

ACSC/STAT 4703, Actuarial Models II

Fall 2015

Toby Kenney

Homework Sheet 6

Model Solutions

Basic Questions

1. *An insurance company sells car insurance. It estimates that the standard deviation of the aggregate annual claim for an individual is \$1,326 and the mean is \$1,102.*

(a) *How many years history are needed for an individual or group to be assigned full credibility? (Use $r = 0.05$, $p = 0.95$.)*

We want to determine the number N , so that after N years, the 95% confidence interval for this individual's average aggregate annual claim has width $2 \times 0.05 \times 1102$. That is, we need to solve

$$1.96 \frac{1326}{\sqrt{N}} = 0.05 \times 1102$$
$$N = \left(\frac{1326 \times 1.96}{55.10} \right)^2 = 2224.826$$

The standard premium for this policy is \$1,102. An individual has no claims in the last 10 years.

(b) *What is the Credibility premium for this individual, using limited fluctuation credibility?*

We have that the individual's credibility is $Z = \sqrt{\frac{10}{2224.826}} = 0.06704278$, so the credibility premium is $0 \times 0.06704278 + 1102 \times (1 - 0.06704278) = \$1,028.12$.

2. *A health insurance company classifies individuals as healthy or unhealthy. Annual claims from healthy individuals follow a Gamma distribution with shape $\alpha = 0.25$ and scale $\theta = 1044$. Annual claims from unhealthy individuals follow a Gamma distribution with shape $\alpha = 0.5$ and scale $\theta = 1370$. 80% of individuals are healthy individuals.*

(a) *Calculate the expectation and variance of the aggregate annual claims from a randomly chosen individual.*

The expected aggregate annual claims from a healthy individual is $0.25 \times 1044 = 261$, and the variance is $0.25 \times 1044^2 = 272484$. The expected

aggregate annual claims from an unhealthy individual is $0.5 \times 1370 = 685$, and the variance is $0.5 \times 1370^2 = 938450$.

The expected aggregate annual claims from a random individual is therefore $0.8 \times 261 + 0.2 \times 685 = \345.80 . The variance is $0.8 \times 272484 + 0.2 \times 938450 + 0.8 \times 0.2 \times (685 - 261)^2 = 434441.36$.

(b) Given that an individual's total claims over the past 2 years are \$396, what are the expectation and variance of the individual's total claims next year?

For a healthy individual, the aggregate claims over 2 years follows a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\theta = 1044$, which means the likelihood of aggregate claims being 396 is $\frac{396^{-0.5} 1044^{-0.5} e^{-\frac{396}{1044}}}{\Gamma(0.5)} = 0.0006004749$.

For an unhealthy individual, the aggregate claims over 2 years follows a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 1$ and $\theta = 1370$, which means the likelihood of aggregate claims being 396 is $\frac{1370^{-1} e^{-\frac{396}{1370}}}{\Gamma(1)} = 0.0005466963$.

The posterior probability that the individual is healthy is therefore $\frac{0.8 \times 0.0006004749}{0.8 \times 0.0006004749 + 0.2 \times 0.0005466963} = 0.814591$.

The expected aggregate claims next year are therefore $0.814591 \times 261 + 0.185409 \times 685 = \339.61 . The expected variance is $0.814591 \times 272484 + 0.185409 \times 938450 + 0.814591 \times 0.185409 \times (685 - 261)^2 = 423112.11$.

3. The number of claims made by an individual in a year follows a Poisson distribution with mean Λ , where the value of Λ follows a Gamma distribution with $\alpha = 2.3$ and $\theta = 0.07$. Given that an individual has made 6 claims in the past 2 years, what is the expected number of claims made in the next year?

The probability of making 6 claims in 2 years is proportional to $e^{-2\lambda} \lambda^6$, so the posterior density of λ is proportional to $\lambda^{1.3} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{0.07}} \lambda^6 e^{-2\lambda} = \lambda^{7.3} e^{-\left(\frac{\lambda}{2 + \frac{1}{0.07}}\right)}$, so the posterior distribution is a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 8.3$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{0.07}} = \frac{0.07}{1.14} = 0.06140351$. The expected number of claims next year is the posterior mean of λ , which is $8.3 \times 0.06140351 = 0.5096491$.

Standard Questions

4. For a certain insurance policy, the book premium is based on average claim frequency of 0.5 claims per year, and average claim severity of \$3,040. A particular group has made 60 claims from 187 policies in the last year. The average claim severity is \$3,914. Estimate the credibility premium for this group using limited fluctuation credibility if the standard for full credibility is:

(a) 203 claims for claim frequency, 700 claims for severity.

The credibility estimate for claim frequency uses $Z = \sqrt{\frac{60}{203}} = 0.5436603$, so the average claim frequency is $(1 - 0.5436603) \times 0.5 + 0.5436603 \times \frac{60}{187} = 0.4026063$. The credibility estimate for claim severity uses $Z = \sqrt{\frac{60}{700}} = 0.29277$, so the credibility estimate for claim severity is $0.29277 \times 3914 + (1 - 0.29277) \times 3040 = \$3,295.88$. The credibility premium is therefore $0.4026063 \times 3295.88 = \$1,326.94$.

(b) 406 years for claim frequency, 700 claims for severity.

