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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1963, both Moser and Carlitz [11] and Rollett [12] posed a problem. Conjecture 1. The only square Fibonacci numbers are

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{-1}=\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{F}_{12}=144 .
$$

Wunderlich [14] showed, by an ingenious computational method, that for $3 \leq m \leq 1000008$, the only square $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is $\mathrm{F}_{12}$; and the conjecture was proved analytically by Cohn [5, 6, 7], Burr [2], and Wyler [15]; while a similar result for Lucas numbers was obtained by Cohn [6] and Brother Alfred [1].

Closely associated with Conjecture 1 is
Conjecture 2. When $p$ is prime, the smallest Fibonacci number divisible by $p$ is not divisible by $p^{2}$.

It is known (mostly from Wunderlich's computation) that Conjecture 2 holds for the first 3140 primes ( $p \leq 28837$ ) and for $p=135721,141961$, and 514229. Clearly, Conjecture 2, together with Carmichael's theorem (see [4], Theorem XXIII, and [9], Theorem 6), which asserts that, if $m \geq 0$, with the exception of $m=1,2,6$, and 12 , for each $F_{m}$ there is a prime $p$, such that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the smallest Fibonacci number divisible by p (whence $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is not divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ and so cannot be a square, if Conjecture 2 holds), implies Conjecture 1; but not vice versa. If Conjecture 2 holds, then the divisibility sequence theorem ([9], Theorem 1) can be strengthened to say that, if $p$ is an odd prime and $n \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \alpha(\mathrm{p}) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the notation of [9], Conjecture 2 for a given prime $p$ states that $F_{\alpha(p)}$ is not divisible by $\mathrm{p}^{2}$. This, by Lemma 8 and Theorem 1 of [9], is

[^0]equivalent to
(2)
$$
\alpha\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{p} \alpha(\mathrm{p})
$$

Since $\nu(p)$ is the highest power of $p$ dividing $F_{\alpha(p)}$, this is equivalent to:
(3)

$$
\nu(\mathrm{p})=1
$$

By Lemma 11 of [9], $p$ divides one and only one of $F_{p-1}, F_{p}$, and $F_{p+1}$, namely $F_{\lambda(p)}$, where $\lambda(p)=p-(5 / p)$ and $(5 / p)$ is the Legendre index. Thus, if $p \geq 5$, since $\lambda(p)$ is not divisible, by $p$, while it is divisible by $\alpha(p), \quad(2)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\lambda(p)} \text { is not divisible by } p^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inspection of the cases $p=2,3$, and 5 , shows that the equivalence holds for these primes also. Finally, (4) is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p-1} F_{p+1} \text { is not divisible by } p^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper presents certain results obtained in the course of investigating the two Conjectures, the latter of which is still in doubt.

## 2. A THEOREM OF M. WARD

We begin with a theorem posed as a problem (published posthumously) by Ward [13]. A different proof from that given below was obtained independently by Carlitz [3].

Theorem A. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{s} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}-1}-1\right) / \mathrm{p}
$$

then, for any prime number $p \geq 5, p^{2}$ divides the smallest Fibonacci number divisible by $p$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{p}-1)\left(\frac{5}{9}\right) \equiv 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We shall show that (8) is true if and only if (5) is false. We shall use the congruence (see [10], page 105) that, when $1 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{p}-1$,
(9)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{p}}\binom{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{t}} \equiv(-1)^{\mathrm{t}-1}(\bmod \mathrm{p})
$$

and Fermat's theorem (see [10], page 63), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if }(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p})=1, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{p}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left\{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\right\}  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n} \pm 1}^{2}  \tag{12}\\
\mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and
(15)

$$
3 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+2(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2}
$$

are well known (see [8], equations (3), (5), (64), (65), (67), and (95) with $\mathrm{m}=1$ ). From then it follows that (since $(1 \pm \sqrt{5})^{2}=2(3 \pm \sqrt{5})$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\left\{\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}+\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\right\}=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 \mathrm{n}}+\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2 \mathrm{n}} \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2} \\
& =5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+2(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}=5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-3(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $p>5, p$ is odd and $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ is an integer. By (6) and (7), the factor $f=6^{p}\left[\frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right]$ : is prime to $p$ and makes both $\phi_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}(5 / 9)$ and $k_{p}(3 / 2)$ into integers. Thus, modulo $p$, by (6), (9), (16), (7), and '(10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{\phi_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)}\left(\frac{5}{9}\right) & =2 \mathrm{f}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{p}-1)}\right.} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~s}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{f} \sum_{\mathrm{t}=1}^{\mathrm{p}-1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}\left\{\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right\} \\
& \equiv-\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathrm{t}=1}^{\mathrm{p}-1}\binom{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{t}}\left\{\left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}+\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{t}}\right\}=-\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}-2\right) \\
& =-\frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{p}}\left\{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{p}\left(5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}+1}+3\right)-2\right\} \\
& =-5 \cdot 4^{\mathrm{p}}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{p}-1)\right]:\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}+1} / \mathrm{p}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{p}-1} \cdot 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \mathrm{f} \cdot 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)-\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}+1} / \mathrm{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are integers prime to $p$, and $F_{p-1} F_{p+1} / p$ is an integer. It follows that (8) is true if and only if $\left(F_{p-1} F_{p+1} / p\right)=0(\bmod p)$, and this contradicts (5), proving the theorem.

