# ON GENERALIZED ZECKENDORF DECOMPOSITIONS AND GENERALIZED GOLDEN STRINGS

#### HÙNG VIỆT CHU

ABSTRACT. Zeckendorf proved that every positive integer has a unique representation as a sum of nonconsecutive Fibonacci numbers. A natural generalization of this theorem is to look at the sequence defined as follows: for  $n \ge 2$ , let  $F_{n,1} = F_{n,2} = \cdots = F_{n,n} = 1$  and  $F_{n,m+1} = F_{n,m} + F_{n,m+1-n}$  for all  $m \ge n$ . It is known that every positive integer has a unique representation as a sum of  $F_{n,m}$ 's, where the indices of summands are at least n apart. We call this the n-decomposition. Griffiths showed an interesting relationship between the Zeckendorf decomposition and the golden string. In this paper, we continue the work to show a relationship between the n-decomposition and the generalized golden string.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

We define the Fibonacci sequence to be  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_2 = 1$ , and  $F_m = F_{m-1} + F_{m-2}$  for  $m \ge 3$ . The Fibonacci numbers have fascinated mathematicians for centuries with many interesting properties. A theorem of Zeckendorf [14] states that every positive integer m can be uniquely written as a sum of nonconsecutive Fibonacci numbers. This gives the so-called Zeckendorf decomposition of m. A more formal statement of Zeckendorf's theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unique increasing sequence of positive integers  $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$  such that  $c_1 \ge 2$ ,  $c_i \ge c_{i-1} + 2$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$ , and  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k F_{c_i}$ .

Much work has been done to understand the structure of Zeckendorf decompositions and their applications (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12]) and to generalize them (see [5, 6, 10, 11, 13]). Before stating our main results, we mention several related results from the literature. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ , we define the sequence  $F_{n,1} = \cdots = F_{n,n} = 1$  and  $F_{n,m+1} = F_{n,m} + F_{n,m+1-n}$  for all  $m \geq n$ . The following theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1, follows immediately from the proof of [5, Theorem 1.3].

**Theorem 1.2.** For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a unique increasing sequence of positive integers  $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$  such that  $c_1 \ge n$ ,  $c_i \ge c_{i-1} + n$  for  $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$ , and  $m = \sum_{i=1}^k F_{n,c_i}$ .

For conciseness, we call the decomposition in Theorem 1.2 the *n*-decomposition. In [8], Griffiths made a connection between the golden string and the Zeckendorf decomposition. In particular, the golden string  $S_{\infty} = a_2a_1a_2a_2a_1a_2a_2a_1a_2a_2a_1a_2a_2a_1\dots$  is defined to be the infinite string of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  constructed recursively as follows. Let  $S_1 = a_1$  and  $S_2 = a_2$ , and for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $S_m$  is defined to be the concatenation of the strings  $S_{m-1}$  and  $S_{m-2}$ , which we denote by  $S_{m-1} \circ S_{m-2}$ . Thus,

$$S_3 = S_2 \circ S_1 = a_2 \circ a_1 = a_2 a_1,$$
  

$$S_4 = S_3 \circ S_2 = a_2 a_1 \circ a_2 = a_2 a_1 a_2$$

and so on. We generalize the golden string in an obvious way. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ , we let

$$S_1 = a_1, \dots, S_n = a_n,$$
  

$$S_m = S_{m-1} \circ S_{m-n} \text{ for } m \ge n+1.$$

We call  $S_{\infty}$  obtained from the recursive process the *n*-string. For example, when n = 2, we have the golden string; when n = 3, we have the 3-string:

# $a_3a_1a_2a_3a_3a_1a_3a_1a_2a_3a_1a_2a_3a_3\ldots$

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [8] show that the Zeckendorf decomposition (2-decomposition) is linked to the golden string (2-string). This might lead us to suspect that in general, the n-decomposition is linked to the n-string. Our next theorems show that the suspicion is indeed well-founded.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $n \ge 3$  and  $m \ge 1$ . The following items hold.

