## ANTI-PALINDROMIC COMPOSITIONS

GEORGE E. ANDREWS, MATTHEW JUST, AND GREG SIMAY

ABSTRACT. A palindromic composition of n is a composition of n that reads the same way forwards and backwards. In this paper, we define an anti-palindromic composition of nto be a composition of n that has no mirror symmetry among its parts. We then give a surprising connection between the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n and the so-called tribonacci sequence, a generalization of the Fibonacci sequence. We conclude by defining a new q-analogue of the Fibonacci sequence, which is related to certain equivalence classes of anti-palindromic compositions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_s)$  be a sequence of positive integers such that  $\sum \sigma_i = n$ . The sequence  $\sigma$  is called a *composition* of n of length s. The numbers  $\sigma_i$  are called the *parts* of the composition. The number of compositions of n equals  $2^{n-1}$ , whereas the number of compositions of n into s parts equals  $\binom{n-1}{s-1}$ . The empty composition is often considered the only composition of 0, having length equal to 0.

1.1. Palindromic and Anti-palindromic Compositions. If  $\sigma_i = \sigma_{s-i+1}$  for all *i*, then  $\sigma$  is called a *palindromic* composition. It is well known [11] that if pc(n) is the number of palindromic compositions, then  $pc(n) = 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ . For instance, the pc(5) = 4 palindromic compositions of 5 are

$$(5), (1,3,1), (2,1,2), \text{ and } (1,1,1,1,1).$$

Recent work of the authors [3, 12] generalize this result to compositions that are palindromic modulo m, where the condition  $\sigma_i = \sigma_{s-i+1}$  is replaced with the weaker condition  $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_{s-i+1}$  (mod m).

If  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_{s-i+1}$  for all  $i \neq \frac{s+1}{2}$ , then we say  $\sigma$  is an *anti-palindromic* composition. Let ac(n) be the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n. Then, the ac(4) = 5 anti-palindromic compositions of 4 are

$$(4), (1,3), (3,1), (1,1,2), \text{ and } (2,1,1).$$

Furthermore, let ac(n, s) be the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s,  $ac_0(n)$  be the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of even length, and  $ac_1(n)$  be the number of anti-palindromic compositions of odd length (thus  $ac(n) = ac_0(n) + ac_1(n)$ ).

Notice that for each anti-palindromic composition of n of length s, we can form  $2^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$  flipequivalent anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s by switching any number of the pairs  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{s-i+1}$   $(i \neq \frac{s+1}{2})$ . For instance, the anti-palindromic compositions

$$(1,3,3,2,4), (1,2,3,3,4), (4,3,3,2,1), \text{ and } (4,2,3,3,1)$$

The first author was partially funded by Simons Foundation Grant 633284. The second author was partially supported by the Research and Training Group grant DMS-1344994 funded by the National Science Foundation. We thank Robert Schneider and Drew Sills for helpful comments.

## ANTI-PALINDROMIC COMPOSITIONS

are all flip-equivalent compositions of 13 of length 5. The sets of flip-equivalent anti-palindromic compositions of n form a partition of the set of all anti-palindromic compositions of n, and we refer to each equivalence class as a *reduced anti-palindromic composition* of n of length s. Let rac(n) equal the total number of reduced anti-palindromic compositions of n, and rac(n, s) equal the number of reduced anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s. Furthermore, let  $rac_0(n)$  and  $rac_1(n)$  equal the total number of even and odd reduced anti-palindromic compositions of n, respectively. Clearly we have  $rac(n) = rac_0(n) + rac_1(n)$ . Because each equivalence class contains  $2^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$  anti-palindromic compositions, it follows that

$$rac(n,s) = \frac{ac(n,s)}{2^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}}$$

Our primary results regarding the formulae for these functions come from observations made in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3.

n	$ac_0(n)$	$ac_1(n)$	ac(n)	$rac_0(n)$	$rac_1(n)$	rac(n)
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	1
2	0	1	1	0	1	1
3	2	1	3	1	1	2
4	2	3	5	1	2	3
5	4	5	9	2	3	5
6	8	9	17	3	5	8
7	14	17	31	5	8	13
8	26	31	57	8	13	21
9	48	57	105	13	21	34
10	88	105	193	21	34	55

TABLE 1. Values of  $ac_0(n)$ ,  $ac_1(n)$ , ac(n),  $rac_0(n)$ ,  $rac_1(n)$ , and rac(n) for  $n \leq 10$ .

