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In [3] this author gave derivations of certain results for restricted combinations by simple extensions of the first problem in Riordan [4, p. 14 J . In these derivations k -combinations of the first n natural numbers were obtained by one-one correspondence with arrangements of plus signs and minus signs on aline. In what follows "dual" results are obtained by the symmetric interchange of the pluses and minuses.

For notation, terminology, and basic combinatorial results we follow Riordan [4]. By k-combinations will be meant k-combinations of the first $n$ natural numbers.

To establish the correspondence, consider the arrangements of pluses and $q$ minuses on a line. If $p=k$ and $q=n-k$, each arrangement corresponds in a one-one way with a $k$-combination of the first $n$ natural numbers as follows. Arrange the first $n$ natural numbers on a line in their natural (rising) order; place a plus sign under each integer selected and a minus sign under each integer not selected.

It is well known that there are

$$
\binom{p+q}{p}
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line. With $p=k$ and $q=$ $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ we get the familiar

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})=\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}}
$$

k -combinations. The dual in this case gives nothing new since

$$
C(n, k)=C(n, n-k)
$$

Starting with the first problem in Riordan [4, p. 14], with pluses and minuses interchanged, there are
(1)

$$
\binom{q+1}{p}
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line with no two pluses together [3]. With $p=k$ and $q=n-k$ we getKaplansky's result [4, p . 198] that there are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1}{\mathrm{k}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

k -combinations with no two consecutive integers in the same combination.
To get the dual in this case, interchange $p$ and $q$ in (1). Then with $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ we have that there are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{k+1}{n-k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

k -combinations with no two consecutive integers omitted from the same combination $(n-1) / 2 \leq k \leq n$.

In [3] we also rederived the circular case of Kaplansky's lemma [4, p. 198]. That is, there are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p+q}{q}\binom{q}{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a circle with no two consecutive pluses, and

$$
\frac{n}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}
$$

circulark-combinations with no two consecutive integers, where $n$ and 1 are taken to be consecutive. The dual in this case is that there are

$$
\frac{n}{k}\binom{k}{n-k}
$$

circular k -combinations with no two consecutive integers omitted, $\mathrm{n} / 2 \leq \mathrm{k}$ $\leq \mathrm{n}$.

In rederiving (5) below, a result of Abramson and Moser [2], which generalizes (2), we got that there are

$$
\binom{p-1}{r-1}\binom{q+1}{r}
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line with exactly $r$ blocks of consecutive pluses. With $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ there are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{r}-1}\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1}{\mathrm{r}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

k -combinations with exactly r blocks of consecutive integers. This reduces to (2) when $r=k$. The dual in this case is

$$
\binom{n-k-1}{r-1}\binom{k+1}{r}
$$

k -combinations with exactly r blocks of consecutive integers omitted. This reduces to (3) when $r=n-k$ 。

There are circular k-combinations corresponding to (5), see [2] or [3], and the appropriate dual.

Another generalization of (2) is that there are

$$
\left(\frac{q+p-b p+b}{p}\right)
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line with at least $b$ minuses between any two pluses [3], and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n-b k+b}{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k$-combinations such that if $i$ occurs in a combination, none of $i+1, i+2$, $\cdots, i+b$ can [4, p. 222]. Here the dual is

$$
\binom{n-b(n-k)+b}{n-k}
$$

k -combinations such that if i is omitted, none of the $\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{i}+2, \cdots, \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{b}$ are, $b(n-1) /(b+1) \leq k \leq n$.

For the circular k-combinations corresponding to (6) see [3, (5b)] or [4, p. 222 ]. The dual follows readily from [3, (9b)].

Combining the restrictions in (5) and (6), we have

$$
\binom{p-1}{r-1}\binom{q-(b-1)(r-1)+1}{r}
$$

arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line with exactly $r$ blocks of pluses, each block separated by at least $b$ minuses. Thus there are

$$
\binom{k-1}{r-1}\binom{n-k-(b-1)(r-1)+1}{r}
$$

k -combinations with r blocks of consecutive integers with atlease b consecutive integers omitted between each block [3, (4b)]. The dual is

$$
\binom{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{r}-1}\binom{\mathrm{k}-(\mathrm{b}-1)(\mathrm{r}-1)+1}{\mathrm{r}}
$$

k -combinations with $\mathrm{r}+1$ blocks of at least b consecutive integers in each, since there are only $r$ gaps.

Clearly, additional results of the type we have considered above can be obtained from similar enumerations in the literature. Additional enumerations for which the duals are immediate appear in [3].

In closing, one enumeration and its dual should be mentioned. Expansion of the enumerating generating function

$$
\left(1+t+t^{2}+\cdots+t^{j}\right)^{q+1}
$$

gives

$$
f(p, q ; j+1)=\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{p}{j+1}\right]}(-1)^{r}\binom{q+1}{r}\binom{q+p-r(j+1)}{q}
$$

the number of arrangements of $p$ pluses and $q$ minuses on a line with at most j pluses between two minuses, before the first minus, and after the last. With $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ we get Abramson's [1]

$$
A_{j+1}(n, k)=\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{k}{j+1}\right]}(-1)^{r}\binom{n-k+1}{r}\binom{n-r(j+1)}{n-k}
$$

the number of k-combinations with blocks of at most $j$ consecutive integers. Its dual is

$$
\left.\sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{n-k}{j+1}\right.}\right] \quad(-1)^{r}\binom{k+1}{r}\binom{n-r(j+1)}{k}
$$

the number of k-combinations with blocks of at most j consecutive integers omitted. See also ( $(\because)$.
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