

BASES FOR INTERVALS OF REAL NUMBERS

D. E. DAYKIN AND A. J. W. HILTON
University of Malaya, Malaysia
and The University, Reading
1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper we discuss the problem of representing uniquely each real number in the interval $(0, c]$, where c is any positive real number, as an infinite series of terms selected from a sequence (b_n) of real numbers. We choose an integer $k \geq 1$ and require that any two terms of (b_n) whose suffices differ by less than k shall not both be used in the representation of any given real number. The precise definitions and results are given in the next section.

In an earlier paper [2] we discussed an analogous problem of representing the integers in arbitrary infinite intervals.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Throughout this paper $k \geq 1$ is an integer. Also the subscript of the initial term of any sequence is the number 1; e. g., $(c_n) = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$.

In order to prove our main result, which is theorem 2, we need a result which we give in a slightly generalized form as Theorem 1. Let (c_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers which obey the linear recurrence relation

$$(2.1) \quad a_1 c_{n+k} + a_2 c_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_k c_{n+1} - c_n = 0$$

for $n \geq 1$, where a_1, \dots, a_k are non-negative real numbers independent of n , and $a_1 \geq 0$. The auxiliary polynomial $g(z)$ of this recurrence relation is given by

$$g(z) = a_1 z^k + a_2 z^{k-1} + \dots + a_k z - 1 .$$

It is clear that $g(z)$ has just one positive real root ρ , and that this root is simple.

Theorem 1. If the sequence (c_n) is strictly decreasing, and ρ is smaller than the modulus of any other root of $g(z)$, then $\rho < 1$ and $c_n = A\rho^n$ for $n \geq 1$, where A is a positive real constant.

We now define a k -series base for the interval of real numbers $(0, c]$, where c is any positive real constant. This is analogous to the concept of an (h, k) base for the set of integers as an interval; this concept was given in the earlier paper [2].

Definition. A sequence (b_n) of real numbers is a k -series base for $(0, c]$ if each real number $r \in (0, c]$ has a unique representation

$$(2.2) \quad r = b_{i_1} + b_{i_2} + \dots,$$

where

$$i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k$$

for $\nu \geq 1$, and further, every such series converges to a sum $r \in (0, c]$.

It is clear that the polynomial $f(z) = z^k + z - 1$ has just one positive real root θ , that θ is a simple root, and that $\theta < 1$. Let R be a real number. We now enunciate our main result.

Theorem 2. Let (b_n) be a sequence of real numbers such that $b_n \geq b_{n+1} > 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Then (b_n) is a k -series base for $(0, \theta^R]$ if and only if

$$b_n = \theta^{R+n}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

It is not true that all k -series bases are decreasing. For instance, when $k = 2$, the series $(1, 2, \theta, \theta^2, \dots)$ is a k -series base for $(0, 2 + \theta]$. However, A. Oppenheim has shown that if the sequence (b_n) is a k -series base for $(0, c]$ for some $c > 0$, and if N is an integer such that $b_n \geq b_{n+1} > 0$ for $n \geq N$ then $b_n = A\theta^n$ for $n > k$, where A is some positive constant. It is not known if all k -series bases (for $k \geq 2$) are ultimately decreasing.

It follows from Theorem 2 that the sequence

$$(2.3) \quad (\theta^{-N+1}, \theta^{-N+2}, \dots, \theta^{-1}, \theta^0, \theta^1, \dots)$$

is a k -series base for $(0, \theta^{-N}]$, where N is any positive integer. Hence if r is any positive real number, and L and M are positive integers such that both $\theta^{-L} > r$ and $\theta^{-M} > r$, then the k -series representation of r in terms of the sequence (2.3) with $N = L$ is the same as with $N = M$. For shortness, therefore, we can refer to this as the ' θ -representation' of r . Then, if an initial minus sign is used in representing negative numbers, we can give a unique ' θ -representation' for any real number. A ' θ -representation' of real numbers is akin to decimal representation, but is much more closely related to binary representation since when $k = 1$ the ' θ -representation' and the binary representation of the same real number are the same (for when $k = 1$, $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$).

