

MAT 3343, APPLIED ALGEBRA, FALL 2003

Answers to Problem Set 2 (due Sept. 30)

Problem 1.2 #17 Proof 1: Suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. Let d be a common divisor of mk and n . Suppose that d is divisible by some prime, say $p|d$. Then $p|mk$, hence $p|m$ or $p|k$ by Euclid's Lemma (Thm 6(1), p.41). If $p|m$, then p is a common divisor of m and n , contradicting $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Similarly, if $p|k$, then p is a common divisor of k and n , contradicting $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. In either case, we have a contradiction, thus d has no prime factor. It follows that $d = 1$ or $d = -1$. In either case, $\gcd(mk, n) = 1$.

Proof 2: Suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. Let $d = \gcd(mk, n)$, and let $d' = \gcd(d, k)$. Then d' divides k and n , hence $d' = 1$ because $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. Thus d and k are relatively prime. Since $d|mk$, it follows by Theorem 5(2), p.41, that $d|m$. But also $d|n$, hence $d = 1$ since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$.

Proof 3: Suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. Then, by Euclid's algorithm, there exist x, y, z, w such that $mx + ny = 1$ and $kz + nw = 1$. Then $\gcd(mk, n)|(mkxz + nkyz + nw) = (mx + ny)kz + nw = kz + nw = 1$, hence $\gcd(mk, n) = 1$.

Problem 1.2 #18 Suppose $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and $d = \gcd(m + n, m - n)$. Then $d|m+n$ and $d|m-n$, so there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad = m+n$ and $bd = m-n$. Also, since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $xm + yn = 1$. We have

$$x(ad + bd) + y(ad - bd) = 2xm + 2yn = 2.$$

But d divides the left-hand-side, thus $d|2$. As 2 is prime, it follows that $d = 1$ or $d = 2$.

Problem 1.2 #19 Let $d = \gcd(km, kn)$, and let $e = \gcd(m, n)$. We want to show that $d = ke$. First, note that $e|m$ and $e|n$, hence $ke|km$ and $ke|kn$, hence ke is a common divisor of km and kn , hence $ke|d$ (by definition of d). For the converse, use the fact (from Euclid's algorithm) that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e = am + bn$. Then $ke = kam + kbn$. But $d|km$ and $d|kn$, hence $d|kam + kbn$, hence $d|ke$. Because $ke|d$ and $d|ke$, it follows that $ke = \pm d$.

Finally, by definition of \gcd , we have assumed that $e, d \geq 0$. If we also assume $k \geq 0$, then it follows that $ke = d$. Otherwise, if $k < 0$, then $ke = -d$, and the statement is false. Thus, problem 1.2 #19 is incorrect; the additional assumption $k \geq 0$ should have been made.

Problem 1.2 #24 Let $a = 2n + 1$ and $b = 2n + 3$ be two consecutive odd integers. Then $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, b - a) = \gcd(a, 2) = \gcd(a - 2n, 2) = \gcd(1, 2) = 1$ (by repeated application of Example 3, p.38).

Problem 1.2 #25 Suppose $a = 2n + 1$, $b = 2n + 3$, and $c = 2n + 5$ are three consecutive odd numbers, where $n \geq 0$. We claim that if a, b, c are all prime, then $n = 1$ and $(a, b, c) = (3, 5, 7)$. We first prove that one of a, b, c must be divisible by 3. To prove this, consider $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. There are three cases to consider: Case 1: $\bar{n} = \bar{0}$, in which case $\bar{b} = \overline{2n+3} = \bar{0}$ and $3|2n+3$. Case 2: $\bar{n} = \bar{1}$, in which case $\bar{a} = \overline{2n+1} = \bar{0}$ and $3|2n+1$. Case 3: $\bar{n} = \bar{2}$, in which case $\bar{c} = \overline{2n+5} = \bar{0}$ and $3|2n+5$. In either case, 3 divides one of the numbers a, b, c .

