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1. INTRODUCTION

The object of this paper is to record some properties of Pell polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ and Pell-Lucas polynomials $Q_{n}(x)$ defined by the recurrence relations
$P_{n+2}(x)=2 x P_{n+1}(x)+P_{n}(x) \quad P_{0}(x)=0, P_{1}(x)=1$
and
$Q_{n+2}(x)=2 x Q_{n+1}(x)+Q_{n}(x) \quad Q_{0}(x)=2, Q_{1}(x)=2 x$.
Initially, the polynomials are defined for $n \geqslant 0$ but their existence for $n<0$ is readily extended, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-n}(x)=(-1)^{n+1} P_{n}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{-n}(x)=(-1)^{n} Q_{n}(x) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some of these polynomials are:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}P_{2}(x)=2 x, \quad P_{3}(x)=4 x^{2}+1, \quad P_{4}(x)=8 x^{3}+4 x, \\ P_{5}(x)=16 x^{4}+12 x^{2}+1, \quad P_{6}(x)=32 x^{5}+32 x^{3}+6 x, \ldots ;\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{Q_{2}(x)=4 x^{2}+2, \quad Q_{3}(x)=8 x^{3}+6 x, \quad Q_{4}(x)=16 x^{4}+16 x^{2}+2\right.$,
$\left\{Q_{5}(x)=32 x^{5}+40 x^{3}+10 x, \quad Q_{6}(x)=64 x^{6}+96 x^{4}+36 x^{2}+2, \ldots\right.$.
Important special numerical cases are: $P_{n}(1)=P_{n}$, the $n^{\text {th }}$ Pell number; $Q_{n}(1)=Q_{n}$, the $n$th Pell-Lucas number; $P_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=F_{n}$, the $n$th Fibonacci number; and $Q_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=L_{n}$, the $n$th Lucas number. Furthermore, $P_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)=F_{n}(x)$, the $n$th Fibonacci polynomial, and $Q_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)=L_{n}(x)$, the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lucas polynomial (see [2]).

Following standard procedures, we easily obtain the Binet forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha=x+\sqrt{x^{2}+1}  \tag{1.9}\\
\beta=x-\sqrt{x^{2}+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

are the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-2 x \lambda-1=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\beta=2 x, \alpha-\beta=2 \sqrt{x^{2}+1}, \quad \alpha \beta=-1 . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating functions for the infinite sets of polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$ are found in the usual way to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} P_{r+1}(x) y^{r}=\frac{1}{1-2 x y-y^{2}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} Q_{r+1}(x) y^{r}=\frac{2 x+2 y}{1-2 x y-y^{2}} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results involving these generating functions are not developed here.

$$
\text { 2. ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES OF } P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x)
$$

Important elementary relationships involving $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ follow without difficulty with the aid of (1.7)-(1.11). Some of these are:
$P_{n+1}(x)+P_{n-1}(x)=Q_{n}(x)=2 x P_{n}(x)+2 P_{n-1}(x)$
$Q_{n+1}(x)+Q_{n-1}(x)=4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{n}(x)$
$P_{n}(x) Q_{n}(x)=P_{2 n}(x)$
$Q_{2 n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{Q_{n}^{2}(x)+4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{n}^{2}(x)\right\}$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}P_{n+1}(x) P_{n-1}(x)-P_{n}^{2}(x)=(-1)^{n} \\ Q_{n+1}(x) Q_{n-1}(x)-Q_{n}^{2}(x)=(-1)^{n-1} 4\left(x^{2}+1\right)\end{array}\right\}$ Simson formulas
$P_{n+1}^{2}(x)-P_{n-1}^{2}(x)=2 x P_{2 n}(x)$ by (1.1), (2.1), (2.3)
$4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{n}^{2}(x)-Q_{n}^{2}(x)=4(-1)^{n-1}$
Formula (2.3) is useful in establishing divisibility properties of the polynomials. Geometrical paradoxes can be constructed from (2.5) when numerical values of $x$ are inserted.