(1)  $S_m$  contains  $F_{n,m}$  letters, of which

$$\begin{array}{c} F_{n,m-(n-1)} \ are \ a_n \ 's, \\ F_{n,m-n} \ are \ a_1 \ 's, \\ F_{n,m-(n+1)} \ are \ a_2 \ 's, \\ \vdots \\ F_{n,m-(2n-2)} \ are \ a_{n-1} \ 's. \end{array}$$

(2) For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , the concatenation

$$S_{n+(n-1)m} \circ \cdots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n$$
  
gives the first  $F_{n,n} + F_{n,2n-1} + \cdots + F_{n,(m+1)n-m}$  letters of  $S_n$ 

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $n \ge 3$  and  $m \ge 1$ . Let  $F_{n,c_1} + F_{n,c_2} + \cdots + F_{n,c_k}$  be the n-decomposition of  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $S_{c_k} \circ S_{c_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_{c_1}$  gives the first m letters of  $S_{\infty}$ .

**Remark 1.5.** So that Theorem 1.3 (1) makes sense, we need to extend the sequence  $F_{n,m}$  to the left while following the recursive relation. It is straightforward that for  $n \ge 3$ , we have  $F_{n,0} = \cdots = F_{n,2-n} = 0$ ,  $F_{n,1-n} = 1$ , and  $F_{-n} = \cdots = F_{3-2n} = 0$ .

For each  $m \ge 1$ , let  $N_{a_i}(m)$  denote the number of  $a_i$  in the string  $S_{\infty}$  up to m.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $n \ge 3$  and  $m \ge 1$ . If  $m = F_{n,c_1} + F_{n,c_2} + \cdots + F_{n,c_k}$  is an n-decomposition of m, then

$$N_{a_i}(m) = \begin{cases} F_{n,c_1-(n+i-1)} + F_{n,c_2-(n+i-1)} + \dots + F_{n,c_k-(n+i-1)}, & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1; \\ F_{n,c_1-(n-1)} + F_{n,c_2-(n-1)} + \dots + F_{n,c_k-(n-1)}, & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Our final result extends [7, Theorem 3.4], which describes the set of all positive integers having the summand  $F_k$  in their Zeckendorf decomposition. The following theorem sheds another light on the relationship between the *n*-string and the *n*-decomposition.

**Theorem 1.7.** For  $k \ge n \ge 3$ , the set of all positive integers having the summand  $F_{n,k}$  in their n-decomposition is given by

$$Z_n(k) = \left\{ j + F_{n,k} + \sum_{i=1}^n F_{n,k+i} \cdot N_{a_i}(m) : 0 \le j \le F_{n,k-(n-1)} - 1 \text{ and } m \ge 0 \right\}.$$

AUGUST 2021

# THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

**Remark 1.8.** In [7, Theorem 3.4],  $Z_2(k)$  has a closed form thanks to [8, Theorem 3.3], which provides a formula for  $N_{a_i}(m)$  in the case of the golden string. The formula was deduced using Binet's formula for the Fibonacci numbers. However, the author of the present paper is unable to find such a closed form for  $Z_n(k)$ , when  $n \ge 3$ . Thus, Theorem 1.7 only gives another (not quicker) way to find  $Z_n(k)$  and shows a relationship between the *n*-string and the *n*-decomposition.

As we proceed to the proof of the main theorems, a number of immediate results are encountered.

# 2. Relationship Between the n-Decomposition and the n-String

The following lemma will be used in due course.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $S_{\infty}$  be the *n*-string. The following items hold.

- (1) Fix i and j such that  $n \leq i \leq j (n-1)$ . Then,  $S_j \circ S_i$  gives the first  $F_{n,i} + F_{n,j}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ .
- (2) Fix  $j \ge i \ge n$ . There exists  $S^*$  (possibly empty), a concatenation of some  $S_i$ 's, such that  $S_i \circ S^* = S_j$ .
- (3) For  $m \ge 2$ , there exists  $S^*$  (possibly empty), a concatenation of some  $S_i$ 's, such that

$$(S_{n+(n-1)m} \circ \dots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n) \circ S^* = S_{n+(n-1)m+1} \circ S_i$$

for some  $n \le i \le n + (n-1)(m-1) + 1$ .

(4) For  $m \ge 2$ , there exists  $S^*$  (possibly empty), a concatenation of some  $S_i$ 's, such that

$$(S_{n+(n-1)m} \circ \cdots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n) \circ S^* = S_{n+(n-1)m+2}.$$

*Proof.* We first prove (1). By construction,  $S_{j+1} = S_j \circ S_{j-(n-1)}$  and  $S_{j+1}$  gives the first  $F_{n,j+1}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ . Hence,  $S_j \circ S_{j-(n-1)}$  gives the first  $F_{n,j+1}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ . Because  $n \leq i \leq j - (n-1)$ ,  $S_i$  gives the first  $F_{n,i}$  letters of  $S_{j-(n-1)}$ . Therefore,  $S_j \circ S_i$  gives the first  $F_{n,i} + F_{n,j}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ , as desired.