A further observation is that any sum T of a finite number of terms of the sequence $(\theta^{R+1}, \theta^{R+2}, \dots)$, where R is any real number, in the form

$$T = \theta^{i_1} + \theta^{i_2} + \dots + \theta^{i_{\alpha-1}} + \theta^{i_{\alpha}},$$

where $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_{\nu} + k$ for $1 \leq \nu < \alpha$, can be written in the form

$$T = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{i_{\nu}},$$

where $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_{\nu} + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$, simply by putting

$$(2.4) \quad \theta^{i_{\alpha-1}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{i_{\alpha} + \nu k},$$

(The relation (2.4) follows from the relations

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{\nu} < \infty,$$

and

$$\theta^{i\alpha-1+nk} = \theta^{i\alpha+nk} + \theta^{i\alpha-1+(n+1)k}$$

for $n \geq 0$, both of which are very easily proved.) This fact is analogous to the decimal equation $1 = 0.\dot{9}$ or the binary equation $1 = 0.\dot{1}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first prove Lemma 1, an equivalent form of which occurred originally in [3] and was also quoted in [4].

Lemma 1. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ are real numbers then there exists an increasing sequence (n_j) of positive integers such that

$$\exp(in_j\alpha_1) \rightarrow 1, \quad \exp(in_j\alpha_2) \rightarrow 1, \quad \dots \quad \exp(in_j\alpha_p) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For x a real number, let \bar{x} be the number differing from x by a multiple of 2π such that $-\pi < \bar{x} \leq \pi$. We prove the lemma by showing that if we are given any positive real number $\epsilon > 0$, and any positive integer N , then we find an integer $n \geq N$ such that

$$\left| \overline{n\alpha_s} \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } 1 \leq s \leq p.$$

Let M be the region in p -dimensional space in which each coordinate ranges from $-\pi$ to π . Let the range of each coordinate be divided into m equal parts, where

$$m > \frac{2\pi}{\epsilon}$$

is an integer. Then M is divided into m^p equal parts. Consider now the $m^p + 1$ points

$$(\overline{N\nu\alpha_1}, \overline{N\nu\alpha_2}, \dots, \overline{N\nu\alpha_p}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \nu \leq m^p.$$

One part of M must contain two of these points; let the corresponding indices be ν_1 and ν_2 . Then clearly

$$\left| \overline{N(\nu_1 - \nu_2)\alpha_s} \right| < \frac{2\pi}{m} < \epsilon$$

for $1 \leq s \leq p$, and

$$|\nu_1 - \nu_2| \geq 1 .$$

We put

$$n = N|\nu_1 - \nu_2| ;$$

this proves Lemma 1.

Since (c_n) obeys the recurrence relation (2.1), c_n can be expressed in the form

$$(3.1) \quad c_n = \sum_{s=1}^u \left(\sum_{t=0}^{v_s} n^t B_{st} \right) \xi_s^n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 ,$$

where the numbers ξ_s are the distinct roots of $g(z)$, the number $(v_s + 1)$ is the multiplicity of the root ξ_s for $1 \leq s \leq u$, and the numbers B_{st} are suitable complex constants. Let $\rho = \max_s |\xi_s|$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $B_{st} = 0$ when $(s, t) \neq (s', 0)$. Then by (3.1),

$$(3.2) \quad c_n = B_{s'0} \rho^n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 .$$

Since $c_1, \rho > 0$ it follows by (3.2) that

$$B_{s'0} = \frac{c_1}{\rho} ,$$

a positive constant. Since (c_n) is a decreasing sequence, $\rho < 1$. Hence the theorem is true in this case.

Case 2. $B_{st} \neq 0$ for at least one pair $(s, t) \neq (s', 0)$. This implies that $k \geq 2$. We shall deduce a contradiction. By rearranging the terms in (3.1) if necessary, there is a number p where $1 \leq p \leq u$, and a number q , where $0 \leq q \leq \min(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p)$ such that