n	ac(n,0)	ac(n,1)	ac(n,2)	ac(n,3)	ac(n,4)	ac(n,5)
0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	2	0	0	0
4	0	1	2	2	0	0
5	0	1	4	4	0	0
6	0	1	4	8	4	0
7	0	1	6	12	8	4
8	0	1	6	18	20	12
TABLE 2. Values of $ac(n,s)$ for $n \leq 8$ .						

n	rac(n,0)	rac(n,1)	rac(n,2)	rac(n,3)	rac(n, 4)	rac(n,5)
0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	1	0	0	0
4	0	1	1	1	0	0
5	0	1	2	2	0	0
6	0	1	2	4	1	0
7	0	1	3	6	2	1
8	0	1	3	9	5	3
TABLE 3. Values of $rac(n, s)$ for $n \leq 8$ .						

1.2. The k-bonacci Numbers. Recall the *n*th Fibonacci number is given by  $f_2(n) = 0$  for n < 1,  $f_2(1) = 1$ , and  $f_2(n) = f_2(n-1) + f_2(n-2)$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .<sup>1</sup> The *n*th *tribonacci* number is given by  $f_3(n) = 0$  for n < 1,  $f_3(1) = 1$ , and  $f_3(n) = f_3(n-1) + f_3(n-2) + f_3(n-3)$  for  $n \ge 2$ . The sequence begins

# $0, 1, 1, 2, 4, 7, 13, 24, \ldots,$

see OEIS [16, A000073]. It has been suggested that tribonacci numbers appear in Darwin's *Origins of Species* in a similar relation to elephant population growth as Fibonacci numbers bear to rabbit populations [13]. In general, we can define the *n*th *k*-bonacci number by  $f_k(n) = 0$  for n < 1,  $f_k(1) = 1$ , and

$$f_k(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_k(n-i)$$

for n > 1. Connections between k-bonacci numbers for various k have been studied by Bravo and Luca [5]. In a paper by Benjamin, Chinn, Scott, and Simay [4], formulae for the k-bonacci are developed. For instance, we have

$$f_3(n+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n-3j}{j} \frac{n-2j}{n-3j} 2^{n-4j-1}.$$

The k-bonacci numbers also play a role in computing the probability of flipping exactly k consecutive heads in n flips of a fair coin [15].

1.3. Formulae for Anti-palindromic Compositions. Our first result gives a surprising connection between the tribonacci numbers and anti-palindromic compositions of even length.

**Theorem 1.** For all  $n \ge 1$ , we have  $ac_0(n) = 2 \cdot f_3(n-2)$ .

This theorem can be deduced by a careful inspection of the identity

$$\frac{\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^2 - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2}}{1 - \left[\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^2 - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2}\right]} = \frac{2q^3}{1 - (q+q^2+q^3)}.$$

Indeed, the left side is

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} ac_0(n)q^n$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In some applications, the offset  $f_2(0) = f_2(1) = 1$  is used.

because every even-length anti-palindromic composition is a sequence of pairs of distinct positive integers, and the right side is

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} 2 \cdot f_3(n) q^{n+2}.$$

We will give an algebraic (Section 2.1) and combinatorial (Section 2.2) proof of this result. Note that  $ac(0) = ac_0(0) = 1$ , as the empty composition is vacuously anti-palindromic. Our next result gives the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n.

**Theorem 2.** For all  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$ac(n) = f_3(n) + f_3(n-2).$$

We will prove Theorem 2 in Section 2.3, and also observe that (for  $n \ge 2$ )

$$ac_1(n) = f_3(n-1) + f_3(n-3).$$

In Section 2.4, we prove the following results, which give the formulae for ac(n, s).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $s \ge 0$  be a fixed integer and

$$G(q,s) = \sum_{n \ge 0} ac(n,s)q^n.$$

Then for |q| < 1,

$$G(q,s) = \frac{2^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} q^{\lfloor 3s/2 \rfloor}}{(1-q)^s (1+q)^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}}.$$

For instance, G(q, 0) = 1,

$$G(q,1) = \frac{q}{1-q} = q + q^2 + q^3 + \cdots$$

and

$$G(q,2) = \frac{2q^3}{1-q-q^2+q^3} = 2q^3 + 2q^4 + 4q^5 + \cdots,$$

which give the (verifiable) formulae ac(0,0) = 1, ac(n,0) = 0 for n > 0, ac(n,1) = 1 for n > 0, and  $ac(n,2) = 2 \cdot \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$  for n > 1. For  $s \ge 2$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let a be a positive integer. If s = 2a, then

$$ac(n,s) = \sum_{r+2t=n-3a} 2^a \binom{a+r-1}{r} \binom{a+t-1}{t},$$

and if s = 2a + 1, then

$$ac(n,s) = \sum_{r+2t=n-3a} 2^a \binom{a+r}{r} \binom{a+t-1}{t}.$$

By the observation in Section 1.1 regarding rac(n, s) and ac(n, s), we also have a formula for rac(n, s) by dividing by the appropriate power of 2.

