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#### Abstract

There are several well-known formulas counting the number of distinct allocations of $n$ indistinguishable objects into $m$ distinguishable cells, each of which has capacity $k-1$. In the present paper we generalize four of them by relaxing the assumption that each of the $m$ cells has capacity $k-1$ and assuming instead that there are $s$ kinds of cells and each cell of kind $i$ has capacity $k_{i}-1(i=1, \ldots, s)$. A generalization of the Pascal triangles of order $k$ is also discussed.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $N_{k}(m, n)$ the number of distinct allocations of $n$ indistinguishable objects into $m$ distinguishable cells, each of which has capacity $k-1$. It is well-known (see, e.g. Freund [6], Riordan [14, p. 104], and Bondarenko [3, p. 22], that

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{k}(m, n)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}\binom{n-k j+m-1}{m-1},  \tag{1.1}\\
N_{k}(m, n)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} N_{k}(m-1, n-j),  \tag{1.2}\\
N_{k}(m, n)=N_{k}(m, n-1)+N_{k}(m-1, n)-N_{k}(m-1, n-k), \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}(m, n)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m}{j} N_{k-1}(j, n-j) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, for $m, n$ integers, the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{n}$ is equal to 1 , if $m \geq 0$ and $n=0$ or $m=n$; it is equal to $\prod_{j=1}^{n}(m-j+1) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} j$, if $m>n>0$, and equals 0 , otherwise.

The number $N_{k}(m, n)$ has been used extensively in reliability and probability studies (see, e.g. Derman, Lieberman and Ross [5], Sen, Agarwal and Bhattacharya [15], Makri and Philippou [7], and Makri, Philippou and Psillakis [9]. Instead of $N_{k}(m, n)$, some authors (e.g. Bondarenko [3], R. L. Ollerton and A. G. Shannon [11, 12] use the notation $\binom{m}{n}_{k}$, and name the latter generalized binomial coefficient of order $k$. For $k=2$, relations (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to:

$$
N_{2}(m, n)=\binom{m}{n}_{2}=\binom{m}{n}, \text { and }\binom{m}{n}=\binom{m-1}{n}+\binom{m-1}{n-1} .
$$

As Freund [6] observed, recurrence (1.2), defines a generalized Pascal triangle as an array whose $(m, n)$ entry $\left(N_{k}(m, n)\right)$ equals the sum of the $k$ entries above it and to the left $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} N_{k}(m-1, n-j)\right)$. For more on generalized Pascal triangles, or to be more precise Pascal triangles of order k, we refer to Philippou and Georghiou [13], Bollinger [1, 2], and Ollerton and Shannon [10].

In the present paper we generalize relations (1.1)-(1.4) to the case of $s$ kinds of cells. This we do in Section 2. We also discuss, in Section 3, the corresponding generalized Pascal triangles.

## 2. RESTRICTED OCCUPANCY OF S KINDS OF CELLS

Presently we relax the assumption that each of the $m$ cells has capacity $k-1$ by assuming instead that there are $s$ kinds of cells and each one of kind $i$ has capacity $k_{i}-1(i=1, \ldots, s)$. We first derive the following generalization of (1.1).

Proposition 2.1: For $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)$ and $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$, denote by $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ the number of distinct allocations of $n$ indistinguishable objects into $\mathbf{m}$ distinguishable cells. Assume that each of $m_{i}$ specified cells has capacity $k_{i}-1(i=1, \ldots, s)$ and set $m=m_{1}+\ldots+m_{s}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1+n-k_{1} j_{1}-\ldots-k_{s} j_{s}}{m-1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $g(t)$ be the generating function of $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n) t^{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(1+t+t^{2}+\ldots+t^{k_{i}-1}\right)^{m_{i}} \\
& =\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(1-t^{k_{i}}\right)^{m_{i}}\right](1-t)^{-m}, \quad m=\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_{i} \\
& =\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j_{i}=0}^{m_{i}}(-1)^{j_{i}}\binom{m_{i}}{j_{i}} t^{k_{i} j_{i}}\right] \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\binom{m-1+j}{m-1} t^{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the binomial theorem,

$$
=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^{j_{i}}\binom{m_{i}}{j_{i}}\right]\binom{m-1+j}{m-1} t^{n}
$$

where the inner summation is over all nonnegative integers $j, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$, satisfying the conditions $j_{i} \leq m_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, s)$ and $j+\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}=n$. Therefore,

$$
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^{j_{i}}\binom{m_{i}}{j_{i}}\right]\binom{m-1+j}{m-1},
$$

from which the proposition follows.
For $\mathrm{s}=1$, Proposition 1.1 reduces to relation (1.1). For $\mathrm{s}=2$, it reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}}\binom{m-1+n-k_{1} j_{1}-k_{2} j_{2}}{m-1}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

a result derived and employed by Makri, Philippou and Psillakis [8] (2007a) to study Polya, inverse Polya and circular Polya distributions of order $k$ for $l$-overlapping success runs. We proceed now to generalize recurrences (1.2) - (1.4).

