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Abstract. In this note we prove that

$$
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+r} \equiv(-1)^{r-1} r^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right)
$$

where $p$ is any prime, $n, m, s$ and $r$ are nonnegative integers such that $n \geq m, s \geq 1$, $1 \leq r \leq p^{s}-1$ and $r$ is not divisible by $p$. We derive a proof by induction using a multiple application of Lucas' Theorem and two basic binomial coefficient identities. As an application, we prove that a similar congruence for a prime $p \geq 5$ established in 1992 by D. F. Bailey holds for all primes $p$.

## 1. Introduction and Main Result

In 1878 É. Lucas [9] (also see [6]) proved a remarkable result which provides a simple way to compute the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}$ modulo a prime $p$ in terms of the binomial coefficients of the base- $p$ digits of $n$ and $m$ : if $n=n_{0}+n_{1} p+\cdots+n_{s} p^{s}$ and $m=m_{0}+m_{1} p+\cdots+m_{s} p^{s}$ such that $0 \leq m_{i}, n_{i} \leq p-1$ for each $i$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{m} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{s}\binom{n_{i}}{m_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with the usual convention that $\binom{0}{0}=1$, and $\binom{l}{r}=0$ if $\left.l<r\right)$. Lucas, Theorem is often formulated in the literature in the following equivalent form. If $p$ is a prime, and $a, b, c$ and $d$ are nonnegative integers with $a, b \leq p-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{c p+a}{d p+b} \equiv\binom{c}{d}\binom{a}{b} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1990 D. F. Bailey [1, Theorems 3 and 5] proved that under the same assumptions on $a, b, c, d$ for each prime $p \geq 5$

$$
\binom{c p^{f}+a}{d p^{f}+b} \equiv\binom{c}{d}\binom{a}{b} \quad\left(\bmod p^{f}\right)
$$

with $f \in\{2,3\}$. A generalization of this Lucas-like theorem to every prime power $p^{f}$ with $p \geq 5$ and $f=2,3, \ldots$ was discovered in 1990 by K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb [5] and independently by A. Granville [7]. In 2001 H. Hu and Z.-W. Sun [8] proved a similar congruence to (1.2) for generalized binomial coefficients defined in terms of second order recurrent sequences with initial values 0 and 1. In 2007 Z.-W. Sun and D. M. Davis [10] and in 2009 M. Chamberland and K. Dilcher [4] established analogues of Lucas' Theorem for certain classes of binomial sums.

Some Lucas type congruences were established also by Bailey. Namely, in 1991 Bailey [2, Theorem 4] proved by induction on $n \geq 0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n p}{m p+i} \equiv(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1}\binom{p}{i} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a prime, $n, m$ and $i$ are nonnegative integers with $m \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.
Applying the congruence (1.3), in the same paper [2, Theorem 5] the author extended it to the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n p^{2}}{m p^{2}+k p+i} \equiv(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1}\binom{p^{2}}{k p+i} \quad\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \geq 5$ is a prime, $n, m, k$ and $i$ are nonnegative integers with $m \leq n, 0 \leq k \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

The following year, proceeding by induction on $s \geq 1$, Bailey [3, Theorem 2.1] generalized the congruence (1.4) modulo higher powers of a prime $p \geq 5$. This congruence, extended here for all primes $p$ (Corollary 1.2 given below), is obtained as a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be any prime, and let $n, m, s$ and $r$ be nonnegative integers such that $m \leq n, s \geq 1,1 \leq r \leq p^{s}-1$ and $r$ is not divisible by $p$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+r} \equiv(-1)^{r-1} r^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Here $r^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $r$ in the field $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ ).
Corollary 1.2. ([3, Theorem 2.1]). Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and let $n, m$ and $s$ be nonnegative integers such that $m \leq n$ and $s \geq 1$. Let $r=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_{j} p^{j}$ with nonnegative integers $a_{j}$ such that $1 \leq a_{0} \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq a_{j} \leq p-1$ for all $j=1, \ldots, s-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+r} \equiv(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1}\binom{p^{s}}{r} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. In the proof of Corollary 1.2, using Vandermonde's Identity, Bailey proceeds by induction on $s$ assuming for the base of induction the cases $s=1$ and $s=2$, that is, the congruences (1.3) and (1.4), respectively. Recall that his inductive proof of the congruence (1.4) [1, Theorem 5] is based on Vandermonde's Identity and Ljunggren's Congruence (see e.g., [1, Theorem 4] or [6]) which asserts that $\binom{n p}{m p} \equiv\binom{n}{m}\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)$ for all primes $p \geq 5$ and nonnegative integers $n$ and $m$ with $n \geq m$. Bailey applied the same arguments (with $\binom{n p}{m p} \equiv\binom{n}{m}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ instead of Ljunggren's Congruence) in the proof of the congruence (1.3) [1, Theorem 4].