Summations of an elementary nature are obtained in the usual manner. The simplest are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} P_{2 r}(x)=\left(P_{2 n+1}(x)-1\right) / 2 x  \tag{2.9}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} P_{2 r-1}(x)=P_{2 n}(x) / 2 x  \tag{2.10}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} P_{r}(x)=\left(P_{n+1}(x)+P_{n}(x)-1\right) / 2 x \text { by }(2.9),(2 \cdot 10)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} Q_{2 x}(x)=\left(Q_{2 n+1}(x)-2 x\right) / 2 x  \tag{2.12}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} Q_{2 r-1}(x)=\left(Q_{2 n}(x)-2\right) / 2 x  \tag{2.13}\\
& \sum_{r=1}^{n} Q_{r}(x)=\left(Q_{n+1}(x)+Q_{n}(x)-2-2 x\right) / 2 x \quad \text { by }(2.12), \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Extensions and variations of these finite summations, e.g., $\sum_{r=1}^{n} r^{2} P_{r}(x)$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{r} Q_{r}(x)$, are omitted in this treatment of the polynomials.

Induction can be used, with a little effort, to establish the explicit expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\left.\frac{n-1}{2}\right]}\binom{n-m-1}{m}(2 x)^{n-2 m-1}\right. \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\left[\begin{array}{c}
n  \tag{2.16}\\
\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]} \frac{n}{n-m}\binom{n-m}{m}(2 x)^{n-2 m}, \quad n \neq 0
$$

where, in (2.16) we used the combinatorial identity

$$
\frac{n}{n-m}\binom{n-m}{m}+\frac{n-1}{n-m}\binom{n-m}{m-1}=\frac{n+1}{n-m+1}\binom{n-m+1}{m} .
$$

We proceed to prove (2.15).
Proof of (2.15): The formula is trivially true for $n=1$ and $n=2$. Assume it is true for $n=k$ and $n=k-1$ where $k \geqslant 3$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k+1}(x)=2 x P_{k}(x)+P_{k-1}(x) \quad \text { by }(1.1) \\
&=\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right] \\
& m=0
\end{aligned}\binom{k-m-1}{m}(2 x)^{k-2 m}+\sum_{m=0}^{\left[\frac{k-2}{2}\right]}\binom{k-m-2}{m}(2 x)^{k-2 m-2} . ~ \$
$$

If $k=2 t$, this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{t-1}\binom{2 t-m-1}{m}(2 x)^{2 t-2 m}+\sum_{m=0}^{t-1}\binom{2 t-m-2}{m}(2 x)^{2 t-2 m-2} \\
& =\binom{2 t-1}{0}(2 x)^{2 t}+\binom{2 t-2}{1}(2 x)^{2 t-2}+\binom{2 t-3}{2}(2 x)^{2 t-4}+\cdots+\binom{t}{t-1}(2 x)^{2} \\
& \quad+\binom{2 t-2}{0}(2 x)^{2 t-2}+\binom{2 t-3}{1}(2 x)^{2 t-4}+\cdots+\binom{t}{t-2}(2 x)^{2}+\binom{t-1}{t-1} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{t}\binom{2 t-m}{m}(2 x)^{2 t-2 m}=\sum_{m=0}^{[k / 2]}\binom{k-m}{m}(2 x)^{k-2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

by using Pascal's formula. Similarly, it holds if $k$ is odd, and the proof is completed.

Basic relationships involving $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ may be obtained from these combinatorial formulas, but the calculations required are tedious. Binet forms produce the same results more quickly.

In passing, we note the differential calculus result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{n}(x)}{d x}=2 n P_{n}(x) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later, in (6.20), we shall see that the first derivative of $P_{n}(x)$ is given in terms of a (complex) Gegenbauer polynomial.