- (i) For $1 \leq s \leq p$, $B_{sq} \neq 0$, $|\xi_s| = |\xi_1|$ and $B_{st} = 0$ for $q \leq t \leq v_s$,
- (ii) for $p < s \leq u$, if $|\xi_s| = |\xi_1|$ then $B_{st} = 0$ for $q < t \leq v_s$, and if $|\xi_s| > |\xi_1|$ then $B_{st} = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq v_s$.
- Then by (3.1)

$$(3.3) \quad c_n = \sum_{s=1}^p B_{sq} n^q \xi_s^n + R,$$

where R is the sum of a finite number of non-zero terms of the form $C n^\gamma \xi_\delta^n$, where C is a complex constant and either $|\xi_\delta| = |\xi_1|$ and $\gamma < q$, or $|\xi_\delta| < |\xi_1|$. Our assumption implies that either

$$(3.4) \quad |\xi_1| > \rho \quad \text{or} \quad q > 0.$$

If $|\xi_\delta| < |\xi_1|$ then $n^\gamma |\xi_\delta|^n / |\xi_1|^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$(3.5) \quad R / |\xi_1|^n n^q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $1 \leq s \leq p$, let $\xi_s = r_s \exp(i\alpha_s)$, where r_s and α_s are the modulus and argument of ξ_s respectively. Then by (3.5) and (3.4) respectively,

$$(3.6) \quad R / r_1^n n^q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and either

$$(3.7) \quad r_1 > \rho \quad \text{or} \quad q > 0.$$

Further, let w be the smallest positive integer such that when $n = w$, $E_n \neq 0$, where

$$E_n = \sum_{s=1}^p B_{sq} \exp(in\alpha_s), \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1.$$

The number w exists, for otherwise $B_{sq} = 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq p$. From (3.3) and (3.6)

$$\frac{c_{n_j}}{r_1^n n^q} = \sum_{s=1}^p B_{sq} \exp(iw\alpha_s) \cdot \exp(i(n-w)\alpha_s) + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 1 there exists a sequence (n_j) of positive integers such that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{c_{n_j}}{r_1^{n_j} n_j^q} = E_w + o(1) \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is clear from (3.8) that E_w is real and positive; since (c_n) is a decreasing sequence, we have also that $r_1 < 1$ and hence $\rho < 1$.

By (3.7) and (3.8) there exists an integer m such that

$$\frac{c_m}{\rho^m} = \frac{c_m}{r_1^m m^q} \cdot \left(\frac{r_1}{\rho}\right)^m \cdot m^q > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)^{1-k}.$$

Hence,

$$(3.9) \quad c_{m-k+1} > c_{m-k+2} > \dots > c_m > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)^{\rho^{m-k+1}} > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)^{\rho^{m-k+2}} > \dots > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)^{\rho^m}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.10) \quad c_{m-k} = a_1 c_m + a_2 c_{m-1} + \dots + a_k c_{m-k+1} > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right) (a_1 \rho^m + a_2 \rho^{m-1} + \dots + a_k \rho^{m-k+1}) = \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)^{\rho^{m-k}}.$$

Using (3.9) and (3.10) we find in a similar way that

$$c_{m-k-1} > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)\rho^{m-k-1}$$

and

$$c_{m-k-2} > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)\rho^{m-k-2}$$

. . . .

and so on, until

$$c_1 > \left(\frac{c_1}{\rho}\right)\rho,$$

a contradiction. Hence Case 2 does not occur. This proves Theorem 1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The sequence (b_n) is clearly a k -series base for $(0, \theta^R]$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{b_n}{\theta^R}\right)$$

is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$. Hence without loss of generality we assume that $R = 0$, so that we shall be discussing k -series bases for $(0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.

$$\theta^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{n+1+\nu k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Since θ is a root of $f(z) = z^k + s - 1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$, we see that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^m \theta^{n+1+\nu k} = \theta^{n+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\theta^k)^{\nu} = \theta^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \theta^k} \right) = \frac{\theta^{n+1}}{\theta} = \theta^n.$$

for $m \geq 0$. Since $\theta < 1$ it follows that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{1+\nu k} = 1,$$

and hence

$$\theta^n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{n+1+\nu k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

as required.

Proof of sufficiency. We show that (θ^n) is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$.