MAY 2022

1.4. An Observation Regarding the Fibonacci Numbers. Recall the following two *q*-analogues of the Fibonacci numbers,

$$F_n(q) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0; \\ 1, & n = 1; \\ F_{n-1}(q) + q^{n-2} F_{n-2}(q), & n > 1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\hat{F}_n(q) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0; \\ 1, & n = 1; \\ \hat{F}_{n-1}(q) + q^{n-1} \hat{F}_{n-2}(q), & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

These are referred to as q-analogues due to the property that  $F_n(q) \to f_2(n)$  and  $\hat{F}_n(q) \to f_2(n)$ as  $q \to 1^-$ . Properties of these two sequences of polynomials have been studied extensively, see for instance [2, 6, 7, 14].

We define a new q-analogue of the Fibonacci numbers, which will have a connection to the anti-palindromic compositions. Define

$$\phi_n(q) = \begin{cases} q, & n = 1; \\ q, & n = 2; \\ q + q^2, & n = 3; \\ \phi_{n-1}(q) + \phi_{n-2}(q) + (q^2 - 1)\phi_{n-3}(q), & n > 3. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\phi_n(q) \to f_2(n)$  as  $q \to 1^-$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , and our final result gives a combinatorial description of the coefficients of these polynomials. For convenience, we set  $\phi_0(q) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** The coefficient of  $q^s$  in the polynomial  $\phi_n(q)$  equals rac(n, s).

We will give a proof of Theorem 4 in Section 2.5. The first few polynomials  $\phi_n(q)$  are given below, where the coefficients can be compared with Table 2.

$$\begin{split} \phi_0(q) &= 1, & \phi_5(q) = q + 2q^2 + 2q^3, \\ \phi_1(q) &= q, & \phi_6(q) = q + 2q^2 + 4q^3 + q^4, \\ \phi_2(q) &= q, & \phi_7(q) = q + 3q^2 + 6q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5, \\ \phi_3(q) &= q + q^2, & \phi_8(q) = q + 3q^2 + 9q^3 + 5q^4 + 3q^5, \\ \phi_4(q) &= q + q^2 + q^3, & \phi_9(q) = q + 4q^2 + 12q^3 + 8q^4 + 8q^5 + q^6. \end{split}$$

Also in Section 2.5, we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we have  $rac_0(n) = f_2(n-2)$ ,  $rac_1(n) = f_2(n-1)$ , and  $rac(n) = f_2(n)$ .

We summarize our results regarding ac(n) and rac(n) for sufficiently large n below, illustrating the elegance of the formulae.

$$\begin{aligned} ac_0(n) &= 2 \cdot f_3(n-2), & rac_0(n) &= f_2(n-2), \\ ac_1(n) &= f_3(n-1) + f_3(n-3), & rac_1(n) &= f_2(n-1), \\ ac(n) &= f_3(n) + f_3(n-2), & rac(n) &= f_2(n). \end{aligned}$$

## VOLUME 60, NUMBER 2

## 2. Proofs of Theorems

2.1. Algebraic Proof of Theorem 1. Because  $ac_0(1) = ac_0(2) = 0$ ,  $ac_0(3) = ac_0(4) = 2$ , and  $ac_0(5) = 4$ , we see that the theorem is true for n < 6. Assume now that  $n \ge 6$ . Clearly, we can construct an anti-palindromic composition of n from one of two fewer parts by inserting j at the beginning and k at the end (making sure  $j \ne k$ ), where if the inner composition is a composition of m, then j + k must equal m - n. Hence,

$$ac_0(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} (n-m-1-\chi(n-m)) ac_0(m),$$

where  $\chi(j) = 1$  if j is even and 0 if j is odd. The term  $(n - m - 1 - \chi(n - m))$  accounts for the number of j and k. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} ac_0(n) - ac_0(n-1) &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} \left(n-m-1-\chi(n-m)\right) ac_0(m) \\ &- \sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \left(n-1-m-1-\chi(n-1-m)\right) ac_0(m) \\ &= \left(2-\chi(3)\right) ac_0(n-3) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \left(n-m-1-\chi(n-m)\right) ac_0(m) \\ &- \sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \left(n-1-m-1-\chi(n-1-m)\right) ac_0(m) \\ &= 2ac_0(n-3) + 2\sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \chi(n-m-1)ac_0(m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$ac_0(n) - ac_0(n-1) - 2ac_0(n-3) = 2\sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \chi(n-m-1)ac_0(m).$$

