A NEW FORMULA FOR LUCAS NUMBERS

Neville Robbins

San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132 (Submitted January 1990)

Introduction

The Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\}$ and the Lucas sequence $\{L_n\}$ are well-known to the readers of this Journal. Several closed form formulas exist for Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, namely:

(1)
$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta},$$
 (2)
$$L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 5^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 5^{\frac{1}{2}}).$

(3)
$$F_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]} {n \choose 2k+1} 5^k, \qquad (4) \qquad L_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} {n \choose 2k} 5^k,$$

(5)
$$F_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \binom{n-k}{k}$$
 (6)
$$L_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k}.$$

George E. Andrews, [1] and [2], derived an additional explicit formula for the Fibonacci numbers, which can be written as

(7)
$$F_n = \sum_{k = -\left[\frac{n+1}{5}\right]}^{\left[\frac{n}{5}\right]} (-1)^k \binom{n}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)\right]}.$$

In [1], Andrews proved (7) by using a relation between the Fibonacci numbers and the primitive fifth roots of unity, namely:

$$\alpha = -2 \cos(4\pi/5)$$
, $\beta = -2 \cos(2\pi/5)$.

In [2], Andrews obtained (7) as a consequence of a polynomial identity. In this note, following Andrews, we derive a corresponding explicit formula for the Lucas numbers which is

(8)
$$L_n = \sum_{k = -\left[\frac{n+1}{5}\right]}^{\left[\frac{n}{5}\right]} (-1)^k \frac{n + \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)\right]}{n} {\left(\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)\right]}.$$

Preliminaries

(9)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} x^{j^2 + j} \prod_{k=1}^{j} \frac{x^{n+1-j-k} - 1}{x^k - 1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^t x^{\frac{1}{2}t(5t-3)} \prod_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{n+3-5t}{2}\right]} \frac{x^{n+2-k} - 1}{x^k - 1}.$$

(10)
$$F_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n+1}{[\frac{1}{2}(n+1-5k)]+1}.$$

(11)
$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}.$$

(12)
$$m = \left[\frac{m+r}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{m+1-r}{2}\right] for all m, r.$$

(13)
$${m-1 \choose r-1} = \frac{r}{m} {m \choose r} if 1 \le r \le m.$$

(14)
$$L_n = F_{n+1} + F_{n-1}.$$

Remarks: Equation (9) is the Theorem from [2] with $\alpha = -1$. Equation (10) is obtained by taking the limit as x approaches 1 in (9) and then applying (5). Equations (11) through (14) are elementary.

 $Proof\ of\ (8):$ Equation (10) implies that

(15)
$$F_{n-1} = \sum (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1-5k)\right]+1}.$$

Replacing k by -k in (15), we get

(16)
$$F_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1+5k)\right]+1}.$$

which implies, by using (11), that

(17)
$$F_{n-1} = \sum (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{n-2-\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1+5k)\right]}.$$

If we now use equation (12), we see that

(18)
$$F_{n-1} = \sum (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n-5k) \rfloor}.$$

Applying (13) to equation (18), we obtain

(19)
$$F_{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)\right]}{n} \binom{n}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)\right]}.$$

Equation (19) together with equations (7) and (14) yields

(20)
$$L_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{n + \left[\frac{1}{2}(n - 5k)\right]}{n} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(n - 5k)\right] \right),$$

which is the same as (8) and the proof is complete. (The limits of summation in (8) are determined by the criterion that $0 \le [\frac{1}{2}(n-5k)] \le n$.)

Concluding Remarks

The reader who consults [1] should take note that (i) Andrews' middle initial is erroneously given as H.; (ii) on pages 113 and 117, the name "Einstein" should be "Eisenstein." Both errors were made without consulting Andrews and were not in his original manuscript.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank the referee for his suggestions, which led to a simpler proof of (8).

References

- 1. George E. Andrews. "Some Formulae for the Fibonacci Sequence with Generalizations." Fibonacci Quarterly 7.2 (1969):113-30.
- 2. George E. Andrews. "A Polynomial Identity which Implies the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities." Scripta Math. 28 (1970):297-305.

363