Now if a, b, c are all prime, and one of them is divisible by 3, then this number must actually be *equal* to 3. This leaves two possibilities: $(a, b, c) = (3, 5, 7)$, $(a, b, c) = (1, 3, 5)$. The latter triple contains the number 1, which is not prime; therefore $(3, 5, 7)$ is the only triple of consecutive prime numbers.

Problem 1.3 #19 We want to show that there exists no integer k such that $7|(k^2 + 1)$. Equivalently, there exists no element $k \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ such that $k^2 + \bar{1} = \bar{0}$. Equivalently, there exists no element $k \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ such that $k^2 = \overline{-1} = \bar{6}$. There are seven cases to check:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \overline{-3}^2 = \bar{2} & \overline{-1}^2 = \bar{1} & \bar{2}^2 = \bar{4} \\ \overline{-2}^2 = \bar{4} & \bar{0}^2 = \bar{0} & \bar{3}^2 = \bar{2} \\ & \bar{1}^2 = \bar{1} & \end{array}$$

We see that $\overline{-1}$ is not a square in \mathbb{Z}_7 .

Problem 1.3 #27 It is helpful to have a table of squares in \mathbb{Z}_5 , \mathbb{Z}_7 , and \mathbb{Z}_9 .

\mathbb{Z}_5	\mathbb{Z}_7	\mathbb{Z}_9
		$\overline{-4}^2 = \bar{7}$
	$\overline{-3}^2 = \bar{2}$	$\overline{-3}^2 = \bar{0}$
$\overline{-2}^2 = \bar{4}$	$\overline{-2}^2 = \bar{4}$	$\overline{-2}^2 = \bar{4}$
$\overline{-1}^2 = \bar{1}$	$\overline{-1}^2 = \bar{1}$	$\overline{-1}^2 = \bar{1}$
$\bar{0}^2 = \bar{0}$	$\bar{0}^2 = \bar{0}$	$\bar{0}^2 = \bar{0}$
$\bar{1}^2 = \bar{1}$	$\bar{1}^2 = \bar{1}$	$\bar{1}^2 = \bar{1}$
$\bar{2}^2 = \bar{4}$	$\bar{2}^2 = \bar{4}$	$\bar{2}^2 = \bar{4}$
	$\bar{3}^2 = \bar{2}$	$\bar{3}^2 = \bar{0}$
		$\bar{4}^2 = \bar{7}$

We solve (a)–(d) by the method of completing the square.

(a) In \mathbb{Z}_7 :

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + \bar{5}x + \bar{4} = \bar{0} &\iff (x + \bar{6})^2 - \bar{6}^2 + \bar{4} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{6})^2 = \bar{4} \\ &\iff x + \bar{6} = \bar{2} \text{ or } x + \bar{6} = \bar{-2} \\ &\iff x = \bar{3} \text{ or } x = \bar{6} \end{aligned}$$

(b) In \mathbb{Z}_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + \bar{x} + \bar{3} = \bar{0} &\iff (x + \bar{3})^2 - \bar{3}^2 + \bar{3} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{3})^2 = \bar{1} \\ &\iff x + \bar{3} = \bar{1} \text{ or } x + \bar{3} = \bar{-1} \\ &\iff x = \bar{3} \text{ or } x = \bar{1} \end{aligned}$$

(c) In \mathbb{Z}_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + \bar{x} + \bar{2} = \bar{0} &\iff (x + \bar{3})^2 - \bar{3}^2 + \bar{2} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{3})^2 = \bar{2} \end{aligned}$$

There are no solutions.

(d) In \mathbb{Z}_9 :

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + \bar{x} + \bar{7} = \bar{0} &\iff (x + \bar{5})^2 - \bar{5}^2 + \bar{7} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{5})^2 = \bar{0} \\ &\iff x + \bar{5} = \bar{0} \text{ or } x + \bar{5} = \bar{3} \text{ or } x + \bar{5} = \bar{-3} \\ &\iff x = \bar{4} \text{ or } x = \bar{7} \text{ or } x = \bar{1} \end{aligned}$$