Because $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ are generalizations of $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$, the collection of miscellaneous results for $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$ given in [7] may be generalized; e.g.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{4 n}(x)-2=4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{2 n}^{2}(x)  \tag{2.18}\\
& P_{n-1}(x) P_{n+1}(x)+Q_{n-1}(x) Q_{n+1}(x)=\left(4 x^{2}+5\right) P_{n}^{2}(x)+(-1)^{n-1}\left(4 x^{2}-1\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{2 n+1}\binom{2 n+1}{k} P_{2 k+p}(x)=\left[4\left(x^{2}+1\right)\right]^{n} Q_{2 n+p+1}(x) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. MATRIX GENERATION OF FORMULAS

We demonstrate that the matrix

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 x & 1  \tag{3.1}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

generates Pell polynomials and Pell-Lucas polynomials, and use it to establish some elementary properties of these polynomials.

Induction, with (1.1), leads to

$$
P^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{n+1}(x) & P_{n}(x)  \tag{3.2}\\
P_{n}(x) & P_{n-1}(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

whence
$\left[\begin{array}{l}P_{n+1}(x) \\ P_{n}(x)\end{array}\right]=P^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$
and

$$
P_{n}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] P^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{3.4}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The characteristic equation of $P$ is
$\lambda^{2}-2 x \lambda-1=0$
with eigenvalues
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\alpha=x+\sqrt{x^{2}+1} \\ \beta=x-\sqrt{x^{2}+1}\end{array}\right.$
By the division algorithm for polynomials,
$\lambda^{n}=\left(\lambda^{2}-2 x \lambda-1\right) f(\lambda)+m \lambda+k$,
where $f(\lambda)$ is of degree $n-2$ in $\lambda$ and $m, k$ are functions of $x$.
Put $\lambda=\alpha$ in (3.7). Then
$\alpha^{n}=m \alpha+k$.
Similarly,
$\beta^{n}=m \beta+k$.
Solving (3.8) and (3.9) yields
$m=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}, \quad k=\frac{\alpha^{n-1}-\beta^{n-1}}{\alpha-\beta}$.
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From (3.8)
$P^{n}=m P+k I$.
(3.11)

Equate the top right elements in (3.11) to obtain $m=P_{n}(x)$ so that the Binet form (1.7) for $P_{n}(x)$ is again produced from (3.10).

Use of (2.1) gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
Q_{n+1}(x)  \tag{3.12}\\
Q_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right]=P^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 x \\
2
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
Q_{n}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] P^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 x  \tag{3.13}\\
2
\end{array}\right]
$$

To illustrate the matrix technique, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+n}(x)=P_{m-1}(x) P_{n}(x)+P_{m}(x) P_{n+1}(x) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{m-1}(x) P_{n}(x)+P_{m}(x) P_{n+1}(x) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{m}(x), & P_{m-1}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{n+1}(x) \\
P_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{m}(x), & P_{m-1}(x)
\end{array}\right] P^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \text { by (3.3) } \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] P^{m+n-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \text { by (3.3) and } P^{m} P^{n}=P^{m+n} \\
& =P_{m+n}(x) \text { by (3.4). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m+n}(x)=P_{m-1}(x) Q_{n}(x)+P_{m}(x) Q_{n+1}(x) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.14) and (3.15) with (3.2) and (3.12), we derive

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{n+r}(x)  \tag{3.16}\\
P_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{r}(x) & P_{r-1}(x) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] P^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
Q_{n+r}(x)  \tag{3.17}\\
Q_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P_{r}(x) & P_{r-1}(x) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] P^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 x \\
2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equation (3.14), including an interchange of $m$ and $n$, in conjunction with (2.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{P_{m}(x) Q_{n}(x)+P_{n}(x) Q_{m}(x)\right\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (3.15), including a replacement of $m$ by $m+1$ and $n$ by $n-1$, with (2.1) and (2.2) gives

1985]