Let  $r(n) = ac_0(n) - ac_0(n-1) - 2ac_0(n-3)$ . Then,

$$r(n) + r(n-1) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-4} \chi(n-m-1)ac_0(m) + 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-5} \chi(n-m-2)ac_0(m) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-5} ac_0(m)$$

because  $\chi(n) + \chi(n-1) = 1$  and  $\chi(3) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$r(n) + r(n-1) - (r(n-1) + r(n-2)) = 2ac_0(n-5),$$

and simplifying, we obtain

 $ac_0(n) - m_1(n-1) - ac_0(n-2) - ac_0(n-3) = 0.$ 

This is the defining recurrence for  $f_3(n)$ , and because  $2 \cdot f_3(n-2) = ac_0(n)$  for n > 6, we see that by induction,  $ac_0(n) = 2 \cdot f_3(n-2)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

MAY 2022

2.2. Combinatorial Proof of Theorem 1. We begin with a lemma regarding the tribonacci numbers.

**Lemma 1.** For  $n \ge 2$ , the tribonacci number  $f_3(n)$  equals the number of compositions of n-1 with parts equal to 1, 2, or 3.

Proof. First note that  $f_3(2) = 1$ ,  $f_3(3) = 2$ , and  $f_3(4) = 4$ . Because the compositions of 1, 2, and 3 only consist of parts equal to 1, 2, or 3, and the number of compositions of n is equal to  $2^{n-1}$ , the lemma holds for  $n \leq 4$ . Now for n > 4, each composition of n-1 into parts equal to 1, 2, or 3 is formed by taking a composition of n-4, n-3, or n-2 and adjoining a 3, 2, or 1, respectively. Thus, the number of compositions of n-1 into parts equal to  $f_3(n-3) + f_3(n-2) + f_3(n-1) = f_3(n)$ .

We will now show that for  $n \ge 3$ , the number of compositions of n-3 into parts equal to 1, 2, or 3 equals the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n. Because  $ac_0(1) = 0 = 2 \cdot f_3(-1)$  and  $ac_0(2) = 0 = 2 \cdot f_3(0)$ , this will establish the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. For n = 2, we see that  $ac(2) = 2 \cdot f_3(0) = 0$ , so for any  $n \ge 3$ , start with a composition  $\sigma$  of n - 3 into parts equal to 1, 2, or 3. The key will be to use  $\sigma$  to construct a sequence of pairs of distinct positive integers with sum equal to n.

Now recall a *partition* of n is a composition of n where the parts are written in nonincreasing order. Let  $\sigma + \tau$  denote sequence concatenation, as in (1, 2) + (4, 5) = (1, 2, 4, 5). For our choice of  $\sigma$ , we can find partitions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r$  with parts equal to 1 or 2 (or the empty partition,  $\emptyset$ ) such that

$$\sigma = \lambda_1 + \sigma_2 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \sigma_r + \lambda_r,$$

where each  $\sigma_j$  is either equal to the composition (3) or equal to the composition (1,2).

For example, take the composition

$$\sigma = (2, 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3)$$

of 20. Then we can decompose  $\sigma$  as

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= (2), \\ \sigma_2 &= (3), \\ \lambda_2 &= (1), \\ \sigma_3 &= (1,2), \\ \lambda_3 &= (2,1,1), \\ \sigma_4 &= (1,2), \\ \lambda_4 &= (1), \\ \sigma_5 &= (3), \\ \lambda_5 &= \varnothing. \end{split}$$

It is not difficult to see that this decomposition is unique; the only way a segment in the composition that is a partition with parts equal to 1 or 2 terminates is with the segment (3) or the segment (1, 2).

Now, given the decomposition  $\sigma = \lambda_1 + \sigma_2 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \sigma_r + \lambda_r$ , form a sequence of pairs  $(s_1, \lambda_1), (s_2, \lambda_2), \ldots, (s_r, \lambda_r)$ , where  $s_1 = +3, s_j = +3$  if  $\sigma_j = (3)$ , and  $s_j = -3$  if  $\sigma_j = (1, 2)$ . For our example shown above, we have the pairs

$$(+3,(2)), (+3,(1)), (-3,(2,1,1)), (-3,(1)), (+3,\emptyset).$$

### ANTI-PALINDROMIC COMPOSITIONS

For each pair  $(s_j, \lambda_j)$ , we now form a new pair  $(b_j, c_j)$  in the following way. Start with  $b_j = 2$  and  $c_j = 1$ . For each 2 in the partition  $\lambda_j$ , increase both  $b_j$  and  $c_j$  by one. For each 1 in the partition  $\lambda_j$ , increase  $b_j$  by one. We now have pairs  $(b_j, c_j)$  of positive integers such that  $b_j > c_j$ . Now if  $s_j = +3$ , we are done. If  $s_j = -3$ , we switch the numerical values of  $b_j$  and  $c_j$  so that  $b_j < c_j$ , and then we are done.