In the next section, using only Lucas' Theorem and two basic binomial coefficient identities, we give an inductive proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First observe that if $n=m$ then since $r \geq 1$, (1.5) reduces to the identity $0=0$. Thus, we can assume that $p, n, m$ and $s$ are arbitrary fixed integers satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and $n \geq m+1 \geq 1$. Since by the assumptions, $1 \leq r \leq p^{s}-1$ and $r$ is not divisible by $p$, if $s \geq 2$ we can write $r=k p+i$ with $0 \leq k \leq p^{s-1}-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, and if $s=1$, then $k=0$ and $r=i$ with $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

## THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

We will prove (1.5) by induction on $i(=r(\bmod p))$ in the range $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. For $i=1$, using the identities $\binom{a}{b+1}=\frac{a-b}{b+1}\binom{a}{b}$ and $\binom{a}{b+1}=\frac{a}{b+1}\binom{a-1}{b}$ with $0 \leq b \leq a-1$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+k p+1} & =\frac{(n-m) p^{s}-k p}{m p^{s}+k p+1}\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+k p} \\
& =p \cdot \frac{(n-m) p^{s-1}-k}{m p^{s}+k p+1}\binom{n p^{s}}{\left(m p^{s-1}+k\right) p} \\
& =p \cdot \frac{(n-m) p^{s-1}-k}{m p^{s}+k p+1} \cdot \frac{n p^{s}}{\left(m p^{s-1}+k\right) p}\binom{n p^{s}-1}{\left(m p^{s-1}+k\right) p-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =p^{s} \cdot \frac{\left((n-m) p^{s-1}-k\right) n}{\left(m p^{s}+k p+1\right)\left(m p^{s-1}+k\right)}\binom{n p^{s}-1}{\left(m p^{s-1}+k\right) p-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we consider two cases.
Case 1: $k=0$. Then $r=1$ and for $m=0$ (1.5) reduces to the identity $n p^{s}=n p^{s}$. If $m \geq 1$, then the right hand side of (2.1) with $k=0$ is equal to

$$
p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{\left(m p^{s}+1\right) m}\binom{n p^{s}-1}{m p^{s}-1}=p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{\left(m p^{s}+1\right) m}\binom{\left(n p^{s-1}-1\right) p+(p-1)}{\left(m p^{s-1}-1\right) p+(p-1)},
$$

which by iterating Lucas' Theorem in the form (1.2) $s$ times and using the identity $\frac{(n-m) n}{m}\binom{n-1}{m-1}=$ $(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1}$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \equiv p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{m}\binom{n p^{s-1}-1}{m p^{s-1}-1} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& =p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{m}\binom{\left(n p^{s-2}-1\right) p+(p-1)}{\left(m p^{s-2}-1\right) p+(p-1)} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{m}\binom{n p^{s-2}-1}{m p^{s-2}-1} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \equiv \cdots \\
& \equiv p^{s} \cdot \frac{(n-m) n}{m}\binom{n-1}{m-1}=(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (2.1) for $k=0$, we find that

$$
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+1} \equiv(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) .
$$