To prove (2), it suffices to show that there exists  $S^*$  such that  $S_i \circ S^* = S_{i+1}$ . By construction and because  $i \ge n$ , we can let  $S^* = S_{i-(n-1)}$ .

Next, we prove (3). We proceed by induction on m. Base cases. For m = 2,

$$S_{n+2(n-1)} \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n = S_{n+2(n-1)+1} \circ S_n.$$

Thus, letting  $S^*$  be the empty string, our claim holds. For m = 3, we have

$$S_{n+3(n-1)} \circ S_{n+2(n-1)} \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n = S_{n+3(n-1)+1} \circ S_{n+(n-1)+1}.$$

Again, letting  $S^*$  be the empty string, our claim holds. Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that our claim holds for all  $2 \le m \le \ell$  for some  $\ell \ge 3$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)} \circ S_{n+(n-1)\ell} \circ S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)} \circ \cdots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n \\ &= S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)+1} \circ (S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)} \circ \cdots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n) \\ &= S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)+1} \circ S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)+1} \circ S_i \text{ for some } n \le i \le n+(n-1)(\ell-2)+1. \end{aligned}$$

VOLUME 59, NUMBER 3

The last equality is due to the inductive hypothesis. By (2), there exists some S', a concatenation of some  $S_i$ 's, such that  $S_i \circ S' = S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-2)+1}$ . Hence,

$$(S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)} \circ S_{n+(n-1)\ell} \circ S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)} \circ \cdots \circ S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n) \circ S'$$

$$= S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)+1} \circ S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)+1} \circ S_i \circ S'$$

$$= S_{n+(n-1)(\ell+1)+1} \circ S_{n+(n-1)(\ell-1)+2} \circ S_{n+(n-$$

Therefore, our claim holds for  $m = \ell + 1$ . This completes our proof of (3).

Finally, (4) follows immediately from items (2) and (3).

We are ready to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* First, we prove (1). The first part is clear by construction. We prove the second part by induction on m.

<u>Base cases</u>. If  $1 \le m \le n-1$ , then  $S_m = a_m$  by construction. All numbers in the set  $\{m - (n-1), m - n, \ldots, m - (2n-2)\}$  lie between 3 - 2n and 0 inclusive. By Remark 1.5, for all  $3 - 2n \le i \le 0$  except i = 1 - n, we have  $F_{n,i} = 0$ , whereas  $F_{n,1-n} = 1$ . Write  $F_{n,1-n} = F_{n,m-(m+(n-1))}$  to see that our claim holds. For m = n, it is easy to check that our claim also holds.

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that our claim holds for all  $1 \le m \le \ell$  for some  $\ell \ge n$ . Because  $\overline{S_{\ell+1} = S_\ell \circ S_{\ell+1-n}}$ , the number of  $a_n$ 's in  $S_{\ell+1}$  is

 $F_{n,\ell-(n-1)} + F_{n,\ell+1-n-(n-1)}$  by the inductive hypothesis, =  $F_{n,\ell-n+2} = F_{n,(\ell+1)-(n-1)}$ 

Similarly, the formulas for the number of  $a_i$ 's in  $S_{\ell+1}$  are all correct. This completes our proof of (1).

Next, we prove (2). For m = 1, we have  $S_{n+(n-1)} \circ S_n = S_{2n}$ , which gives the first  $F_{n,2n} = F_{n,n} + F_{n,2n-1}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ . For  $m \ge 2$ , (2) follows from the first part of (1) and Lemma 2.1 (4).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof is by induction on k, the number of terms in the *n*-decomposition. Base case. If k = 1, the statement of the theorem is certainly true.

Inductive hypothesis. Assume that the statement is true for some  $k = \ell \ge 1$ . Consider the *n*-decomposition

$$m = F_{n,c_1} + F_{n,c_2} + \dots + F_{n,c_{\ell}} + F_{n,c_{\ell+1}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we know that

$$S_{c_{\ell}} \circ S_{c_{\ell-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_{c_1}$$

gives the first  $F_{n,c_1} + F_{n,c_2} + \cdots + F_{n,c_\ell}$  letters of  $S_{\infty}$ , and therefore, by Theorem 1.3 (2), of

$$S_{c_{\ell+1}-1} \circ S_{c_{\ell+1}-2} \circ \cdots \circ S_{c_{\ell+1}-\ell}$$

Hence, we know that

$$S_{c_{\ell+1}} \circ S_{c_{\ell}} \circ S_{c_{\ell-1}} \circ \cdots \circ S_{c_1}$$

gives the first m letters of

$$S_{\ell+1} \circ S_{c_{\ell+1}-1} \circ S_{c_{\ell+1}-2} \circ \cdots \circ S_{c_{\ell+1}-\ell},$$

and hence, by Theorem 1.3 (2), of  $S_{\infty}$ .