As in part (a), the credibility estimate for average severity is 3295.88. However, the credibility estimate for frequency now uses $Z = \sqrt{\frac{187}{406}} = 0.6786686$, so the average claim frequency is $0.6786686 \times \frac{60}{187} + (1 - 0.6786686) \times 0.5 = 0.3784203$, so the credibility premium is $0.3784203 \times 3295.88 = \$1,247.23$.

(c) 523 years for aggregate claims.

The average aggregate claims is $\frac{60 \times 3914}{187} = 1255.829$. The book value for expected aggregate claims is $0.5 \times 3040 = 1520$. The credibility is $Z = \sqrt{\frac{187}{523}} = 0.597957$, so the credibility premium is $0.597957 \times 1255.829 + (1 - 0.597957) \times 1520 = \$1,362.04$.

5. A group insurance policy covers 168 individuals. The insurance company reviews the last 3 years of aggregate claims for each insured. For individual i , the aggregate claims in year j are denoted X_{ij} . We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_{ij}) &= \mu \\ \text{Var}(X_{ij}) &= \sigma^2 \\ \text{Cov}(X_{ij}, X_{kl}) &= \begin{cases} \rho & \text{if } i = k, j \neq l \\ \tau & \text{if } i \neq k, j = l \\ \zeta & \text{if } i \neq k, j \neq l \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Calculate the credibility estimate for $X_{i,4}$.

Let the estimate of $X_{i,4}$ be $\hat{X} = Z_0\mu + \sum_{j,k} Z_{jk}X_{jk}$. We will calculate the Z_{jk} to minimise the mean squared error of \hat{X} . That is, we want to minimise $\mathbb{E}((\hat{X} - X_{i,4})^2) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{X}^2 + X_{i,4}^2 - 2\hat{X}X_{i,4})$. By subtracting μ from all terms, this becomes $\mathbb{E}((\hat{X} - \mu)^2 + (X_{i,4} - \mu)^2 - 2(\hat{X} - \mu)(X_{i,4} - \mu))$, which is $\text{Var}(\hat{X}) + \text{Var}(X_{i,4}) - 2\text{Cov}(\hat{X}, X_{i,4})$. Expanding these terms, we know that $\text{Var}(X_{i,4}) = \sigma^2$, while $\text{Cov}(\hat{X}, X_{i,4}) = \sum_{j,k} Z_{jk} \text{Cov}(X_{jk}, X_{i,4}) = \sum_k Z_{ik}\rho + \sum_{j \neq i,k} Z_{jk}\zeta$. Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\hat{X}) &= \sum_{j,k,l,m} Z_{jk}Z_{lm} \text{Cov}(X_{jk}, X_{lm}) \\
&= \sum_{j,k} Z_{jk}^2 \sigma^2 + \sum_{j,k,m} Z_{jk}Z_{jm} \rho + \sum_{j,k,l} Z_{j,k}Z_{l,k} \tau + \sum_{j \neq l, k \neq m} Z_{j,k}Z_{l,m} \zeta
\end{aligned}$$

We therefore need to choose $Z_{j,k}$ to minimise

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j,k} Z_{jk}^2 \sigma^2 + \sum_{j,k \neq m} Z_{jk}Z_{jm} \rho + \sum_{j \neq l, k} Z_{j,k}Z_{l,k} \tau + \sum_{j \neq l, k \neq m} Z_{j,k}Z_{l,m} \zeta \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_k Z_{ik} \rho + \sum_{j \neq i, k} Z_{jk} \zeta \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating with respect to Z_{jk} gives in the cases $j = i$ and $j \neq i$ respectively, gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
2Z_{ik} \sigma^2 + \sum_{m \neq k} Z_{im} \rho + \sum_{l \neq i} Z_{lk} \tau + \sum_{l \neq i, m \neq k} Z_{lm} \zeta &= \rho \\
2Z_{jk} \sigma^2 + \sum_{m \neq k} Z_{jm} \rho + \sum_{l \neq j} Z_{lk} \tau + \sum_{l \neq i, m \neq k} Z_{lm} \zeta &= \zeta
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we expect Z_{ik} to be the same for all $k = 1, 2, 3$. We can confirm this from the first equation. We also expect Z_{jk} to be the same for all $j \neq i$. Letting $Z_{ik} = a$ and $Z_{jk} = b$, our equations become:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho)a + 167b\tau + 167 \times 2b\zeta &= \rho \\
2b\sigma^2 + 2b\rho + 166b\tau + a\tau + 166 \times 2b\zeta + 2a\zeta &= \zeta \\
(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho)a + 167(\tau + 2\zeta)b &= \rho \\
(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho + 166\tau + 332\zeta)b + (\tau + 2\zeta)a &= \zeta \\
167(\tau + 2\zeta)^2 b - (2\sigma^2 + 2\rho)(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho + 166\tau + 332\zeta)b &= \rho(\tau + 2\zeta) - \zeta(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho) \\
b &= \frac{\rho(\tau + 2\zeta) - \zeta(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho)}{(\tau + 2\zeta)^2 - (2\sigma^2 + 2\rho)(2\sigma^2 + 2\rho + 166\tau + 332\zeta)} \\
((2\sigma^2 + 2\rho) - (\tau + 2\zeta))(a - b) &= \rho - \zeta \\
a &= b + \frac{\rho - \zeta}{((2\sigma^2 + 2\rho) - (\tau + 2\zeta))}
\end{aligned}$$