

## Math/Stat 2300 Choosing Models (3.4)

from text *A First Course in Mathematical Modeling*, Giordano, Fox, Horton, Weir, 2009.

### Qualitative description of the criteria

*Minimizing the sum of the absolute deviations* tends to treat each data point with equal weight and to average the deviations.

*The Chebyshev criterion* gives more weight to a single point potentially having a large deviation.

*The Least-squares criterion* is somewhere in between as far as weighing individual points with significant deviations

### How do we relate the curve fitting criteria?

For *Chebyshev criterion*:

Suppose Chebyshev criterion is applied to some problem and the resulting optimization problem is solved to give the function  $F(x)$ . The absolute deviations resulting from this fit are defined as

$$|y_i - F(x_i)| = c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Let

$c_{max}$  be defined as the largest of the absolute deviations  $c_i$

This means

$$c_i \leq c_{max}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

What is  $c_{max}$ ?

**Since the parameters of  $F(x)$  are determined so as to minimize the value of the largest deviation,  $c_{max}$  is the minimal largest absolute deviation**

For *Least-Squares criterion*:

Suppose the Least-squares criterion is applied and the resulting optimization problem is solved to give the function  $G(x)$ . The absolute deviations resulting from this fit are defined as

$$|y_i - G(x_i)| = d_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Let

$d_{max}$  be defined as the largest of the absolute deviations  $d_i$

Note that the sum of the  $d_i$  squares is the smallest such sum.

$$d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_m^2 \leq c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \dots + c_m^2$$

$$c_{max} \leq d_{max}$$

Because  $c_i \leq c_{max}$  for every  $i$ ,

$$d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_m^2 \leq c_{max}^2 + \dots + c_{max}^2 + \dots + c_{max}^2$$

$$d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_m^2 \leq m c_{max}^2$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_m^2}{m}} \leq c_{max} \leq d_{max}$$

## Choosing a Best Model

How can we evaluate how well the model fits the data?

*Example.* Let's compare the different ways of fitting data:  
Previously, we fit the data

$x$	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5
$y$	0.7	3.4	7.2	12.4	20.1

to the curve  $y = Ax^2$ .

Using Least-squares, we determined

$$y = 3.1869x^2 \approx 3.19x^2$$

Using transformed Least-squares, we determined

$$\ln a = 1.14322$$

giving

$$y = 3.1368x^2 \approx 3.14x^2$$

For the sake of comparing fitting methods, if the optimization problem resulting from applying the Chebyshev criterion was solved, we would obtain

$$y = 3.17073x^2 \approx 3.17x^2$$

To compare the methods, we consider the deviations

$x_i$	$y_i$	$y_i - 3.19x_i^2$	$y_i - 3.14x_i^2$	$y_i - 3.17x_i^2$
0.5	0.7	-0.0975	-0.085	-0.0925
1.0	3.4	0.21	0.26	0.23
1.5	7.2	0.0225	0.135	0.0675
2.0	12.4	-0.36	-0.16	-0.28
2.5	20.1	0.1625	0.475	0.2875

Criterion	Model	$\sum [y_i - y(x_i)]^2$	$\text{Max} y_i - y(x_i) $
Least-Squares	$y = 3.19x^2$	0.21	0.36
Transformed Least-Squares	$y = 3.14x^2$	0.34	0.475
Chebyshev	$y = 3.17x^2$	0.23	0.2875

Is one of the models better than the others? Why?

maybe or maybe not

We could choose the model with the smallest absolute deviation (Chebyshev) or choose model with smallest sum of squares (Least Squares)

the model with the smallest absolute deviation or smallest sum of squares may fit very poorly over the range you intend to use it most

Some considerations:

interpolation - construct models that pass through each data point  $\implies$  will give zero sum of squares and zero maximum deviation

Which model is best must be decided on a case-by-case basis.

## Math/Stat 2300 Interpolation

### Higher Order Polynomials Models (4.2)

Recall *polynomials* are functions of the form

$$P_n(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$

where  $n$  is the *degree* of the polynomial and  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  are real constants.

A unique polynomial of degree of at most degree 2 can be passed through three data points BUT an infinite number of polynomials of any degree greater than 2 can be passed through three data points.

We call a polynomial that interpolates a set of data points an *interpolant*.