AUGUST 2021

257

 $\square$ 

#### THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

#### 3. Fixed Term in the n-Decomposition

In this section, we fix  $k \ge n \ge 3$ . Our goal is to characterize the set  $Z_n(k)$ , the set of all positive integers having the summand  $F_{n,k}$  in their *n*-decomposition. The following lemma generalizes [7, Lemma 2.5].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $m \ge 1$ . For  $v \ge 1$  and  $1 \le u \le n$ , we have

$$F_{n,m+vn+u} - (F_{n,m+vn+(u-1)} + \dots + F_{n,m+n+(u-1)}) = F_{n,m+u}.$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* If v = 1, the identity holds because of the linear recurrence relation of  $\{F_{n,i}\}$ . Assume that  $v \ge 2$ . We have

$$(F_{n,m+vn+(u-1)} + \dots + F_{n,m+n+(u-1)}) + F_{n,m+u}$$

$$= (F_{n,m+vn+(u-1)} + \dots + F_{n,m+2n+(u-1)}) + (F_{n,m+n+(u-1)} + F_{n,m+u})$$

$$= (F_{n,m+vn+(u-1)} + \dots + F_{n,m+2n+(u-1)}) + F_{n,m+n+u}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= F_{n,m+vn+(u-1)} + F_{n,m+(v-1)n+u} = F_{n,m+vn+u}.$$

This completes our proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let *i* and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $F_{n,u}$  and  $F_{n,v}$  are the largest summands in the *n*-decompositions of *i* and *j*, respectively, then u > v implies that i > j.

The statement of the lemma is obvious because the n-decomposition of a number can be found by the greedy algorithm.

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $j \ge 1$ , the  $(F_{n,nj})$ th character of  $S_{\infty}$  is  $a_n$ ; the  $(F_{n,nj+1})$ th character of  $S_{\infty}$  is  $a_1; \ldots; (F_{n,nj+(n-1)})$ th character of  $S_{\infty}$  is  $a_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on j.

<u>Base case</u>. By construction, we know that the statement is true for j = 1.

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose the statement is true for j = m for some  $m \ge 1$ . The  $\overline{(F_{n,n(m+1)})}$ th of  $S_{\infty}$  is the last letter of  $S_{n(m+1)} = S_{n(m+1)-1} \circ S_{nm}$ . By the inductive hypothesis, the last letter of  $S_{nm}$  is  $a_n$  and so, the  $(F_{n,n(m+1)})$ th letter of  $S_{\infty}$  is  $a_n$ . The proof is completed by similar arguments for the  $(F_{n,n(m+1)+u})$ th letter, where  $1 \le u \le n-1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{n,k}$  denote the set of all positive integers whose *n*-decompositions have  $F_{n,k}$  as the smallest summand. Next, let  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k} = \{q(j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  be the strictly increasing infinite sequence that results from arranging the elements of  $\mathcal{X}_{n,k}$  into ascending numeric order. Table 1 gives the ordered list of summands for each q(j), where the *r*th row corresponds to the *r*th smallest element from  $\mathcal{X}_{n,k}$ . (Lemma 3.2 helps explain the ordering of rows.)

Row			
1	$F_{n,k}$		
2	$F_{n,k}$ $F_{n,k+n}$		
3	$F_{n,k}$ $F_n$	n, k+n+1	
	:		
(n+1)	$F_{n,k}$	$F_{n,k+2n-1}$	
(n+2)	$F_{n,k}$		$F_{n,k+2n}$
(n+3)	$F_{n,k}$ $F_{n,k+n}$		$F_{n,k+2n}$
(n+4)	$F_{n,k}$		$F_{n,k+2n+1}$
(n+5)	$F_{n,k}$ $F_{n,k+n}$		$F_{n,k+2n+1}$
(n+6)		n, k+n+1	$F_{n,k+2n+1}$

Table 1. The *n*-decompositions, in numeric order, of the positive integers having  $F_{n,k}$  as their smallest summand.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $j \ge n$ , the rows of Table 1 for which  $F_{n,k+j}$  is the largest summand are those numbered from  $F_{n,j} + 1$  to  $F_{n,j+1}$  inclusive.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on j.