Finally, form the anti-palindromic composition  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{2r})$  by setting  $\tau_j = b_j$  and  $\tau_{2r-j+1} = c_j$ . Notice that although we started with a composition of n-3, this is a composition of n; the addition of 3 came from inserting  $s_1 = +3$ . In our toy example, we have

$$\tau = (3, 3, 2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 5, 1, 2).$$

We have now embedded the compositions of n-3 made up of parts equal to 1, 2, or 3 into the anti-palindromic compositions of n. We still need to embed a second, disjoint copy. To do this, we return to the pairs  $(s_j, \lambda_j)$  and make a new collection of pairs  $(s'_j, \lambda_j)$  by setting  $s'_j = -s_j$ . Now, following the same procedure as before, we construct an anti-palindromic word  $\tau'$  that is the *reverse* of  $\tau$ . Again looking at our example from before, we have

$$\tau' = (2, 1, 5, 3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 3)$$

To show that these two embedded sets are disjoint, notice that for a composition  $\tau$  formed by using  $s_1 = +3$ , we have  $\tau_1 > \tau_{2r}$ , and that for a word  $\tau'$  formed by using  $s_1 = -3$ , we have  $\tau_1 < \tau_{2r}$ .

Showing this process reverses and that we can send the pairs  $\{\tau, \tau'\}$  of an anti-palindromic composition of n and its reverse back to a composition of n-3 with parts equal to 1, 2, or 3 is straightforward, which the reader can verify.

2.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.** In this section, we develop the formula for ac(n). We start by proving some initial observations regarding  $ac_0(n)$ ,  $ac_1(n)$ , ac(n), and ac(n, s).

**Proposition 1.** For all  $n \ge 3$ , we have

$$ac_0(n) = f_3(n-1) + f_3(n-5).$$

*Proof.* This is just two applications of the defining recurrence for  $f_3(n)$ , recalling that  $f_3(n) = 0$  for n < 1.

$$f_3(n-1) + f_3(n-5) = f_3(n-2) + f_3(n-3) + f_3(n-4) + f_3(n-5)$$
  
=  $f_3(n-2) + f_3(n-2)$   
=  $2 \cdot f_3(n-2)$   
=  $ac_0(n)$ 

**Proposition 2.** We have ac(0,0) = 1, ac(0,1) = 0, and for all  $n \ge 0$  and  $s \ge 0$ ,

$$ac(n, 2s) + ac(n, 2s + 1) = ac(n + 1, 2s + 1).$$

*Proof.* When n = 0, there is only one composition (the empty composition) that has length 0.

Now, any anti-palindromic composition  $\sigma$  of n+1 of length 2s+1 has a central part  $\sigma_{s+1}$ . If  $\sigma_{s+1} = 1$ , this composition can be formed from an anti-palindromic composition of n of length 2s by adding a central part equal of 1. If  $\sigma_{s+1} > 1$ , this composition can be formed from an anti-palindromic composition of n of length 2s+1 by adding 1 to the central part. Therefore, ac(n, 2s) + ac(n, 2s+1) = ac(n+1, 2s+1).

MAY 2022

171

**Proposition 3.** For  $n \ge 0$  and  $s \ge 0$ ,

$$ac(n, 2s + 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ac(j, 2s),$$

where, in the case n = 0, we take the empty sum to be 0.

*Proof.* Let n > 0. Then by applying Proposition 2 n times, we have

$$ac(n, 2s + 1) = ac(n - 1, 2s + 1) + ac(n - 1, 2s)$$
  
=  $ac(n - 2, 2s + 1) + ac(n - 2, 2s) + ac(n - 1, 2s)$   
:  
=  $ac(0, 2s + 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ac(j, 2s).$ 

Because ac(0, 2s + 1) = 0 for all  $s \ge 0$ , the result follows.