(e) Suppose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd. Then $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$, hence, by Theorem 5, p.54, $\bar{2}$ has an inverse \bar{r} in \mathbb{Z}_n . Concretely, we can let $r = (n + 1)/2$, which is an integer, and we find that $\bar{2} \cdot \bar{r} = \overline{n+1} = \bar{1}$. For the next claim, we use completion of the square: for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + \bar{a}x + \bar{b} = \bar{0} &\iff x^2 + \bar{2r}\bar{a}x + \bar{b} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{r}\bar{a})^2 - \bar{r}^2\bar{a}^2 + \bar{b} = \bar{0} \\ &\iff (x + \bar{r}\bar{a})^2 = \bar{r}^2\bar{a}^2 - \bar{b}. \end{aligned}$$

This has a solution iff the right-hand-side $\bar{r}^2\bar{a}^2 - \bar{b}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Problem 3.1 #4 We use the subring test (Thm 5, p.194). Suppose that S, T are subrings of R . To show that $S \cap T$ is a subring, we check conditions (1) and (2).

But $0 \in S$ and $0 \in T$, hence $0 \in S \cap T$; similarly $1 \in S$ and $1 \in T$, hence $1 \in S \cap T$. Thus, $S \cap T$ satisfies (1). For (2), suppose $s, t \in S \cap T$. Then $s, t \in S$, hence $s + t, st, -s \in S$ because S is a subring. Also, $s, t \in T$, hence $s + t, st, -s \in T$ because T is a subring. It follows that $s + t, st, -s \in S \cap T$. Hence $S \cap T$ is a subring.

In general, $S + T$ is not a subring of R , even if S and T are subrings. Consider, for example, $R = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$, the ring of polynomials in two variables, and let $S = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $T = \mathbb{Z}[y]$ be the subrings of polynomials which only use the variable x and y , respectively. Then $S + T$ is the set of polynomials of the form

$$a_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + b_3x^3 + \dots + c_1y + c_2y^2 + c_3y^3 + \dots,$$

i.e., polynomials which only contain powers of x and powers of y (but no mixed powers). Then $x \in S + T$ and $y \in S + T$, but $xy \notin S + T$. Therefore, $S + T$ is not a subring.

Problem 3.1 #10 Suppose R is a ring, $a, b \in R$, and $ab + ba = 1$ and $a^3 = a$. Multiplying the equation $ab + ba = 1$ by a from the left and right, we get $a(ab + ba)a = a1a$, hence, by using the ring axioms, $a^2ba + aba^2 = a^2$. Also, plugging $a^3 = a$ into $ab + ba = 1$, we get $a^3b + ba^3 = 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + a^2 &= (a^3b + ba^3) + (a^2ba + aba^2) \\ &= a^3b + a^2ba + ba^3 + aba^2 \\ &= a^2(ab + ba) + (ba + ab)a^2 = a^2 + a^2. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting a^2 from both sides of the equation, we obtain $1 = a^2$. NOTE: we have not used commutativity of multiplication anywhere; thus, this result is true in any ring, not just in a commutative ring.

Problem 3.1 #18 (a) The characteristic of $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m$ is the smallest positive integer k such that $k(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m) = 0$ (or 0 if no such positive integer exists). But $k(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m) = k\mathbb{Z}_n \times k\mathbb{Z}_m = 0$ iff $k\mathbb{Z}_n = 0$ and $k\mathbb{Z}_m = 0$, iff $n|k$ and $m|k$, iff $\text{lcm}(n, m)|k$. Thus, $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m) = \text{lcm}(n, m)$.

More generally, we have $\text{char}(R \times S) = \text{lcm}(\text{char } R, \text{char } S)$.

(b) Note that, as an additive group, $M_2(R)$ is isomorphic to $R \times R \times R \times R$, via the isomorphism $\varphi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a, b, c, d)$. The characteristic of a ring only depends on the underlying additive group, thus $\text{char}(M_2(R)) = \text{char}(R^4) = \text{char}(R)$. In particular, $\text{char}(M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = n$.

(c) $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_n) = \text{lcm}(\text{char } \mathbb{Z}, \text{char } \mathbb{Z}_n) = \text{lcm}(0, n) = 0$.