly that obtained from v_6 when A is taken to be the Q matrix.

(c) For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, the successive approximations suggested by (12) turn out to be

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{-1}, \quad \frac{-1}{2}, \quad \frac{2}{-3}, \quad \frac{-3}{5}, \quad \frac{5}{-8}, \quad \dots$$

From the discussion in (a) above it is easy to deduce that the limit of the sequence (13) is $-\frac{1}{Q}$: the negative root of (1)!

Similarly pleasant results may be obtained from (infinitely many) other A 's. Several possibilities are suggested in the following exercises. The mathematical basis for this approach will be explored in a future issue.

6. EXERCISES

E1. Show that the definition of the golden section leads to the equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$.

E2. Use mathematical induction to prove (6).

E3. How should you define F_{-k} ($k > 0$) in order that (4) would hold for negative values of n ?

E4. Verify (10) by long division. Find an additional check by starting with the right-hand member.

E5. Give an induction proof of (11).

E6. Show that when $x_1 = 1$, the estimated error given by (11) becomes

$$\epsilon_n = (-1)^n \phi^{1-2n}$$

Hint: Use (5).

E7. Using the results of E6 (with $\phi = 1.618$) compute an estimate of $F_{11}/F_{10} - \phi$. Compare this approximate error to the actual error (given $\phi = 1.61803$). Thus although ϵ_n is a function of ϕ itself, it can be used in approximating ϕ to a desired number of decimal places.

E8. A comparison of the three values of A exhibited above reveals that in each case A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} w & 1 \\ 1 & w - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It turns out that w need not be an integer. Experiment with different values of w . Hint: consider the cases

(a) $w > \phi$

(b) $\frac{1}{2} < w < \phi$