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{m+n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{Q_{m}(x) Q_{n}(x)+4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{m}(x) P_{n}(x)\right\} .  \tag{3.19}\\
& \text { Putting } m=n \text { in }(3.18) \text { and (3.19) yields }(2.3) \text { and (2.4). Further, } \\
& P_{n+1}^{2}(x)+P_{n}^{2}(x)=P_{2 n+1}(x) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P_{n+1}^{2}(x)+P_{n}^{2}(x) & =\left[P_{n+1}(x),\right.
\end{array} \quad P_{n}(x)\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{n+1}(x) \\
P_{n}(x)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Result (3.20) also follows directly from (3.14) with $m=n+1$. Similarly,
$Q_{n+1}^{2}(x)+Q_{n}^{2}(x)=4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{2 n+1}(x)$.
All the above results can, of course, be derived by using the Binet forms (1.7) and (1.8). Techniques employed in these sections give rise to the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{n+r}(x)+P_{n-r}(x)= \begin{cases}P_{n}(x) Q_{r}(x) & \text { if } r \text { is even } \\
Q_{n}(x) P_{r}(x) & \text { if } r \text { is odd }\end{cases}  \tag{3.22}\\
& Q_{n+r}(x)+Q_{n-r}(x)= \begin{cases}Q_{n}(x) Q_{r}(x) & r \text { even } \\
4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{n}(x) P_{r}(x) & r \text { odd }\end{cases}  \tag{3.23}\\
& P_{n+r}(x)-P_{n-r}(x)= \begin{cases}Q_{n}(x) P_{r}(x) & r \text { even } \\
P_{n}(x) Q_{r}(x) & r \text { odd }\end{cases}  \tag{3.24}\\
& Q_{n+r}(x)-Q_{n-r}(x)= \begin{cases}4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{n}(x) P_{r}(x) & r \text { even } \\
Q_{n}(x) Q_{r}(x) & r \text { odd }\end{cases}  \tag{3.25}\\
& P_{n+r}^{2}(x)-P_{n-r}^{2}(x)=P_{2 n}(x) P_{2 r}(x) \quad \text { by (3.22), (3.24) and (2.3) }  \tag{3.26}\\
& Q_{n+r}^{2}(x)-Q_{n-r}^{2}(x)=4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{2 n}(x) P_{2 r}(x) \text { by (3.23), (3.25), } \\
& \text { and (2.3) }  \tag{3.27}\\
& P_{m n+r}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{n}(x) Q_{(m-1) n+r}(x)+(-1)^{n} P_{(m-2) n+r}(x) \\
P_{(m-1) n+r}(x) Q_{n}(x)+(-1)^{n-1} P_{(m-2) n+r}(x)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.28}\\
& Q_{m n+r}(x)=Q_{(m-1) n+r}(x) Q_{n}(x)+(-1)^{n-1} Q_{(m-2) n+r}  \tag{3.29}\\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{n}^{2}(x)-P_{n+r}(x) P_{n-r}(x)=(-1)^{n-r} P_{r}^{2}(x) \\
Q_{n}^{2}(x)-Q_{n+r}(x) Q_{n-r}(x)=(-1)^{n-r+1} 4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{r}^{2}(x)
\end{array}\right\} \text { Simson formulas }  \tag{3.30}\\
& P_{n+h}(x) P_{n+k}(x)-P_{n}(x) P_{n+h+k}(x)=(-1)^{n} P_{h}(x) P_{k}(x) \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

[Feb.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{n+h}(x) Q_{n+k}(x)-Q_{n}(x) Q_{n+h+k}(x)=(-1)^{n-1} 4\left(x^{2}+1\right) P_{h}(x) P_{k}(x)  \tag{3.33}\\
& P_{n+h}(x) Q_{n+k}(x)-P_{n}(x) Q_{n+h+k}(x)=(-1)^{n} P_{h}(x) Q_{k}(x) \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we offer two relationships that can be described as being of the de Moivre type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{n}(x)+2 \sqrt{x^{2}+1} P_{n}(x)\right\}^{r}=2^{r-1}\left\{Q_{n p}(x)+2 \sqrt{x^{2}+1} P_{n p}(x)\right\} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\left\{Q_{n}(x)-2 \sqrt{x^{2}+1} P_{n}(x)\right\}^{r}=2^{r-1}\left\{Q_{n p}(x)-2 \sqrt{x^{2}+1} P_{n p}(x)\right\}$.
When $x=\frac{1}{2}$, (3.35) and (3.36) reduce to
$\left\{\frac{L_{n}+\sqrt{5} F_{n}}{2}\right\}^{r}=\frac{L_{n r}+\sqrt{5} F_{n r}}{2}$
and
$\left\{\frac{L_{n}-\sqrt{5} F_{n}}{2}\right\}^{r}=\frac{L_{n r}-\sqrt{5} F_{n r}}{2}$,
respectively, the first of which is given in [7, p. 60].
Results involving $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ are as multitudinous as the sands of the seashore, and one can gather these grains ad infinitum, ad nauseam.
4. PASCAL ARRAYS GENERATING $P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x)$