<u>Base cases</u>. For  $n \leq j \leq 2n - 1$ , there is exactly one row with  $F_{n,k+j}$  being the largest summand. In particular, the row with  $F_{n,k+j}$  being the largest summand is the (j - (n-2))th. Hence, the base cases are done if we prove the two following claims.

(1)  $F_{n,j+1} - F_{n,j} = 1;$ (2)  $F_{n,j} + 1 = j - (n-2).$ 

For the first claim, we have  $F_{n,j+1} - F_{n,j} = F_{n,j-(n-1)} = 1$  for all  $n \leq j \leq 2n-1$ . This is due to the construction of  $\{F_{n,j}\}$ . To prove the second claim, observe that as j goes from n to 2n-1, we have  $F_{n,j}$  increase from 1 to n.

Inductive hypothesis. Suppose that the statement of the lemma holds for  $n \leq j \leq m$  for some  $m \geq 2n-1$ . We want to show that the rows with  $F_{n,k+m+1}$  being the largest summand is from  $F_{n,m+1} + 1$  to  $F_{n,m+2}$ . By the inductive hypothesis, the number of rows with the largest summand not larger than  $F_{n,k+m+1-n}$  is

$$1 + \sum_{j=n}^{m+1-n} (F_{n,j+1} - F_{n,j}) = 1 + F_{n,m+2-n} - F_{n,n} = F_{n,m+2-n},$$

which is also the number of rows with  $F_{n,k+m+1}$  being the largest summand.

By the inductive hypothesis, the rows with  $F_{k+m}$  being the largest is from  $F_{n,m+1}$  to  $F_{n,m+1}$ . Therefore, the rows with  $F_{n,k+m+1}$  being the largest is from  $F_{n,m+1}+1$  to  $F_{n,m+1}+F_{n,m+2-n} = F_{n,m+2}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $j \ge 1$ , we have

$$q(j+1) - q(j) = \begin{cases} F_{n,k+1}, & \text{if the jth character of } S_{\infty} \text{ is } a_1; \\ F_{n,k+2}, & \text{if the jth character of } S_{\infty} \text{ is } a_2; \\ \vdots \\ F_{n,k+n}, & \text{if the jth character of } S_{\infty} \text{ is } a_n. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on j.

AUGUST 2021

259

# THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

<u>Base cases</u>. Consider  $1 \le j \le F_{n,2n} - 1 = n$ . From Table 1, we know that

$$q(j+1) - q(j) = \begin{cases} F_{n,k+n}, & \text{if } j = 1; \\ F_{n,k+(j-1)}, & \text{if } 2 \le j \le n. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Because  $S_{\infty} = a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \dots$  and (3.3), we have proved the base cases for  $1 \leq j \leq F_{n,2n}-1$ . Inductive hypothesis. Assume that the statement is true for  $1 \leq j \leq F_{n,m}-1$  for some  $m \geq 2n$ . By Lemma 3.4, the first  $F_{n,m-n+1}$  rows of Table 1 are those for which the largest summand is no greater than  $F_{n,k+m-n}$ , and the rows for which  $F_{n,k+m}$  is the largest summand are from  $F_{n,m}+1$  to  $F_{n,m+1}$ .

Due to the ordering of rows in Table 1, we have  $q(i) + F_{n,k+m} = q(i + F_{n,m})$  for  $1 \le i \le F_{n,m-n+1}$ . Hence, for  $1 \le i \le F_{n,m-n+1} - 1$ , we have

$$q(i+1+F_{n,m}) - q(i+F_{n,m}) = (q(i+1)+F_{n,k+m}) - (q(i)+F_{n,k+m})$$
  
= q(i+1) - q(i).