## 3. ANOTHER CONJECTURE

We end the paper with an examination of a conjecture, which implies the first conjecture (known now to be true), in a rather different way from Conjecture 2. The underlying result is

Theorem B. Let $p$ be a prime, and suppose that there exists a positive integer $M$, such that
(i) for no integer $n$, prime to $p$ and greater than $M$, is $F_{m}$ a square or $p$ times a square; and
（ii）if $n$ is positive and not greater than $N$ ，and $F_{n}$ is a square or $p$ times a square，then $F_{k}$ is neither a square nor $p$ times a square，when $k$ is the least integer greater than $M$ ，such that $k / n$ is a power of $p$ ；
then no $F_{m}$ at all is a square or $p$ times a square for $m>M$ ．
Proof．Suppose that（i）and（ii）hold，and that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a square or p times a square．In contradiction of the theorem，let $m>M$ ．Then，by（i）， $m$ is divisible by $p_{\circ}$ Let $m=p m_{1}$ ，and write $F_{m}=A B^{2} C^{2}, \quad F_{m_{1}}=B C^{2} D$ ， where $D$ divides $A$ and $A$ is 1 or $p$ ．This makes $F_{m}$ a square or $p$ times a square，and divisible by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}$ ．Now，by the well－known identity（see ［8，equation（35）］，or［9］，equation（8）］）

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m} F_{m_{1}}=\sum_{h=1}^{p}\binom{p}{h} F_{m_{1}}^{h-1} F_{m_{1-1}}^{p-h} F_{h} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that

$$
B(A / D)=B C^{2} D \sum_{h=2}^{p}\binom{p}{h} F_{m_{1}}^{h-2} F_{m_{1}-1}^{p-h} F_{h}+p F_{m_{1-1}}^{p-1}
$$

Also，$\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}-1, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}\right)=1$ ，so B must divide p ；that is， B is 1 or p ； and again $D$ is 1 or $p$ ．It follows that $F_{m_{1}}$ ，too，is a square or $p$ times a square．Arguing similarly，we see that，if $m=p^{r} m_{r}$ ，then $F_{m_{r}}$ is a square or p times a square．This will continue until（ $\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{p}\right)=1$ ，and then， by（i） $1 \leqslant m_{s} \leq M$ ．But then，by（ii），if $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t}}$ is the least such num－ ber greater than $M, s \geq t$ ，and $F_{m_{S-t}}$ cannot be a square or $p$ times a square．This contradiction shows the correctness of the theorem．

Conjecture 3．There is no odd integer $m>12$ ，such that $F_{m}$ is a square or twice a square。

Theorem C．Conjecture 3 implies Conjecture 1。
Proof．Conjecture 3 states condition（i）of Theorem B，when $p=2$ and $M=12$ ．The only $F_{m}$ ，with $1 \leq m \leq 12$ ，which are squares or twice squares are $\mathrm{F}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{2}=1, \mathrm{~F}_{3}=2, \mathrm{~F}_{6}=8$ ，and $\mathrm{F}_{12}=144$ 。 However，the corresponding $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are $\mathrm{F}_{16}=3 \cdot 7 \cdot 47$ and $\mathrm{F}_{24}=2^{5} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 23$ ，and neither is a square or twice a square．Thus（ii）holds also，whence the conclusion of Theorem B，which includes Conjecture 1，is established．

## 4. PYTHAGOREAN RELATIONS

We close this paper by a rather closer examination of Conjecture 3, using the identities (12) and (13), with the well-known result, that the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds between integers if and only if there are integers $s$ and $t$, mutually prime and of different parities, and an integer $u$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}-\mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{u}, \quad \mathrm{y}=2 \mathrm{stu}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{z}=\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}+\mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{u} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conjecture 3 leads us to examine the properties of Fibonacci numbers $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$, which are squares or twice squares, for odd integers m . We obtain the following rather remarkable results.