Consider the following table.
Table 1: Pell Polynomials from Rising Diagonals

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $2 x$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $4 x^{2}$ | $4 x$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $-8 x^{3}$ | $12 x^{2}$ | $6 x$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 5 | $16 x^{4}$ | $32 x^{3}$ | $24 x^{2}$ | $8 x$ | 1 |  |  |
| 6 | $32 x^{5}$ | $80 x^{4}$ | $80 x^{3}$ | $40 x^{2}$ | $10 x$ | 1 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Denote the coefficient of the power of $x$ in the $m^{\text {th }}$ row and $n^{\text {th }}$ column by ( $m, n$ ).

It is now shown that the rising diagonals presented in Table 1 produce the Pell polynomial (1.5).

Define the entries in row $m$ as the terms in the expansion $(2 x+1)^{m-1}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}(m, n) x^{m-n}=(2 x+1)^{m-1} \quad m \geqslant n \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m, n)=\binom{m-1}{m-n} 2^{m-n} \quad m \geqslant n \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the rising diagonal function $R_{m}(x)$ of degree $m$ in $x$ in Table 1 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{m}(x)=\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right] \\
& n=1
\end{aligned}(m+1-n, n) x^{m+1-2 n} \quad(m \geqslant 1)
$$

Now consider Table 2.

Table 2: Pell-Lucas Polynomials from Rising Diagonals

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2 x$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $4 x^{2}$ | $6 x$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $-8 x^{3}$ | $16 x^{2}$ | $10 x$ | 2 |  |  |  |
| 4 | $16 x^{4}$ | $40 x^{3}$ | $36 x^{2}$ | $14 x$ | 2 |  |  |
| 5 | $32 x^{5}$ | $96 x^{4}$ | $112 x^{3}$ | $64 x^{2}$ | $18 x$ | 2 |  |
| 6 | $64 x^{6}$ | $224 x^{5}$ | $320 x^{4}$ | $240 x^{3}$ | $100 x^{2}$ | $22 x$ | 2 |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Let $[m, n]$ denote the coefficient of the power of $x$ in the $m^{\text {th }}$ row and $n$th column.

We may define the entries in row $m$ as the terms in the expansion of $(2 x+1)^{m}+(2 x+1)^{m-1}=(2 x+1)^{m-1}(2 x+2)$,
that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}[m, n] x^{m+1-n}=(2 x+1)^{m-1}(2 x+2) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
{[m, n]=2(m, n)+2(m, n-1) } & =2(m, n)+(m, n-1)+(m, n-1) \\
& =(m+1, n)+(m, n-1) . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote the rising diagonal function of degree $m$ in $x$ in Table 2 by $S_{m}(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{m}(x) & =\left[\frac{m+2}{2}\right] \\
& =[m+1-n, n] x^{m+2-2 n} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{m+2}{2}\right]
\end{array}(m+2-n, n)+(m+1-n, n-1)\right\} x^{m+2-2 n} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{m+2}{2}\right] \\
n=1
\end{array}\binom{m+1-n}{n-1}+\binom{m-n}{n-2}\right\}(2 x)^{m+2-2 n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\left.\frac{m}{2}\right]} \frac{m}{m-n}\binom{m-n}{n}(2 x)^{m-2 n}  \tag{2.16}\\
& =Q_{m}(x) \quad \text { by (4.3) }
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have demonstrated that Pe11 and Pell-Lucas polynomials are generated by the rising diagonals in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Next, arrange the coefficients of the powers of $x$ in $P_{n}(x),(1.5)$, in the following Pascal-like display.