By the construction of  $S_{\infty}$ , the string of the first  $F_{n,m-n+1}$  characters is identical to the string of characters from the  $(F_{n,m} + 1)$ th to the  $F_{n,m+1}$ th, inclusive. Therefore, we know that (3.2) is true for  $1 + F_{n,m} \leq j \leq F_{n,m+1} - 1$ . It remains to show that (3.2) is true for  $j = F_{n,m}$ . We have

$$q(F_{n,m}+1) - q(F_{n,m}) = F_{n,k+m} - (F_{n,k+m-1} + F_{n,k+m-1-n} + \dots + F_{n,k+m-1-\ell n}),$$

where  $\ell$  satisfies  $n \leq m - 1 - \ell n < 2n$ . Write m = vn + u for some  $1 \leq u \leq n$ . It follows that  $1 - (u-1)/n \leq v - \ell < 2 - (u-1)/n$ , so  $v - \ell = 1$ . Hence,

$$q(F_{n,m}+1) - q(F_{n,m})$$
  
=  $F_{n,k+vn+u} - (F_{n,k+vn+u-1} + F_{n,k+(v-1)n+u-1} + \dots + F_{n,k+n+u-1}) = F_{n,k+u}$ 

due to Lemma 3.1. Using Lemma 3.3, we conclude that (3.2) is true for  $j = F_{n,m}$ . This completes our proof.

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By Lemma 3.5, we can write

$$\mathcal{X}_{n,k} = \left\{ F_{n,k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{n,k+i} \cdot N_{a_i}(m) : m \ge 0 \right\}.$$

The numbers in  $\{F_{n,n}, F_{n,n+1}, \ldots, F_{n,k-n}\}$  are used to obtain the *n*-decompositions of all integers for which the largest summand is no greater than  $F_{n,k-n}$ . In particular, such *n*-decompositions generate all integers from 1 to  $F_{n,k-n+1} - 1$ , inclusive. Furthermore, such decompositions can be appended to any *n*-decomposition having  $F_{n,k}$  as its smallest summand to produce another *n*-decomposition. Therefore, we know that

$$Z_n(k) = \left\{ j + F_{n,k} + \sum_{i=1}^n F_{n,k+i} \cdot N_{a_i}(m) : 0 \le j \le F_{n,k-(n-1)} - 1 \text{ and } m \ge 0 \right\},$$

as desired.

VOLUME 59, NUMBER 3

# GENERALIZED ZECKENDORF DECOMPOSITIONS AND GOLDEN STRINGS

# References

- A. Best, P. Dynes, X. Edelsbrunner, B. McDonald, S. Miller, C. Turnage-Butterbaugh, and M. Weinstein, *Benford behavior of Zeckendorf's decompositions*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, **52.1** (2014), 35–46.
- [2] A. Best, P. Dynes, X. Edelsbrunner, B. McDonald, S. Miller, C. Turnage-Butterbaugh, and M. Weinstein, Gaussian behavior of the number of summands in Zeckendorf's decompositions in small intervals, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 52.1 (2014), 47–53.
- [3] J. Brown, Jr., Zeckendorf's theorem and some applications, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 2.3 (1964), 163–168.
- [4] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville, and V. Hoggatt, Jr., Fibonacci representations, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 10.1 (1972), 1–28.
- [5] P. Demontigny, T. Do, A. Kulkarni, S. Miller, D. Moon, and U. Varma, Generalizing Zeckendorf's theorem to f-decompositions, J. Number Theory, 141 (2014), 136–158.
- [6] R. Dorward, P. Ford, E. Fourakis, P. Harris, S. Miller, E. Palsson, and H. Paugh, *Individual gap measures from generalized Zeckendorf decompositions*, Unif. Distrib. Theory, **12** (2017), 27–36.
- [7] M. Griffiths, Fixed-term Zeckendorf representations, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 52.4 (2014), 331–335.
- [8] M. Griffiths, The golden string, Zeckendorf representations, and the sum of a series, American Mathematical Monthly, 118 (2011), 497–507.
- [9] E. Hart and L. Sanchis, On the occurrence of  $F_n$  in the Zeckendorf decomposition of  $nF_n$ , The Fibonacci Quarterly, **37.1** (1999), 21–33.
- [10] V. Hoggatt, Jr., Generalized Zeckendorf theorem, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 10.1 (1972), 89–93.
- [11] T. Keller, Generalizations of Zeckendorf's theorem, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 10.1 (1972), 95–102.
- [12] C. G. Lekkerkerker, Voorstelling van natuurlyke getallen door een som van getallen van Fibonacci, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 29 (1951–1952), 190–195.
- [13] S. Miller and R. Li, Central limit theorems for gaps of generalized Zeckendorf's decompositions, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 57.3 (2019), 213–230.
- [14] E. Zeckendorf, Representation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liege, 41 (1972), 179–182.

# MSC2020: 11B39

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61820 *Email address*: hungchu2@illinois.edu