Proposition 2.2: Let $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{k_{1}-1} N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-j_{1}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{i}=0}^{k_{i}-1} N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-j_{i}\right), \quad i=2, \ldots, s-1, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{s}=0}^{k_{s}-1} N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s-1}, m_{s}-1, n-j_{s}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: It suffices to show (2.3). We first note that by employing (2.1) and the Pascal triangle identity $\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}}=\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}-1}+\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=S_{1}+S_{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}= \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}-1}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1} \\
&=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}{ }^{\prime}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}+1}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}{ }^{\prime}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}} \\
& \quad \times\binom{ m-1-k_{1}+n-k_{1} j_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\sum_{i=2}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

on setting $j_{1}{ }^{\prime}=j_{1}-1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2}= & \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1} \\
= & \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}} \\
& \times\left[\binom{m-1-k_{1}+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1}\binom{(m-1)-1+n-j-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{(m-1)-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows by means of the "vertical" recurrence relation (Charalambides [4, p. 129]

$$
\binom{x}{k}=\binom{x-r-1}{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{r}\binom{x-j-1}{k-1},
$$

which holds true for any real number $x$ and any nonnegative integer $k$. By interchanging the order of summation we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2}= & \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1-k_{1}+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1}\left[\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\binom{(m-1)-1+n-j-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{(m-1)-1}\right] \\
= & -S_{1}+\sum_{j=0}^{k_{1}-1} N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-j\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $S_{2}$ in (2.6) the proposition follows.
For $s=1$ Proposition 2.2 reduces to (1.2). For $s=2$, it reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{k_{1}-1} N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, n-j_{1}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=\sum_{j_{2}=0}^{k_{2}-1} N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, n-j_{2}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by usage of (2.3)-(2.5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{k_{1}-1} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{k_{s}-1} N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, \ldots, m_{s}-1, n-j_{1}-\ldots-j_{s}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY
which, for $s=2$, reduces to

$$
N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{k_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{k_{2}-1} N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}-1, n-j_{1}-j_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.3: Let $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)= & N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n-1)+N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n\right)-N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-k_{1}\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)= & N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n-1)+N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{s}, n\right) \\
& -N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_{i}-1, m_{i+1}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-k_{i}\right), \quad i=2, \ldots, s-1 \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n-1)+N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}-1, n\right)-N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s-1}, m_{s}-1, n-k_{s}\right)$.

Proof: It suffices to show (2.11). By Proposition 2.1 and the Pascal triangle identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1+n-1-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1} \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1+n-1-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1-1} \\
& =N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n-1) \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}-1} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1-1} \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}-1}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1-1} \\
& =N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n-1)+N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n\right) \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}(-1)^{j_{1}+\ldots+j_{s}}\binom{m_{1}-1}{j_{1}-1}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}\binom{m-1-1+n-\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_{i} j_{i}}{m-1-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows by setting $j_{1}-1=j_{1}^{\prime}$ in the sum of the last equality.
For $s=1$ Proposition 2.3 reduces to (1.3). For $s=2$, it reduces to
$N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n-1\right)+N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, n\right)-N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, n-k_{1}\right)$,
and
$N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n-1\right)+N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, n\right)-N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, n-k_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 2.4: Let $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \ldots \sum_{j_{s}=0}^{m_{s}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}} N_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}, n-j_{1}-\cdots-j_{s}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We consider the proof of (2.16) as a classical occupancy problem. Let $A$ be the set of allocations of $n$ indistinguishable objects into $m$ distinguishable cells such that each of $m_{i}$ specified cells may be occupied by at most $k_{i}-1$ objects (cells of the $i$ th kind), $i=1, \ldots, s$ $\left(m=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{s}\right)$.

For $i=1, \ldots, s$, let $A_{j_{i}}^{(i)}$ be the subset of these allocations in which $j_{i}$ cells, $j_{i}=$ $0,1, \ldots, m_{i}$, of the $i$ th kind are occupied (and consequently the remaining $m_{i}-j_{i}$ cells of the $i$ th kind remain empty). For given $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}$ and any specified selection of $j_{1}$ cells out of $m_{1}$ of the 1 st kind, $\ldots, j_{s}$ cells out of $m_{s}$ of the $s$ th kind, one object is placed in each of these $j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}$ specified cells. Next, note that the number of allocations of the remaining $n-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}\right)$ objects into the $j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}$ cells, under the restrictions of the capacities of the cells, equals

$$
N_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}, n-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}\right)\right)
$$

by Proposition 2.1. Further, the $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}$ cells can be chosen in

$$
\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}}, \quad j_{i}=0,1, \ldots, m_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, s
$$

ways. So, according to the multiplicative principle, the number of the elements of the set $A_{j_{1}}^{(1)} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap A_{j_{s}}^{(s)}$ equals

$$
\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}} \ldots\binom{m_{s}}{j_{s}} N_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}, n-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{s}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus, summing for all values of $j_{i}=0,1, \ldots, m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$, according to the addition principle, we deduce (2.16).