Table 3: Pell Polynomial Coefficients

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coefffs. } \\ & \text { in } P_{n}(x) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 64 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 128 |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 448 | 0 | 256 |  |
| 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 672 | 0 | 1024 | 0 | 512 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Designate the entry in the $r^{\text {th }}$ row and $c^{\text {th }}$ column of Table 3 by $\{r, c\}$. From the table and (2.15), we have:
$\{2 r, 2 c\}=0$
1985]

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\{2 r, 2 c-1\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{r+c-1}{r-c} 2^{2 c-1} & c=1,2, \ldots, r \\
0
\end{array}\right. & c>r
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \{2 r-1,2 c-1\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{2 r-1,2 c\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{r+c-1}{r-c-1} 2^{2 c} & c=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1  \tag{4.11}\\
0 & c \geqslant r
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (4.8)-(4-11), we can prove:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=0}^{r-1}\{2 r-1-i, i\}=3^{r-1}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2 r}\{i, 2 c-1\}=\frac{1}{2}\{2 r+1,2 c\}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2 r}\{i, 2 c\}=\frac{1}{2}\{2 r, 2 c+1\}  \tag{4.14}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2 r-1}\{i, 2 c-1\}=\frac{1}{2}\{2 r-1,2 c\}  \tag{4.15}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{2 r-1}\{i, 2 c\}=\frac{1}{2}\{2 r, 2 c+1\} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of (4.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}\{2 r-1-i, i\} & =\{2 r-1,0\}+\{2 r-2,1\}+\cdots+\{r, r-1\} \\
& =\binom{r-1}{r-1} 2^{0}+\binom{r-1}{r-2} 2^{1}+\cdots+\binom{r-1}{0} 2^{r-1} \quad \text { by (4.9) } \\
& =(1+2)^{r-1}=3^{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (4.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2 r}\{i, 2 c-1\} & =\{2,2 c-1\}+\{4,2 c-1\}+\cdots+\{2 r, 2 c-1\} \text { by (4.10) } \\
& =\{2 c, 2 c-1\}+\{2 c+2,2 c-1\}+\cdots+\{2 r, 2 c-1\} \\
& =2^{2 c-1}\left(\binom{2 c-1}{0}+\binom{2 c}{1}+\cdots+\binom{r+c-1}{r-c}\right) \text { by (4.9) } \\
& =2^{2 c-1}\left(\binom{2 c-1}{2 c-1}+\binom{2 c}{2 c-1}+\cdots+\binom{r+c-1}{2 c-1}\right) \\
& =2^{2 c-1}\binom{r+c}{2 c} \quad \text { by identity (1.52) in [6] } \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\{2 r+1,2 c\} \text { by (4.11) }
\end{aligned}
$$

If a similar table for $Q_{n}(x)$ is constructed, and if we designate the element in row $r$ and column $c$ by $\overline{p, c}$, we have from (2.1) that
$\overline{r, c}=\{r+1, c\}+\{r-1, c\}=2\{r, c-1\}+2\{r-1, c\}$.
Properties of $\overline{r, c}$ may then be developed on the basis of (4.8)-(4.11).
From (2.2), we derive
$\overline{r+1, c}+\overline{r-1, c}=4\{r, c\}+4\{r, c-2\}$.
To conclude this section, we establish a relationship between ( $m, n$ ) and $\{r, c\}$ in Tables 1 and 3, respectively (both relating to the Pell polynomials). A relationship between $[m, n]$ and $\overline{r, c}$ will also be formulated for the PellLucas polynomials.