**Proposition 4.** For all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$ac(n) = ac_1(n+1).$$

*Proof.* If n = 0, we see that  $ac(0) = ac_1(1) = 1$ . If n > 0, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} ac(n) &= \sum_{s \ge 0} ac(n,s) \\ &= \sum_{j \ge 0} \left( ac(n,2j) + ac(n,2j+1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \ge 0} ac(n+1,2j+1) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2. But this last expression is equal to  $ac_1(n+1)$ .

**Proposition 5.** For  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$ac_1(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ac_0(j),$$

where, in the case n = 0, we take the empty sum to be 0.

*Proof.* For n > 0, we have by Proposition 4 that

$$ac_1(n) = ac(n-1)$$
  
=  $ac_0(n-1) + ac_1(n-1)$ .

Now if n = 1, we are done because  $ac_1(0) = 0$ . If n > 1, we can again apply Proposition 4 to get

$$ac_1(n) = ac_0(n-1) + ac(n-2)$$

Repeating the same argument n-2 more times gives the result.

**Proposition 6.** For all  $n \ge 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_3(j) = \frac{f_3(n) + f_3(n+2) - 1}{2}.$$

VOLUME 60, NUMBER 2

172

*Proof.* We give a proof by mathematical induction. For n = 0,

$$f_3(0) = 0 = \frac{f_3(0) + f_3(2) - 1}{2}.$$

Now for n > 0, suppose the proposition holds for all k < n. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_3(j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_3(j) + f_3(n)$$
  
=  $\frac{f_3(n-1) + f_3(n+1) - 1}{2} + f_3(n)$   
=  $\frac{f_3(n+2) - f_3(n) - 1}{2} + f_3(n)$   
=  $\frac{f_3(n) + f_3(n+2) - 1}{2}$ .

**Proposition 7.** For all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$ac_1(n) = f_3(n-3) + f_3(n-1).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5, Theorem 1, and Proposition 6, we have

$$ac_{1}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ac_{0}(j)$$
  
=  $2\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_{3}(j-2) + ac_{0}(0)$   
=  $2\sum_{j=0}^{n-3} f_{3}(j) + ac_{0}(0)$   
=  $f_{3}(n-3) + f_{3}(n-1) - 1 + ac_{0}(0).$ 

Because  $ac_0(0) = 1$ , the result follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. Theorem 2 now immediately follows from Proposition 7, because  $ac(1) = 1 = f_3(1) + f_3(-1)$ , and for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$ac(n) = ac_0(n) + ac_1(n)$$
  
= 2 \cdot f\_3(n-2) + f\_3(n-3) + f\_3(n-1)  
= f\_3(n) + f\_3(n-2).

2.4. Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1. In this section, we develop the formulae for ac(n, s) by deriving the ordinary generating function G(q, s) for a fixed  $s \ge 0$ . We split the proof into cases when s is even and odd.

Suppose s = 2a, where  $a \ge 0$ . An anti-palindromic composition of n of length 2a consists of a sequence of a ordered pairs of distinct positive integers. If d(n) is the number of distinct pairs of positive integers that sum to n, then

$$D(q) := \sum_{n \ge 0} d(n)q^n = \left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^2 - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} = \frac{2q^3}{(1-q^2)(1-q)}.$$

MAY 2022

To see why this is the case, notice that

$$\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)^2 = (q^{1+1}) + (q^{1+2} + q^{2+1}) + (q^{1+3} + q^{2+2} + q^{3+1}) + \cdots$$

and

$$\frac{q^2}{1-q^2} = q^{1+1} + q^{2+2} + q^{3+3} + \cdots,$$

so we are taking all pairs of positive integers and subtracting the repeated pairs.

To form a sequence of a such pairs, we multiple D(q) by itself a times, showing that

$$G(q, 2a) = [D(q)]^a = \frac{2^a q^{3a}}{(1-q^2)^a (1-q)^a}.$$

To prove the first half of Corollary 1, recall that for a > 0,

$$\frac{1}{(1-q^2)^a} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{a-1+n}{n} q^{2n}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^a} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{a-1+n}{n} q^n.$$

Multiplying these two series and reindexing gives the result.