For $s=1$ Proposition 2.4 reduces to (1.4). For $s=2$, it reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)=\sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m_{2}}\binom{m_{1}}{j_{1}}\binom{m_{2}}{j_{2}} N_{k_{1}-1, k_{2}-1}\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, n-j_{1}-j_{2}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. GENERALIZED PASCAL TRIANGLES OF ORDER k

In this section, we note that the $s$ recurrences (2.3)-(2.5) define a generalized Pascal triangle (hyper cube), which we call Pascal triangle of order $\mathbf{k}$ and denote by $T_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$, as the hyper cube whose ( $\mathbf{m}, n$ ) entry $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ equals any one of the $k_{i}$ sums $(i=1, \ldots, s)$ appearing on the right-hand side of (2.3)-(2.5). For example, recurrence (2.3) gives the ( $\mathbf{m}, n$ ) entry $N_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ of $T_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}, n)$ as the sum of the $k_{1}$ entries $N_{\mathbf{k}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}, n-j\right), j=$ $0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-1$. For $s=2$, the ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, n$ ) entry of the Pascal triangle (cube) of order ( $k_{1}, k_{2}$ ) equals the sum of the $k_{1}$ entries $N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}, n-j\right), j=0,1, \ldots, k_{1}-1$. It is also equal to the sum of the $k_{2}$ entries $N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}-1, n-j\right), j=0,1, \ldots, k_{2}-1$.

Geometrically, we could use recurrence (2.7) to construct a cube with entries $N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}$ $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)$. Consider a cube such that, on its upper (horizontal) side $\left(P_{u}\right)$, a generalized Pascal triangle of order $k_{1}, T_{k_{1}}\left(m_{1}, n\right)$ is created Freund [6], e.g., its first row $m_{1}=0$ consists of a 1 and no other entries and each other entry is obtained as the sum of the entry immediately above and the $k_{1}-1$ entries to its left.

Next, on the left vertical side of the cube $\left(P_{v}\right)$, perpendicular to the upper side, a generalized Pascal triangle of order $k_{2}, T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ is created (see the following figure, which provides an illustration for $k_{1}=3, k_{2}=4$ ).

Note that the ( $m_{1}, n$ ) entry of $T_{k_{1}}\left(m_{1}, n\right)$ is simultaneously the ( $m_{1}, 0, n$ ) entry of the cube, and the $\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ entry of $T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ is simultaneously the $\left(0, m_{2}, n\right)$ entry of the cube.

For a given value of $m_{2}=m$ we consider a plane parallel to the upper side of the cube which intersects the left vertical side of the cube at the row $m_{2}=m$ of $T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$. On this new
plane an array is constructed with its first row $\left(m_{1}=0\right)$ being the $m_{2}=m$ row of $T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ and each other entry is obtained as the sum of the entry immediately above and the $k_{1}-1$ entries to its left. $N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right)$, which represents the number of distinct allocations of $n$ indistinguishable objects into $m_{1}$ distinguishable cells each of which has capacity $k_{1}-1$ and $m_{2}$ distinguishable cells each of which has capacity $k_{2}-1$, is the ( $m_{1}, n$ ) entry of this array. A similar procedure could be followed using recurrence (2.8).

To make it more clear, we note that in order to calculate $N_{k_{1}, k_{2}}(u, v, n)$ we first construct $T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ until its line $m_{2}=v$. In the sequel we construct an array ( $a_{m_{1}, n}$ ) with its first row ( $m_{1}=0$ ) being the $m_{2}=v$ row of $T_{k_{2}}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$ and each other entry of the array is obtained as the sum of the entry above and $k_{1}-1$ entries to the left of the one immediately above.

As an example, we give the calculation of $N_{3,4}\left(m_{1}, 6, n\right)$. First, we construct $T_{4}\left(m_{2}, n\right)$.

| $m_{2} \backslash n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 31 | 40 | 44 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 65 | 101 | 135 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ |

Then we construct $T_{3,4}\left(m_{1}, 6, n\right)=T_{3}\left(m_{1}, n\right)$ with $N_{3}(0, n)=N_{4}(6, n)$,

| $m_{1} \backslash n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 7 | 28 | 83 | 197 | 392 | 672 |
| 2 | 1 | 8 | 36 | 118 | 308 | 672 | 1261 |
| 3 | 1 | 9 | 45 | 162 | 462 | 1098 | 2241 |
| 4 | 1 | 10 | 55 | 216 | 669 | 1722 | 2865 |
| 5 | 1 | 11 | 66 | 281 | 940 | 2607 | 3750 |
| 6 | 1 | 12 | 78 | 358 | 1287 | 3828 | 4971 |

from which $N_{3,4}\left(m_{1}, 6, n\right)$ are readily available. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{3,4}(2,6,5)=N_{3}(2,5)=672, \\
& N_{3,4}(5,6,3)=N_{3}(5,3)=281 \\
& N_{3,4}(6,6,4)=N_{3}(6,4)=1287 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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