Now in (4.9), $2 c-1$ is the power of $x$ in $P_{2 r}(x)$. Comparing the coefficient of the term $x^{2 c-1}$ in (2.15) with that in (4.3), where we recall that

$$
\binom{m-1}{m-n}=\binom{m-1}{n-1}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{2 r, 2 c-1\}=(r+c, r-c+1) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so
$(r, c)=\{r+c-1, r-c\}$.
A similar argument applied to (2.15) and (4.3) for (4.1) yields
$\{2 r-1,2 c\}=(r+c, r-c)$
whence (4.20) results again.
Lastly, consider $\overline{2 r, 2 c}$, the coefficient of $x^{2 c}$ in $Q_{2 r}(x)$. From (4.17),
$\overline{2 r, 2 c}=\left(\binom{r+c}{r-c}+\binom{r+c-1}{r-c-1}\right) 2^{2 c}$.
Using (4.7) with (4.3), we find

$$
[m, n]=\left(\binom{m}{n-1}+\binom{m-1}{n-2}\right) 2^{m-n+1}
$$

whence, by comparison of the two forms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{2 r, 2 c}=[r+c, r-c+1] \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reversely,
$[r, c]=\overline{r+c-1, r-c+1}$.
A similar formula to (4.21) is
$\overline{2 r-1,2 c+1}=[r+c, r-c]$
whence (4.22) results again.
5. DETERMINANTAL GENERATION OF $P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x)$

Write $d_{i j}$ for the element in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $j^{\text {th }}$ column of an $n \times n$ determinant.

Let $\Delta_{n}(x)$ be the $n \times n$ determinant defined by

$$
\Delta_{n}(x): \begin{cases}d_{i i}=2 x & i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{5.1}\\ d_{i, i+1}=1 & i=1, \ldots, n-1 \\ d_{i, i-1}=-1 & i=2, \ldots, n \\ d_{i j}=0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

From $\Delta_{n}(x)$, the determinants $\delta_{n}(x), \Delta_{n}^{*}(x)$, and $\delta_{n}^{*}(x)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{n}(x): & \text { as for } \Delta_{n}(x) \text { except that } d_{i, i+1}=-1, d_{i, i-1}=1  \tag{5.2}\\
\Delta_{n}^{*}(x): & \text { as for } \Delta_{n}(x) \text { except that } d_{12}=2, d_{i, i+1}=1  \tag{5.3}\\
& (i=2, \ldots, n-1) \\
\delta_{n}^{*}(x): & \text { as for } \Delta_{n}(x) \text { except that } d_{12}=-2, d_{i, i+1}=-1  \tag{5.4}\\
& (i=2, \ldots, n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

Induction and expansion along the first row, together with basic properties of $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$, e.g., (1.1), (2.1), yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{n}(x) & =P_{n+1}(x)  \tag{5.5}\\
\delta_{n}(x) & =P_{n+1}(x)  \tag{5.6}\\
\Delta_{n}^{*}(x) & =Q_{n}(x)  \tag{5.7}\\
\delta_{n}^{*}(x) & =Q_{n}(x) . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the process of expansion, we derive recurrence relations such as

$$
\mathrm{ad}^{4}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(x)=2 x \Delta_{k-1}(x)+\Delta_{k-2}(x) \quad k \geqslant 3 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}^{*}(x)=2 x \Delta_{k-1}^{*}(x)+2 \Delta_{k-2}^{*}(x) \quad k \geqslant 3 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { 6. RELATIONS OF } P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(x) \text { TO OTHER FUNCTIONS }
$$

Perhaps the simplest results relating $P_{n}(x)$ to other functions are found in [4]:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P_{2 n}(x) & =\sinh 2 n t / \cosh t  \tag{6.1}\\
P_{2 n+1}(x) & =\cosh (2 n+1) t / \cosh t
\end{array}\right\} x=\sinh t
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
Q_{2 n}(x) & =2 \cosh 2 n t  \tag{6.3}\\
Q_{2 n+1}(x) & =2 \sinh (2 n+1) t
\end{array}\right\} x=\sinh t
$$

Comparison of the explicit summation formulas for $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ given in (2.15) and (2.16) with the explicit summation formulas for $U_{n}(x)$ and $T_{n}(x)$, the Chebyshev polynomials of the second and first kinds, respectively (see [11]), shows that
$P_{n}(x)=(-i)^{n-1} U_{n-1}(i x)$
and
$Q_{n}(x)=2(-i)^{n} T_{n}(i x)$
i.e., $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ are modified Chebyshev polynomials in a complex variable. To reconcile the form in [11] with (2.16) we had to replace the Gamma function, namely, $\Gamma(n-m)=(n-m-1)!$

Because of (6.5) and (6.6), $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ would have [9] complex hypergeometric representations. Other representations also exist in view of the many forms the expressions for $U_{n}(x)$ and $T_{n}(x)$ can take.