Theorem $\mathrm{D}_{\text {。 }}$ If m is odd, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a square if and only if there are integers $r, s$, and $t$, such that $m=12 r \pm 1, s>t \geq 0$, $s$ is odd, $t$ is even, $(s, t)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}=2 \mathrm{st}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1}=\mathrm{s}^{2}-\mathrm{t}^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since m is odd, put $\mathrm{m}=4 \mathrm{n} \pm 1$, determining n uniquely. Then, by (12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{F}_{4 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}=\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $F_{m}$ is a square if and only if $F_{2 n}, F_{2 n \pm 1}$, and $\sqrt{F_{m}}$ form a Pythagorean triplet. Since $\left(F_{2 n}, F_{2 n \pm 1}\right)=1, u=1$, and this pair is $\left(s^{2}-t^{2}\right)$ and 2 st, while $F_{4 n \neq 1}=\left(s^{2} \pm t^{2}\right)^{2}$. This gives that $s$ and $t$ are mutually prime and of different parities, with $s>t \geq 0$. By (12), $F_{2 n \pm 1}=F_{n}^{2}+F_{n \neq 1}^{2}$. Since $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n} \pm 1}\right)=1$, not both numbers are even, whence $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}$ is either odd or the sum of two odd squares, which must be of the form $8 k+2$. Since 2 st is divisible by 4 , it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{st}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}=\mathrm{s}^{2}-\mathrm{t}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by (13), $2 \mathrm{st}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. Since this must be divisible by 4 , and $\left(F_{n}, 2 F_{n-1}+F_{n}\right)=\left(F_{n}, 2\right), F_{n}$ must be even, so that $n$ $=3 \mathrm{r}$ (since $\mathrm{F}_{3}=2$ ); whence $\mathrm{m}=12 \mathrm{r} \pm 1$, as stated in the theorem, and (22) becomes (20). Finally, $s^{2}-t^{2}=F_{n}^{2}+F_{n-1}^{2}$ is of the form $4 k+1$, being the sum of an odd and an even square. Thus $s$ must be odd and $t$ even, as was asserted.

Since Conjecture 1 is valid, it follows from Theorem D that, if $r \geq 2$, the equations (20) are not satisfied by any integers $r$, $s$, and 6 .

Theorem E. If m is odd, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is twice a square if and only if there are integers $r, s$, and $t$, such that $m=12 r \pm 3, s \geq t>0, s$ and $t$ are both odd, $(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t})=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{s}^{2}-\mathrm{t}^{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}=2 \mathrm{st} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We proceed much as for Theorem D. Let $m=4 n \pm 1$. Then, by (21), $\mathrm{F}_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}$ must both be odd (since they cannot both be even), so that $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1}$ is even (since one out of every consecutive triplet of Fibonacci numbers, one is even, and its index is a multiple of 3 ). Thus $2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1=6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3$, whence $m=12 r \pm 3$, as stated in the theorem. It is easily verified that, since $2 \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{r} \pm 2$ and $2 \mathrm{n} \pm 1=6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}+2}+\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}+1}=\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}+3}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{r}+2}-\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}+1}=\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}, \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{r}-2}+\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}-1}=\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{r}-2}-\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}-1}=-\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}-3} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

equation (21) yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \mathrm{~F}_{12 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 2}+\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 2}\right. & \left.-\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}^{2} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is twice a square if and only if $\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}$, and $\sqrt{2 \mathrm{~F}_{12 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}^{\prime}}$ form a Pythagorean triplet. Clearly, since $F_{3}=2$ and $F_{6}=8, F_{6 r}$ is divisible by 8, but $\mathrm{F}_{12 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}$ are divisible by 2, but not by 4. Thus $\mathrm{u}=2$ and $\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}$ are of the forms $2\left(\mathrm{~S}^{2}-\mathrm{T}^{2}\right)$ and 4 ST , where $\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{T} \geq 0$, $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})=1$, and S and T are of opposite parities. In fact,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r}}=4 \mathrm{ST} \text { and } \mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{r} \pm 3}=2\left(\mathrm{~S}^{2}-\mathrm{T}^{2}\right),
$$

since 4 ST is clearly divisible by 8. Put $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{t}$; then (23) holds, and clearly $\mathrm{s} \geq \mathrm{t} \geq 0, \quad(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{t})=1$, and s and t are both odd, as stated in the theorem.

We finally note that Conjecture 3 holds if, for $r \geq 2$, the equations (23) are not satisfied by any integers $r, s$, and $t$.
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1967 can be made fram twa 2's, three 3's, four 4's, five 5's,
six 6's, or seven 7's with the aid of eighteen toothpicks and
mixed Arabic and Roman number symbolism. That is,
$1 E 1=-T=V / V 1 V 1 V 1 V 1 V 1=$
11


$$
1 / 1 / 1 / 1=-1 / 1 / 1 / 7
$$
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