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For a sequence of integers $S=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots\right)$, we denote by $P(S)$ the set

$$
\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{k^{s} k}: \epsilon_{k}=0 \text { or } 1, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{k}<\infty\right\}
$$

We say that S is complete if all sufficiently large integers belong to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S})$. Conditions under which a sequence S is complete have been studied by a number of authors. These sequences have ranged from the slowly growing sequences of Erdös [3] and Folkman [4] ( $\left.s_{n}=0\left(n^{2}\right)\right)$, the polynomial and near-polynomial sequences of Roth and Szekeres [9], Graham [5] and Burr [1], to the near-exponential sequences of Cassels [2] ( $s_{n}=0(\exp (n / \log n))$ ). and the exponential sequences of Lekkerkerker [7] and Graham [6] ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\left[t \alpha^{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ ). In this note, we investigate sequences in which each term is a Fibonacci number, i. $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{o}}$, an integer $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ defined by the linear recurrence

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 0
$$

with $F_{0}=0, \quad F_{1}=1$.
For a sequence $M=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of nonnegative integers, let $S_{M}$ denote the nondecreasing sequence which contains precisely $m_{k}$ entries equal to $F_{k^{*}}$. It was noted in [7] that for $M=(1,1,1, \cdots), S_{M}$ is complete but the deletion of any two terms of $S_{M}$ destroys the completeness. Further, it was shown in [1] that for any fixed $a$, if $M=(a, a, a, \ldots)$ then some finite set of entries can be deleted from $S_{M}$ so that the resulting sequence is not complete. This result can be strengthened as follows (where $\tau$ denotes $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)$ 。

Theorem 1. If

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{k} \tau^{-k}<\infty,
$$

then some finite set of entries of $S_{M}$ can be deleted so that the resulting sequence is not complete.

Proof. The proof uses the ideas of Cassels [2]. Let $\|x\|$ denote $\min |x-n|$ where $n$ ranges over all integers. It is well known that $F_{n}$ can be explicitly written as

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\tau^{\mathrm{n}}-(-\tau)^{-\mathrm{n}}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s \in S_{M}}\|\mathrm{~s} \tau\| & =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \tau\right\| \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}\left\|\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}} \tau-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}\left\|\frac{\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)}{\tau}(-\tau)^{-\mathrm{k}}\right\| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{\tau^{2}+1}{\tau \sqrt{5}}\right| \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}} \tau^{-\mathrm{k}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence, by deleting a sufficiently large initial segment of $S_{M}$, we can form a sequence $S_{M}^{*}$ for which

$$
\sum_{s \in S_{M}^{*}}\|s \tau\|<1 / 4
$$

But $\tau$ is irrational so that for infinitely many integers m , we have

$$
\|\mathrm{m} \tau\|>1 / 4
$$

The subadditivity of $\|\cdot\|$ shows that such an $m$ cannot belong to $P\left(S_{M}^{*}\right)$. This proves the theorem.

It follows in particular that if $1<\theta<\tau$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}=0\left(\theta^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ then $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is not "strongly complete," i.e., the deletion of some finite set of entries from $S_{M}$ can result in a sequence which is not complete.

In the other direction, however, we have the following result.
Theorem 2. Suppose for some $\epsilon>0$ and some $\mathrm{k}_{0}, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{k}}>\epsilon \tau^{\mathrm{k}}$ for $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{k}_{0}$. Then $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is strongly complete.

Proof. For a fixed integer $t$, let $M^{\prime}$ denote the sequence

$$
(\underbrace{(0,0, \cdots, 0}_{t}, m_{t+1}, m_{t+2}, \cdots)
$$

It is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}^{\mathbf{p}}}$ is complete. We recall the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+2 k}+F_{n-2 k}=L_{2 k} F_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{r}$ is the sequence of integers defined by $L_{n+2}=L_{n+1}+L_{n}, n \geq 0$, with $L_{0}=2, L_{1}=1$. It is easily shown that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \tau^{\mathrm{r}}$ and

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\mathrm{r}}
$$

for $r \geq 0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $t>k_{0}$ and $\epsilon \tau^{t}$ $>2$. Choose $\ell>4 / \epsilon$ and $n>t+2 \ell$. We can form sums of pairs $F_{n+2 k}+$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}}$ from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{M}^{\prime}}$ to get at least $\epsilon \tau^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}}$ copies of $\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (by (1)) for $0 \leq \mathrm{k}$ $\leq l$. Since $\epsilon \tau^{\mathrm{n}-2 l}>\epsilon \tau^{\mathrm{t}}>2$ then these sums can be used to form all the
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multiples $\mathrm{uF}_{\mathrm{n}}$,

$$
1 \leq u \leq \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\ell} \epsilon \tau^{\mathrm{n}-2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{k}} .
$$

Since

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\mathrm{r}}
$$

then we have formed all multiples $u F_{n}$,

$$
1 \leq u \leq \frac{\epsilon(\ell+1)}{2} \tau^{n}
$$

The same argument can be applied to the terms $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \pm 2 \mathrm{k}}$ (which are distinct from the terms previously considered) to form all multiples $\mathrm{vF}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$,

$$
1 \leq \mathrm{v} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\ell+1)}{2} \tau^{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

Of course, $F_{n}$ and $F_{n+1}$ are relatively prime so that the set of integers of the form $\mathrm{xF}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{yF} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{x}$ and y nonnegative integers, contains all integers $>F_{n} F_{n+1}-F_{n}-F_{n+1}$ (cf. [8]). For any integer

$$
N_{j}=F_{n} F_{n+1}-F_{n}-F_{n+1}+j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq F_{n+2}
$$

the coefficients $x_{j}$ and $y_{j}$ in a representation

$$
N_{j}=x_{j} F_{n}+y_{j} F_{n+1}
$$

certainly satisfy $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Thus, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \leq \tau^{\mathrm{n}+1}<2 \tau^{\mathrm{n}}$. Since $u$ and $v$ can range up to

$$
\frac{\epsilon(\ell+1)}{2} \tau^{\mathrm{n}}>2 \tau^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

then by using the multiples of $F_{n}$ and $F_{n+1}$ we have just considered, we can represent all the $N_{j}$, $1 \leq j \leq F_{n+2}$, as elements of $P\left(S_{M^{p}}\right)$. Finally, since we have used at most $\epsilon \tau^{n-2}$ copies of $F_{n+i}, 2 \leq i$, in this process, we still have available at least $\epsilon\left(\tau^{\mathrm{n}+2}-\tau^{\mathrm{n}-2}\right)>1$ copies of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{i}}$ to use in forming sums in $P\left(S_{M^{1}}\right)$. By adding sequentially a single copy of $F_{n+i}$, $i=2,3,4, \cdots$, to the $N_{j}$, it is not difficult to see that all integers $\geq N_{1}$ belong to $P\left(S_{M^{1}}\right)$. Thus, $S_{M^{1}}$ is complete and the theorem is proved.

It should be pointed out that the condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_{k} \tau^{-k}=\infty
$$

is not sufficient for the completeness of $S_{M}$ as can be seen from the example in which

$$
m_{k}= \begin{cases}{\left[\tau^{k}\right]} & \text { if } k=2^{n} \text { for some } n \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

However, the proof of Theorem 2 directly applies to show that if $\mathrm{m}_{\epsilon} / \tau^{\mathrm{k}}$ is monotone and

$$
\sum \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\tau \mathrm{k}}=\infty
$$

then $S_{M}$ is strongly complete.
It would be of interest to investigate refinements of these questions. Of course, similar results and questions arise for other $P-V$ numbers besides $\tau$ but we do not pursue these here.
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