In particular, we may record that
$P_{n}(i \cosh x)=i^{n-1} \sinh n x / \sinh x$
and
$Q_{n}(i \cosh x)=2 i^{n} \cosh n x$.

From (1.1) we observe that

$$
P_{n+1}(i x)+P_{n-1}(i x)=Q_{n}(i x)
$$

leads, with the help of (6.5) and (6.6), to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(i x)-U_{n-2}(i x)=2 T_{n}(i x), \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a complex version of a basic relationship between the two kinds of Chebyshev polynomials. Similarly, other Chebyshev relationships may be tied to corresponding relationships involving $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$.

Finally, we allude to the Gegenbauer (ultraspherical) polynomial of degree $n$ and order $v, C_{n}^{\nu}(x)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}^{\nu}(x) t^{n}=\left(1-2 x t+t^{2}\right)^{-\nu} \quad(\nu>0, \quad|t|<1) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with explicit forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{0}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^{r}}{n-r}\binom{n-r}{r}(2 x)^{n-2 r} \quad C_{0}^{0}(x)=1 \quad(\nu=0) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{\nu}(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}(-1)^{r} \frac{\Gamma(n-r+\nu)}{\Gamma(n-r+1)}\binom{n-r}{r}(2 x)^{n-2 r} \quad\left(\nu>-\frac{1}{2} ; \nu \neq 0\right) . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A recurrence relation for $C_{n}^{\nu}(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+2) C_{n+2}^{\nu}(x)=2(n+\nu+1) x C_{n+1}^{\nu}(x)-(n+2 \nu) C_{n}^{\nu}(x) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, for $v=1$, reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n+2}^{1}(x)=2 x C_{n+1}^{1}(x)-C_{n}^{1}(x) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{1}(x)=1, \quad C_{1}^{1}(x)=2 x . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $C_{n}^{1}(x)=U_{n}(x)$, and by (6.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=(-i)^{n-1} C_{n-1}^{1}(i x) . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\nu=0$, (6.11), where $C_{1}^{0}(x)=2 x$, gives

$$
C_{n}^{0}(x)=\frac{2}{n} T_{n}(x),
$$

so that (6.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=n(-i) \quad C_{n}^{0}(i x) \quad(n \geqslant 1) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $P_{n}(x), Q_{n}(n)$ are modified Gegenbauer polynomials in a complex variable.

As the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers arise from $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ when $x=\frac{1}{2}$, we have, from (6.16) and (6.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=C_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)=1, \quad F_{n}=(-i)^{n-1} C_{n-1}^{1}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=2 C_{0}^{0}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)=2, \quad L_{n}=n(-1)^{n} C_{n}^{0}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the known [9] result $d T_{n}(x) / d x=n U_{n-1}(x)$ from [11] with (6.5) and (6.6), we can arrive back at (2.17), viz., $d Q_{n}(x) / d x=2 n P_{n}(x)$.

Differentiating in (2.15) and applying (6.12) in the case $\nu=2$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P_{n}(x)}{d x}=2(-i)^{n-2} C_{n-2}^{2}(i x) . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, we may differentiate in (6.16) and invoke the result [11]
$\frac{d C_{n}^{\nu}(x)}{d x}=2 v C_{n-1}^{\nu+1}(x)$
to obtain (6.20).
Some of the above results, e.g., (6.16), were generalized in [12] for the sequence of polynomials $\left\{A_{k}(x)\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n+2}(x)=2 x A_{n+1}(x)+A_{n}(x) \quad A_{0}(x)=s, \quad A_{1}(x)=r \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, $\left\{A_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a special case of the sequence $\left\{W_{n}(p, q ; a, b)\right\}$, some of whose properties are documented in [8].

Information related to some aspects of the above ideas can be found in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [9], and [10].
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