CONCAVITY PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN SEQUENCES OF NUMBERS

L. CARLITZ* Duke University, Durham, North Carolina

A set of non-negative real numbers $C_k\ (k=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,N)$ is said to be unimodal if there exists an integer n such that

$$C_k \leq C_{k+1} \qquad (1 \leq k \leq n)$$
$$C_k \geq C_{k+1} \qquad (n \leq k \leq N)$$

A stronger property is logarithmic concavity:

(1)
$$C_k^2 \ge C_{k+1} C_{k-1}$$
 (1 < k < N).

Strong logarithmic concavity (SLC) means that the inequality in (1) is strict for all k. In a recent paper, Lieb [1] has proved that the Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$S(N,k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} {k \choose j} j^{N}$$

have the SLC property. The proof makes use of Newton's inequality. If the polynomial

(2)
$$Q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k x^k$$

has only real roots, then

$$C_k^2 \ge \frac{k(N-k+1)}{(k-1)(N-k)} C_{k+1} C_{k-1}$$
 (1 < k < N).

In view of the above, it is of some interest to exhibit sequences $\{C_k\}$ with the SLC property for which the corresponding polynomial does not have the SLC property. Such an example is furnished by

^{*} Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-7855.

(3)
$$(1 + x + x^2)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c(n,k)x^k$$
.

It follows at once from (3) that c(n,k) satisfies the recurrence

(4)
$$c(n + 1,k) = c(n,k - 2) + c(n,k - 1) + c(n,k)$$
.

We shall show first that, for $n \ge 2$,

(5)
$$c(n,k) < c(n,k+1)$$
 $(0 \le k \le n)$

(6)
$$c(n,k) > c(n,k+1)$$
 $(n \le k \le 2n)$.

Since

(7)
$$c(n,k) = c(n,2n-k)$$
,

(5) and (6) are equivalent so that it suffices to prove (5). Since

$$(1 + x + x^2)^2 = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 2x^3 + x^2$$
,

it is clear that (5) holds for n = 2. Assume that (5) holds for $2 \le n \le m$. Then, for $k \le m$,

$$c(m + 1, k + 1) - c(m + 1, k) = c(m, k + 1) - c(m, k - 2) > 0$$
.

For k = m we have

$$c(m + 1,m + 1) - c(m + 1,m)$$

= 2c(m,m - 1) + c(m,m) - [c(m,m - 2) + c(m,m - 1) + c(m,m)]
= c(m,m - 1) - c(m,m - 2) > 0.

This completes the proof of (5).

We remark that c(n,n) satisfies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n,n)x^{n} = (1 - 2x - 3x^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

•

For proof see [2, p. 126, No. 217].

We shall now show that, for $n \ge 2$,

(8)
$$c^{2}(n,k) \geq c(n,k+1)c(n,k-1)$$
 (0 < k < 2n).

This holds for n = 2. We assume that (8) holds for $2 \le n \le m$.

Note that (8) implies

(9)
$$c(n,j)c(n,k) > c(n,j-1)c(n,k+1)$$
 (0 < j ≤ k < 2n).

Indeed, by (8)

$$\frac{c(n,k)}{c(n,k+1)} > \frac{c(n,k-1)}{c(n,k)}$$

9

•

which implies

$$\frac{c(n,k)}{c(n,k-1)} \qquad \frac{c(n,j-1)}{c(n,j)}$$

Thus, for 0 < k < 2m,

$$\begin{vmatrix} c(m + 1, k) & c(m + 1, k + 1) \\ c(m + 1, k - 1) & c(m + 1, k) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 2) + c(m, k - 1) + c(m, k) & c(m, k - 1) + c(m, k) + c(m, k + 1) \\ c(m, k - 3) + c(m, k - 2) + c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k - 2) + c(m, k - 1) + c(m, k) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 2) & c(m, k - 1) \\ c(m, k - 3) & c(m, k - 2) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 2) & c(m, k) \\ c(m, k - 3) & c(m, k - 2) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 2) & c(m, k + 1) \\ c(m, k - 3) & c(m, k) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k) \\ c(m, k - 2) & c(m, k - 1) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k + 1) \\ c(m, k - 2) & c(m, k) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k) & c(m, k - 1) \\ c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k) & c(m, k + 1) \\ c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k) \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} c(m, k) & c(m, k - 1) \\ c(m, k - 1) & c(m, k - 2) \end{vmatrix}$$

The fourth and sixth determinants cancel while each of the remaining five is positive by (9). Hence

$$c^{2}(m + 1,k) \geq c(m + 1,k - 1)c(m + 1,k)$$
 (0 < k < 2m).

As for the excluded values, we have by (7)

In a similar way we can show that the coefficients of $c_r(n,k)$ defined by

$$(1 + x + \dots + x^{r})^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{nr} c_{r}(n,k) x^{k}$$

have the SLC property for $n \ge 2$. [Continued on page 530.] 525