Consider the following data

$x$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$y$	205	430	677	945	1233	1542

Because we have 6 data points, a unique polynomial of at most degree 5 is expected to interpolate the data

$$P_5(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + a_4 x^4 + a_5 x^5$$

We can use the 6 data points to determine the constants  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_5$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 205 &= a_0 + a_1(1) + a_2(1)^2 + a_3(1)^3 + a_4(1)^4 + a_5(1)^5 \\ 430 &= a_0 + a_1(2) + a_2(2)^2 + a_3(2)^3 + a_4(2)^4 + a_5(2)^5 \\ &\vdots \\ 1542 &= a_0 + a_1(6) + a_2(6)^2 + a_3(6)^3 + a_4(6)^4 + a_5(6)^5 \end{aligned}$$

We would solve this system of linear equations to determine the constants  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_5$ .

For more data points, we would have larger systems of equations to solve. Large systems of linear equations can be difficult to solve with great numerical precision.

## Lagrange Polynomials

There is an alternative form of the interpolant: **Lagrangian form of the polynomial**

Suppose the following data has been collected

$x$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$
$y$	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$

Consider the following cubic polynomial

$$P_3(x) = \frac{(x-x_2)(x-x_3)(x-x_4)}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)(x_1-x_4)}y_1 + \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_3)(x-x_4)}{(x_2-x_1)(x_2-x_3)(x_2-x_4)}y_2 \\ + \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)(x-x_4)}{(x_3-x_1)(x_3-x_2)(x_3-x_4)}y_3 + \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)(x-x_3)}{(x_4-x_1)(x_4-x_2)(x_4-x_3)}y_4$$

Does this interpolate the data?

**YES**

$$P_3(x_1) = \frac{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)(x_1-x_4)}{(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)(x_1-x_4)}y_1 + \frac{(x_1-x_1)(x_1-x_3)(x_1-x_4)}{(x_2-x_1)(x_2-x_3)(x_2-x_4)}y_2 \\ + \frac{(x_1-x_1)(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_4)}{(x_3-x_1)(x_3-x_2)(x_3-x_4)}y_3 + \frac{(x_1-x_1)(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_3)}{(x_4-x_1)(x_4-x_2)(x_4-x_3)}y_4 = y_1$$

Similarly,

$$P_3(x_2) = y_2, \quad P_3(x_3) = y_3, \quad P_3(x_4) = y_4$$

When does the polynomial not exist?

**When any of the  $x_i$  are equal.**

**The  $x_i$  values must all be different (to prevent division by zero).**

*Theorem*

If  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are  $(n+1)$  distinct points and  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  are corresponding observations at these points, then there exists a unique polynomial  $P(x)$ , of at most degree  $n$ , with the property that

$$y_k = P(x_k) \quad \text{for each } k = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

This polynomial is given by

$$P(x) = y_0L_0(x) + \dots + y_nL_n(x)$$

where

$$L_k(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\cdots(x-x_{k-1})(x-x_{k+1})\cdots(x-x_n)}{(x_k-x_0)(x_k-x_1)\cdots(x_k-x_{k-1})(x_k-x_{k+1})\cdots(x_k-x_n)}$$

What are some advantages of using higher order polynomials?

**Because the polynomial passes through each of the data points, the resultant sum of absolute deviations is zero.**

**easy to integrate and differentiate**

What are some disadvantages?

**High order polynomials oscillate severely near the end points**

**Coefficients of high order polynomials are sensitive to small changes in the data**

*Example.* Using the following data, determine the second order interpolating polynomial

$x$	2	2.5	4
$y$	0.5	0.4	0.25

**Let**  $x_0 = 2$ ,  $x_1 = 2.5$ ,  $x_2 = 4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}L_0(x) &= \frac{(x - 2.5)(x - 4)}{(2 - 2.5)(2 - 4)} = (x - 2.5)(x - 4) \\L_1(x) &= \frac{(x - 2)(x - 4)}{(2.5 - 2)(2.5 - 4)} = \frac{-4}{3}(x - 2)(x - 4) \\L_2(x) &= \frac{(x - 2)(x - 2.5)}{(4 - 2)(4 - 2.5)} = \frac{1}{3}(x - 2)(x - 2.5)\end{aligned}$$

$$P(x) = L_0(x)y_0 + L_1(x)y_1 + L_2(x)y_2$$

$$P(x) = (x - 2.5)(x - 4)(0.5) + (-4/3)(x - 2)(x - 4)(0.4) + (1/3)(x - 2)(x - 2.5)(0.25)$$