Now suppose s = 2a + 1, where  $a \ge 0$ . An anti-palindromic composition of n of length 2a + 1 still consists of a ordered pairs of distinct positive integers, with an additional central part. Therefore,

$$G(q, 2a+1) = G(q, 2a) \cdot \frac{q}{1-q} = \frac{2^a q^{3a}}{(1-q^2)^a (1-q)^a}$$

The second half of Corollary 1 follows in the same manner as the first half, once we observe that

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^{a+1}} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{a+n}{n} q^n.$$

#### 2.5. Proof of Theorem 4 and Corollary 2. We begin with a lemma.

# **Lemma 2.** For $n \ge 3$ and $s \ge 2$ , we have

$$ac(n,s) = ac(n-1,s) + ac(n-2,s) + 2 \cdot ac(n-3,s-2) - ac(n-3,s).$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma$  is an anti-palindromic composition of  $n \geq 3$  of length  $s \geq 2$ , let  $m_{\sigma} := \sigma_1 + \sigma_s$ . Observe that  $m_{\sigma} \geq 3$  and

$$\delta(m_{\sigma}) \le |\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| \le m_{\sigma} - 2,$$

where  $\delta(m_{\sigma}) = 1$  if  $m_{\sigma}$  is odd and  $\delta(m_{\sigma}) = 2$  if  $m_{\sigma}$  is even.

Let us first count the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} = 3$ . Each one of these compositions can be formed by taking an anti-palindromic composition of n-3 of length s-2 and adjoining a 1 at the beginning and a 2 at the end, or a 2 at the beginning and a 1 at the end. Therefore, the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} = 3$  equals  $2 \cdot ac(n-3, s-2)$ .

Next, we count the number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} > 3$ . Now for any anti-palindromic composition  $\tau$  of n-1 of length s, we can form an antipalindromic composition of n of length s by adding 1 to  $\tau_1$  if  $\tau_1 > \tau_s$ , or adding 1 to  $\tau_s$  if  $\tau_s > \tau_1$ . Now in this way, we have constructed all the anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} > 3$  and  $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| > \delta(m_{\sigma})$ . For any composition  $\gamma$  of n-2 of length s, form an anti-palindromic composition of n of length s by adding 1 to  $\gamma_1$  and 1 to  $\gamma_s$ . In this way, we have constructed all the anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} > 3$  and  $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| \le m_{\sigma} - 4$ .

Therefore, the total number of anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} > 3$ and  $\delta(m_{\sigma}) \leq |\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| \leq m_{\sigma} - 2$  equals apc(n-1,s) + apc(n-2,s) minus the anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $m_{\sigma} > 3$  and  $\delta(m_{\sigma}) < |\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| \leq m_{\sigma} - 4$ , as we have counted these compositions exactly twice. To prove the lemma, we now must show that the number of compositions that we counted twice equals apc(n-3,s).

Let  $\rho$  be an anti-palindromic composition of n-3 of length s. Form an anti-palindromic composition of n of length s by adding 2 to  $\rho_1$  and 1 to  $\rho_s$  if  $\rho_1 > \rho_s$ , or 1 to  $\rho_1$  and 2 to  $\rho_s$  if  $\rho_s > \rho_1$ . In this way, we have constructed all of the anti-palindromic compositions of n of length s with  $\delta(m_{\sigma}) < |\sigma_1 - \sigma_s| \le m_{\sigma} - 4$ .

Proof of Theorem 4. The theorem can be verified for all n and s with n + s < 5:

$$\begin{split} \phi_0(q) &= rac(0,0) \cdot q^0 + rac(0,1) \cdot q^1 + rac(0,2) \cdot q^2 = 1 \cdot q^0 + 0 \cdot q^1 + 0 \cdot q^2, \\ \phi_1(q) &= rac(1,0) \cdot q^0 + rac(1,1) \cdot q^1 + rac(1,2) \cdot q^2 = 0 \cdot q^0 + 1 \cdot q^1 + 0 \cdot q^2, \\ \phi_2(q) &= rac(2,0) \cdot q^0 + rac(2,1) \cdot q^1 + rac(2,2) \cdot q^2 = 0 \cdot q^0 + 1 \cdot q^1 + 0 \cdot q^2, \\ \phi_3(q) &= rac(3,0) \cdot q^0 + rac(3,1) \cdot q^1 + rac(3,2) \cdot q^2 = 0 \cdot q^0 + 1 \cdot q^1 + 1 \cdot q^2. \end{split}$$

Let  $[q^s]\phi_n(s)$  be the coefficient of  $q^s$  in the polynomial  $\phi_n(s)$ . Now for  $n \ge 3$  and  $s \ge 2$ , using the defining recurrence for  $\phi_n(q)$ , we have

$$[q^{s}]\phi_{n}(q) = [q^{s}]\phi_{n-1}(q) + [q^{s}]\phi_{n-2}(q) + [q^{s-2}]\phi_{n-3}(q) - [q^{s}]\phi_{n-3}(q)$$
  
=  $rac(n-1,s) + rac(n-2,s) + rac(n-3,s-2) - rac(n-3,s)$ 

by induction. Using the relationship between rac(n, s) and ac(n, s),

$$\begin{split} [q^s]\phi_n(q) &= \frac{ac(n-1,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} + \frac{ac(n-2,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} + \frac{ac(n-3,s-2)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s-2}{2}\rfloor}} - \frac{ac(n-3,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} \\ &= \frac{ac(n-1,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} + \frac{ac(n-2,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} + \frac{2 \cdot ac(n-3,s-2)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} - \frac{ac(n-3,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} \\ &= \frac{ac(n,s)}{2^{\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\rfloor}} \end{split}$$

by Lemma 2. Therefore,  $[q^s]\phi_n(s) = rac(n,s)$ .

