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In an article entitled "On the Ordering of Fibonacci Sequences" [1], the author pointed out that if we consider Fibonacci sequences with relatively prime successive terms and a series of positive terms extending to the right, there is (apart from the case of the Fibonacci sequence:: $1,1,2,3,5,8,13, \cdots$ ), one point in the sequence and only one where a positive term is less than half the next positive term. Such being the case, it is convenient to identify a Fibonacci sequence by these two numbers, as this gives a unique means of specifying a sequence.

The present note is concerned with this question: If the two identifying numbers of a Fibonacci sequence as presently defined are less than or equal to a positive integer $m$, how many Fibonacci sequences does this give?

Theorem. If the starting numbers of a Fibonacci sequence are $\leq m(m \geq 2)$, the number of Fibonacci sequences that can be formed is:

$$
1 / 2 \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \phi(\mathrm{k})
$$

where $\phi(\mathrm{m})$ is Euler's totient function.
Proof. The following table indicates the situation for small values of $m$ and serves as the basis of the subsequent mathematical induction

| m | $\phi(\mathrm{m})$ | $\Sigma \phi(\mathrm{k})$ | $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \phi(\mathrm{k})$ | Sequences |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $(1,1)$ |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $(1,3)$ |
| 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | $(1,4)$ |
| 5 | 4 | 10 | 5 | $(1,5),(2,5)$ |
| 6 | 2 | 12 | 6 | $(1,6)$ |
| 7 | 6 | 18 | 9 | $(1,7),(2,7),(3,7)$ |

Within the limits of this table, it is clear that the total number of sequences that may be formed for any given m is $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma \phi(\mathrm{k})$.

Assume that this is true to some given $m$. If we enlarge the domain by including $m+1$, the new sequences added will be those involving this quantity as well as those
quantities less than half of $\mathrm{m}+1$ and relatively prime to it. But the number of such quantities is $\frac{1}{2} \phi(m+1)$. Thus it follows that if the formula is true for $m$, it is true for $m+1$ and the theorem is proved in general.
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That is, we have shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}) \cdot(1-\mathrm{x})^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}+1)-1} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree $\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)$ given by either of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)} a_{k j}(1-x)^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)-j} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=k}^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)} a_{k j} x^{j-k}(x-1)^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)-j} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the symmetry property (1.9) follows by comparing (4.9) and (4.10). The first few values of $A_{k}(x)$ are $A_{1}(x)=1, \quad A_{2}(x)=1+x, \quad A_{3}(x)=1+7 x+7 x^{2}+x^{3}$.
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(3) Articles of standard size for which additional background material may be obtained.

Articles in the Quarterly for which this note service is available will indicate the fact, together with the number of pages in question. Requests for these notes should be made to

Brother Alfred Brousseau
St. Mary's College
Moraga, California 94574
The notes will be Xeroxed.
The price for this service is four cents a page (including postage, materials and labor).


