# SOME THEOREMS ON COMPLETENESS

## V. E. HOGGATT, JR., and BOB CHOW\* San Jose State University, San Jose, California

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of completeness was introduced in [1].

<u>Definition</u>. A sequence of positive integers, A, is "complete" if and only if every positive integer, N, is the sum of a subsequence of A. The theorem of Brown [2] gives a necessary and sufficient condition for completeness.

<u>Theorem 1.</u> A sequence of monotonic increasing positive integers, A, is "complete" if and only if:

$$a_1 = 1$$
 and  $a_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ .

<u>Corollary</u>. As an easy consequence of Theorem 1, the sequence  $a_n = 2^{n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  is complete, since  $2^{n+1} = 1 + (2^n + \cdots + 2 + 1)$ , a well known result.

 $\underline{ Theorem \ 2.} \quad The \ Fibonacci \ Sequence \ is \ complete.$ 

Proof. The identity

$$\mathbf{F}_{n+2} - \mathbf{1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{F}_{k}$$

gives us

$$F_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k = F_{n+2}$$

since

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$$
.

## 2. ANOTHER SUFFICIENT CONDITION

<u>Theorem 3.</u> If (i)  $a_1 = 1$ , (ii)  $a_{n+1} \ge a_n$ , (iii)  $a_{n+1} \le 2a_n$ , then sequence A is complete.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
a_{n+1} &\leq & a_{n} + a_{n} \\
&\leq & a_{n} + a_{n-1} + a_{n-1} \\
&\leq & a_{n} + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_{1} + a_{1}
\end{array}$$

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by NSF Grant GY9923, Undergraduate Research Participation Program in Mathematics, Summer 1972, University of Santa Clara.

$$a_{n+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$$

since (i) gives  $a_1 = 1$ .

<u>Corollary</u>. The Fibonacci sequence is complete.  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+1} \le 2F_n$  and  $F_{n+1} \ge F_n$ . <u>Theorem 4</u>. The sequence  $\{1, p_n\}$  is complete, where  $p_n$  is the n<sup>th</sup> prime.

<u>Proof.</u> By Bertrand's postulate there is a prime in [n, 2n] for  $n \ge 1$ .

Now  $p_n < p_{n+1} \le 2p_n$ . Thus by Theorem 3, Theorem 4 is proved.

<u>Theorem 5.</u> The sequence  $\{1, p_n\}$  is complete even when an arbitrary prime  $\geq 7$  is removed.

<u>Proof</u>. By Sierpiñski's Theorem VII in [3], we have for n > 5 there exists at least two primes between n and 2n.

Thus

$$p_n < p_{n+1} < p_{n+2} < 2p_n$$

Clearly, if some  $p_{n+1}$  is deleted, then Theorem 3 is still valid. Thus Theorem 5.

<u>Theorem 6.</u> The sequence  $\{1, p_n\}$  remains complete even if for n > 5 we remove an infinite subsequence of primes no two of which are consecutive.

### 3. COMPLETENESS OF FIBONACCI POWERS

Theorem 7. The sequence of  $2^{m-1}$  copies of  ${\boldsymbol{F}}_k^m$  is complete. Proof.

$$\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} \le 2 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 3$$

and

$$\left(\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}\right)^4 \le 2^3 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 3$$
.

Thus

$$\left(\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}\right)^m \leq 2^{m-1}$$
 for  $m \geq 4$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .

Now:

$$F_{n+1}^{m} \leq 2^{m-1} F_{n}^{m} \leq 1 + 2^{m-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} F_{k}^{m}$$

For m = 1, the theorem is true by Theorem 2. For m = 2, we have

$$F_1^2 + F_2^2 + \cdots + F_n^2 = F_n F_{n+1}$$

552

shows that one copy is not enough.

Let 
$$a_{2n}^{}=a_{2n+1}^{}=F_n^2$$
 , then clearly 
$$a_{2n+1}^{}\leq 1+\sum_{k=1}^{2n}\,a_k^{}\mbox{,}$$

since

$$a_{2n+1} = a_{2n}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} a_k = 2(F_1^2 + F_2^2 + \cdots + F_n^2) = 2F_n F_{n+1}$$

Thus

$$a_{2n+2} = F_{n+1}^{2}$$

$$\leq 1 + 2F_{n} F_{n+1}$$

since

$$F_{n+1} \leq 2F_n$$
.

Therefore by Theorem 1 it is complete. For m = 3, four copies of  $F_n^3$  is complete from [5]. Theorem 7 is proved. See Brown [4].

In [5] is the following Theorem which we cite without proof:

<u>Theorem 8.</u> If any  $a_n$ ,  $n \le 6$ , is deleted from the two copies of the Fibonacci Squares, the sequence remains complete, while if  $n \ge 7$ , the sequence becomes incomplete.

In [5] the following theorem is given:

<u>Theorem 9.</u> If four copies of  $F_n^3$  forms a sequence, then the sequence remains complete if  $F_k^3$  is removed for k odd and becomes incomplete if  $F_k^3$  is removed for k even.

The following conjecture was given by O'Connell in [5]: <u>Theorem 10.</u> If  $m \ge 4$ , the  $2^{m-1}$  copies of  $F_n^m$  remains complete even if a  $F_k^m$ is removed.

<u>Proof.</u> Since  $F_{n+1}^m \leq 2^{m-1} F_n^m$  for  $n \geq 3$ ;  $m \geq 4$ , then

$$F_{n+k+1}^m \leq 2^{m-1} F_{n+k}^m \leq 1 + 2^{m-1} \sum_{s=1}^{n+k} F_s^m - F_n^m$$

From Theorem 8, the sequence is complete up to terms using  $2^{m-1} F_n^m$  clearly if we delete one  $F_k^m$  the first possible difficulty appears at k = 1 above. Clearly this causes not rouble for  $k \ge 0$ . The result follows and the proof of Theorem 10 is finished.

Theorem 11. If m = 4k, then the sequence of  $2^{m-1}$  copies of  $F_n^k$  remains complete even if  $2^{k-1}$  of the  $F_n^m$  are deleted.

Proof.

$$\left(\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 3$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} \end{pmatrix}^{4k} \leq 2^{3k}$$

$$F_{n+1}^{4k} \leq 2^{3k} F_n^{4k} = F_n^{4k} + (2^{3k} - 1)F_n^{4k}$$

$$\leq 2^{3k} F_{n-1}^{4k} + 2^{k-1} (2^{3k} - 1)F_n^{4k}$$

$$\leq 2^{4k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F_i^{4k} + (2^{4k-1} - 2^{k-1})F_n^{4k}$$

$$\leq 1 + 2^{4k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n F_i^{4k} - 2^{k-1} F_n^{4k}$$

then let m = 4k;

$$F_{n+1}^{m} \leq 1 + 2^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}^{m} - 2^{k-1} F_{n}^{m}$$

Thus  $2^{k-1}$  copies of  $F_n^m$  can be deleted without loss of completeness. Further: Theorem 12.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k {}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i} \; {}^{\mathbf{F}}{}^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{s}_i}$$

can be deleted without loss of completeness, and where  $\alpha_{i}$   $\leq$   $2^{k-1}$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{s}_i}^{\mathbf{m}} \leq 2^{k-1} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{s}_k}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

Proof. As a consequence of Theorem 11, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i F_{s_i}^m \leq 2^{k-1} F_{s_k}^m \qquad \alpha_i \leq 2^{k-1} .$$

Thus:

$$F_{n+1}^{m} \leq 1 + 2^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}^{m} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} F_{s_{i}}^{m}$$

[Continued on page 560.]