Proof of Corollary 2. Notice that by Theorem 4, we have

$$rac(n) = \sum_{s \ge 0} rac(n, s) = \phi_n(1) = f_2(n).$$

As for  $rac_0(n)$ , we have  $rac_0(1) = rac_0(2) = 0$ ,  $rac_0(3) = 1$ , and for  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$rac_0(n) = \sum_{s \ge 0} rac(n, 2s) = \frac{\phi_n(1) + \phi_n(-1)}{2},$$

again using Theorem 4. By the definition of  $\phi_n(q)$ , this equals

$$\frac{\phi_{n-1}(1) + \phi_{n-1}(-1)}{2} + \frac{\phi_{n-2}(1) + \phi_{n-2}(-1)}{2} = rac_0(n-1) + rac_0(n-2).$$

MAY 2022

175

This is the defining recurrence relation for the Fibonacci numbers; thus, we conclude that  $rac_0(n) = f_2(n-2)$ .

Similarly for  $rac_1(n)$ , we have  $rac_1(0) = 1$ ,  $rac_1(2) = rac_1(3) = 1$ , and for  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$rac_1(n) = \sum_{s \ge 0} rac(n, 2s+1) = \frac{\phi_n(1) - \phi_n(-1)}{2}$$

by Theorem 4. By the definition of  $\phi_n(q)$ , this equals

$$\frac{\phi_{n-1}(1) - \phi_{n-1}(-1)}{2} + \frac{\phi_{n-2}(1) - \phi_{n-2}(-1)}{2} = rac_1(n-1) + rac_1(n-2).$$

This is the defining recurrence relation for the Fibonacci numbers; thus, we conclude that  $rac_1(n) = f_2(n-1)$ .

#### References

- K. Alladi and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., Compositions with ones and twos, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 13.3 (1975), 233–239.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, Fibonacci numbers and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 42.1 (2004), 3–19.
- [3] G. E. Andrews and G. Simay, Parity palindrome compositions, Integers, 21 (2021), #A85.
- [4] A. T. Benjamin, P. Chinn, J. N. Scott, and G. Simay, *Combinatorics of two-toned tilings*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 49.4 (2011), 290–297.
- [5] J. J. Bravo and F. Luca, Coincidences in generalized Fibonacci sequences, Journal of Number Theory, 6 (2013), 2121–2137.
- [6] L. Carlitz, Fibonacci notes 3: q-Fibonacci numbers, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 12.4 (1974), 317–322.
- [7] J. Cigler, q-Fibonacci polynomials, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 41.1 (2003), 31-40.
- [8] S. Eger, Restricted weighted integer compositions and extended binomial coefficients, Journal of Integer Sequences, 16 (2013), 25 pp.
- [9] S. Heubach and T. Mansour, Compositions of n with parts in a set, Congressus Numerantium, 168 (2004), 33–51.
- [10] S. Heubach and T. Mansour, Combinatorics of Compositions and Words, CRC Press, 2010.
- [11] V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. and M. Bicknell, *Palindromic compositions*, The Fibonacci Quarterly, **13.4** (1975), 350–356.
- [12] M. Just, Compositions that are palindromic modulo m, submitted, https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00996.
- [13] Å. Kun, J. Podani, and J. Szilágyi, How fast does Darwin's elephant population grow?, Journal of the History of Biology, 51 (2018), 259–281.
- [14] H. Pan, Arithmetic properties of q-Fibonacci numbers and q-Pell numbers, Discrete Mathematics, 306 (2006), 2118–2127.
- [15] H. D. Shane, A Fibonacci probability function. The Fibonacci Quarterly, 11.5 (1973), 517–522.
- [16] N. J. A. Sloane et al., The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2021, https://oeis.org/.

#### MSC2020: 05A17, 05A15, 11B39

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802 Email address: gea1@psu.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30605  $\mathit{Email}\ address:$  justmatt@uga.edu

2657 N. RIVINGTON AVE., EAGLE, ID 83616 Email address: gregsimay@yahoo.com