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1. The presentation and investigation of the functions $a$ and $b$ given in the paper cited in the title [1] can be simplified if we consider the following: Every positive integer N has a unique representation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\delta_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}+\cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i}$ is either 0 or 1 and $\delta_{i} \delta_{i+1}=0$. This canonical or Zeckendorf representation may be written more briefly

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\cdot \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A$ be the sequence of length 1 consisting of a $0, A=(0)$, and let $B$ be the sequence of length $2, B=(1,0)$. Clearly, then, $N$ can be written uniquely as a sequence of $A^{\prime} s$ and $B^{\prime} S$, and any sequence of $A^{\prime} s$ and $B^{\prime} s$, infinite on the right, containing only a finite number of $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, represents a non-negative integer. We may regard $A$ and $B$ as functions. For instance $A(N)$ is to be the sequence obtained by adjoining $A$ to the left of the sequence representing $N$, and similarly for $B(N)$.

Then we see immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~N})+1=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~N}), \quad(\mathrm{N} \geq 0) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define
(4)

$$
\begin{cases}a(N)=A(N-1)+1 & (N \geq 1) \\ b(N)=B(N-1)+1 & (N \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

Then (3) becomes

[^0]$$
N+a(N)=b(N), \quad N \geq 1
$$

Hence properties (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) of [1] are easily verified, so we have, in fact,
(6)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~N})=[\alpha \mathrm{N}] \\
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{~N})=\left[\alpha^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right], \quad \alpha=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

as before, ( 1.6 ) of [1]).
The advantage of introducing $A$ and $B$ appears when we calculate $e(a)$ and $e(b)$. We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~N}))=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1)=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~N}-1))+1=\mathrm{N}  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~N}))=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1)=1+\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~N}-1)=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~N})
\end{array}\right.
$$

The function e is defined by (1.7) in [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\delta_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}+\cdots\right)=\delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}+\delta_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\cdots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain (7) we have used the fact that $e(N)$ is independent of the Fibonacci representation chosen for N .

It is also useful to define $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{N})$ by means of
(9)

$$
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1 ;
$$

this definition may be compared with (4). Let $N$ have the canonical representation (1) and consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
N+1=1+\cdot \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \cdots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta_{2}=0$ we may write

$$
\mathrm{N}+1=\cdot 1 \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \cdots
$$

This representation may not be canonical. However, by (8) we have

$$
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{~N}+1=1+\cdot \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots\right.
$$

Hence, by (8) and (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~N})=\cdot \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta_{2}=1$, then $\delta_{3}=0$ and we get

$$
\mathrm{N}+1=\cdot 01 \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots
$$

Again this representation may not be canonical but, by (8),

$$
\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{~N}+1)=\cdot 1 \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots=1+\cdot \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots
$$

It follows that

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~N})=\cdot \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots
$$

Thus in any case if N has the canonical representation (1), $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{N})$ is determined by (11).

To sum up we state the following.
Theorem. Let N have the canonical representation

$$
\mathrm{N}=\cdot \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \cdots
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~N})=\cdot 0 \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \cdots \\
& \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~N})=\cdot 10 \delta_{2} \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \ldots \\
& \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{~N})=\cdot \delta_{3} \delta_{4} \delta_{5} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Similar observations may be made for Fibonacci representations of higher order. For instance, if we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=(0), \quad B=(10), \quad C=(110) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the relations between $A, B, C$ and $a, b, c$ of [2] are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1 \\
\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~N}-1)+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{N} \geq 1$.
3. By Theorem 11 of [1]
(14)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N \in(a) \rightleftarrows 0<\left\{\frac{N}{\alpha^{2}}\right\}<\frac{1}{2}, \\
N \in(b) \rightleftarrows \frac{1}{\alpha}<\left\{\frac{N}{\alpha^{2}}\right\}<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\{\mathrm{x}\}$ denotes the fractional part of x . The possibility $\left\{\mathrm{N} / \alpha^{2}\right\}=1 / \alpha$ never occurs.
We should like to point out that (14) can be replaced by the following slightly simpler criterion.
(15)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{N} \in(\mathrm{a}) \rightleftarrows\{\alpha \mathrm{N}\}>\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} \\
\mathrm{~N} \in(\mathrm{~b}) \rightleftarrows\{\alpha \mathrm{N}\}<\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

As above, $\{\alpha N\}=1 / \alpha^{2}$ is impossible.
To see that (14) and (15) are equivalent, it suffices to observe that

$$
\left\{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\alpha^{2}}\right\}=\{(2-\alpha) \mathrm{N}\}=1-\{\alpha \mathrm{N}\}
$$
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