Let $0 < x \leq 1$. First we construct inductively a sequence (i_ν) of positive integers such that $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and

$$(4.1) \quad \theta^{i_m - 1 + k} \geq x - \sum_{\nu=1}^m \theta^{i_\nu} > 0,$$

for $m \geq 1$. The integer i_1 is chosen so that

$$\theta^{i_1 - 1} \geq x > \theta^{i_1},$$

and since $\theta + \theta^k = 1$ we see that

$$\theta^{i_1 - 1 + k} = \theta^{i_1 - 1} - \theta^{i_1} \geq x - \theta^{i_1} > 0.$$

Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer and suppose that i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t are chosen so that (4.1) holds for $m = t$, and $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k$ for $1 \leq \nu < t$. Then we choose i_{t+1} such that

$$(4.2) \quad \theta^{i_{t+1}-1} \geq x - \sum_{\nu=1}^t \theta^{i_\nu} > \theta^{i_{t+1}} .$$

Hence

$$\theta^{i_{t+1}-1+k} = \theta^{i_{t+1}-1} - \theta^{i_{t+1}} \geq x - \sum_{\nu=1}^{t+1} \theta^{i_\nu} > 0 .$$

From (4.2) and the assumption that (4.1) holds for $m = t$ it follows that

$$\theta^{i_t-1+k} \geq \theta^{i_{t+1}-1} .$$

Hence $i_{t+1} \geq i_t + k$. The construction of the sequence (i_ν) follows by induction.

Since $\theta < 1$ it follows from (4.1) that there exists a representation of x in the form

$$(4.3) \quad x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{i_\nu} ,$$

where $i_1 \geq 1$ and $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$.

This representation of x is unique. For otherwise we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{i_\nu} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{j_\nu} ,$$

where $i_1 \geq 1$ and $i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$, $j_1 \geq 1$ and $j_{\nu+1} \geq j_\nu + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$, and $i_1 < j_1$. Then

$$\theta^{i_1} < \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{i_\nu} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \theta^{j_\nu} \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{j_1+\nu k} = \theta^{j_1-1}$$

by Lemma 2. Hence $i_1 > j_1 - 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $i_1 < j_1$.

Since $\theta > 0$, no non-positive numbers can be represented in the form (4.3). By Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \theta^{1+\nu k} = 1$$

and so 1 is the largest number which has a representation in the form (4.3). Hence (θ^n) is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$. This completes the proof of the sufficiency.

Proof of necessity. We show that if the sequence (b_n) is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$, and if $b_{n+1} \geq b_n > 0$ for $n \geq 1$, then $b_n = \theta^n$ for $n \geq 1$.

For shortness we write $b_0 = 1$, but as stated earlier, by the sequence (b_n) we mean the sequence (b_1, b_2, \dots) . The sequence (b_n) is strictly decreasing, for if $b_i = b_j$ for $i \neq j$ then clearly some numbers have more than one k -series representation. For $n \geq 1$ we define

$$B_n = \left\{ r \mid r = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{i_\nu} ; i_1 = n, i_{\nu+1} \geq i_\nu + k \text{ for } \nu \geq 1 \right\}.$$

We denote by \bar{B}_n the least upper bound of B_n . Since (b_n) is a positive strictly decreasing sequence it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{B}_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{n+\nu k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \bar{B}_n > \bar{B}_{n+1} > 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

It follows from (4.5) that there exists a non-negative realnumber ℓ such that $\bar{B}_n \rightarrow \ell$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But, by (4.4)

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{1+\nu k} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^m b_{1+\nu k} + \bar{B}_{1+(m+1)k} \right) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{1+\nu k} + \ell,$$

so that $\ell = 0$. Hence

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{B}_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now prove by induction upon n that

$$(4.7) \quad \bar{B}_{n+1} = b_n$$

for $n \geq 0$. Since (b_n) is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$ it follows from (4.5) that $\bar{B}_1 = 1$, and so (4.7) is true when $n = 0$. Let $m \geq 1$ be a positive integer and suppose as an induction hypothesis that (4.7) is true for $0 \leq n < m$.

If $b_m > \bar{B}_{m+1}$ then there is no k -series representation for $\frac{1}{2}(b_m + \bar{B}_{m+1})$. Suppose that $b_m < \bar{B}_{m+1}$. Then we can construct inductively a sequence (j_ν) of positive integers, where $j_1 = m$ and $j_{\nu+1} \geq j_\nu + k$ for $\nu \geq 1$, such that for $\nu \geq 1$ there are infinitely many positive integers n satisfying

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_j \text{ if } \nu = 1,$$

or

$$(4.8) \quad \bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \cdots - b_{j_{\nu-1}} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_j \text{ if } \nu \geq 2.$$

By (4.5) and the induction hypothesis,

$$b_0 = \bar{B}_1 > b_1 = \bar{B}_2 > \dots > b_{m-1} = \bar{B}_m > \bar{B}_{m+1},$$

and so there are infinitely many positive integers n such that

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_m.$$

Let $\delta > 1$ be an integer and suppose that the first $\delta - 1$ terms of (j_ν) are chosen. Then for infinitely many positive integers n ,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{B}_{m+1} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_{j_{\delta-1}}, & \text{if } \delta = 2 \\ \bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-2}} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_{j_{\delta-1}}, & \text{if } \delta \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-1}} + \frac{1}{n} \in \bigcup_{i=j_{\delta-1}+k}^{\infty} B_i$$

for infinitely many positive integers n . Therefore

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-1}} \geq 0.$$

However, if $\bar{B}_{m+1} = b_{j_1} + b_{j_2} + \dots + b_{j_{\delta-1}}$, then, by replacing $b_{j_{\delta-1}}$ by its k -series representation we obtain a k -series representation for \bar{B}_{m+1} different from the k -series representation given in (4.4), and this contradicts the fact that (b_n) is a k -series base. Therefore by (4.6) there exists a positive integer q such that

$$B_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-1}} > \bar{B}_q.$$

Hence

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-1}} + \frac{1}{n} \in \bigcup_{i=j_{\delta-1}+k}^q B_i$$

for infinitely many positive integers n . Hence there exists $j_\delta \geq j_{\delta-1} + k$ such that

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\delta-1}} + \frac{1}{n} \in B_{j_\delta}$$

for infinitely many positive integers n . The construction of the sequence (j_ν) follows by induction.

We deduce from (4.8) that for $\nu > 1$,

$$0 < \bar{B}_{m+1} - b_{j_1} - b_{j_2} - \dots - b_{j_{\nu-1}} \leq B_{j_\nu}$$

and by (4.6) it follows that

$$\bar{B}_{m+1} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{j_\nu}.$$

This k -series representation for \bar{B}_{m+1} is different from that given in (4.4), which contradicts the fact that (b_n) is a k -series base. Hence $\bar{B}_{m+1} = b_m$, and it follows by induction that (4.7) holds for all $n \geq 0$.

By (4.4), for $n \geq 0$,

$$\bar{B}_{n+1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1+\nu k} = b_{n+1} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} b_{n+k+1+\nu k} = b_{n+1} + \bar{B}_{n+k+1},$$

and therefore, by (4.7)

$$b_n = b_{n+1} + b_{n+k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

The number θ is the positive real root of the auxiliary polynomial $f(z) = z^k + z - 1$ of this recurrence relation. The modulus of any other root of $f(z)$ is greater than θ . For if $|z| < \theta$, then since $\theta < 1$,

$$|f(z)| = |1 - z(1 + z^{k-1})| \geq 1 - |z|(1 + |z|^{k-1}) > 1 - \theta(1 + \theta^{k-1}) = 0,$$

whilst if $f(z) = 0$ and $|z| = \theta$, then

$$1 - |z| - |1 - z| = 1 - |z| - |f(z) - z + 1| = 1 - |z| - |z|^k = 0,$$

so that

$$|1 - z| = 1 - |z|,$$

and hence $\arg z = 0$ so that $z = \theta$.

By Theorem 1, therefore, for some positive constant A , $b_n = A\theta^n$ for $n \geq 1$. However, we have shown that (θ^n) is a k -series base for $(0, 1]$, and so it follows that $A = 1$. This completes the proof of the necessity and of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. D. E. Daykin, "Representation of Natural Numbers as Sums of Generalized Fibonacci Numbers," Journal London Math. Soc., 35 (1960), pp. 143-160.
2. D. E. Daykin and A. J. W. Hilton, "Bases for Infinite Intervals of Integers," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 329-346.
3. Dirichlet, Werke, Vol. 1, p. 635.
4. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, "Some Problems of Diophantine Approximation," Acta Mathematica, Vol. XXVII.

The Editor acknowledges with gratitude the receipt of \$350 from the Academic Vice President of San Jose State College toward our publication expenses.