This proves (1.5) with $r=1$ (that is, with $i=1$ and $k=0$ ).
Case 2: $1 \leq k \leq p^{s-1}-1$. Then from $r=k p+1 \leq p^{s}-1$ we see that we must have $s \geq 2$. First notice that by Lucas' Theorem it follows immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a p^{f}+c}{b p^{f}+d} \equiv\binom{a}{b}\binom{c}{d} \quad(\bmod p), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is a prime, $f, a, b, c$ and $d$ are nonnegative integers such that $f \geq 1, c \leq p^{e}-1$, $d \leq p^{e}-1$, and $b \leq a$. Similarly, by (1.1) with the usual conventions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a p^{e}}{b p^{e}} \equiv\binom{a}{b} \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A LUCAS TYPE THEOREM MODULO PRIME POWERS

Also notice that for each prime $p$ and any integer $j$ such that $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{p-1}{j}=\frac{(p-1)(p-2) \cdots(p-j)}{j!} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{j} j!}{j!}=(-1)^{j} \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $k=u p^{l}$ where $l \geq 0$ and $u \geq 1$ are nonnegative integers such that $u$ is not divisible by $p$. Then since $r=k p+1=u p^{l+1}+1 \leq p^{s}-1$, we see that we must have $s \geq 3$, $l \leq s-2$ and $u<p^{s-1-l}$. Taking $k=u p^{l}$ for $u=\sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_{j} p^{j}$ with $0 \leq u_{j} \leq p-1$ for each $j=0,1, \ldots, s-l-2$ and $u_{0} \geq 1$ into (2.1), using Lucas' Theorem, (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+u p^{l+1}+1} \\
& =p^{s} \cdot \frac{\left((n-m) p^{s-1-l}-u\right) n}{\left(m p^{s}+u p^{l+1}+1\right)\left(m p^{s-l-1}+u\right)}\binom{n p^{s}-1}{\left(m p^{s-l-1}+u\right) p^{l+1}-1} \\
& \equiv p^{s} \cdot \frac{-u n}{u}\binom{\left(n p^{s-l-1}-1\right) p^{l+1}+\left(p^{l+1}-1\right)}{\left(m p^{s-l-1}+u-1\right) p^{l+1}+\left(p^{l+1}-1\right)} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv-n p^{s}\binom{n p^{s-l-1}-1}{m p^{s-l-1}+u-1} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& =-n p^{s}\binom{(n-1) p^{s-l-1}+p^{s-l-1}-1}{m p^{s-l-1}-1+\sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_{j} p^{j}} \\
& =-n p^{s}\binom{(n-1) p^{s-l-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2}(p-1) p^{j}}{m p^{s-l-1}+\left(u_{0}-1\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s-l-2} u_{j} p^{j}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \equiv-n p^{s}\binom{(n-1) p^{s-l-1}}{m p^{s-l-1}}\binom{p-1}{u_{0}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{s-l-2}\binom{p-1}{u_{j}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv-n p^{s}\binom{n-1}{m}(-1)^{-1+\sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_{j}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv n\binom{n-1}{m}(-1)^{u} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1}(-1)^{r-1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(the last two congruences are clearly satisfied since for odd prime $p, \sum_{j=0}^{s-l-2} u_{j} \equiv u(\bmod 2)$, and hence, $r-1=u p^{l+1} \equiv u(\bmod 2)$, while for $p=2$ we have $(-1)^{t} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for each integer $t$ ). The congruence (2.5) coincides with (1.5) for $r=u p^{l+1}+1$. This concludes the proof of the induction beginning $(i=1)$.

Now suppose that the congruence (1.5) holds for each $r=k p+i$ with $0 \leq k \leq p^{s-1}-1$ and some fixed $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq p-2$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+k p+i} \equiv(-1)^{k p+i-1}(k p+i)^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

Then using the identity $\binom{a}{b+1}=\frac{a-b}{b+1}\binom{a}{b}$ with $0 \leq b \leq a$ and (2.6), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+k p+i+1}=\frac{(n-m) p^{s}-k p-i}{m p^{s}+k p+i+1}\binom{n p^{s}}{m p^{s}+k p+i} \\
& \equiv \frac{(n-m) p^{s}-k p-i}{m p^{s}+k p+i+1}(-1)^{k p+i-1}(k p+i)^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \equiv \frac{-i}{k p+i+1}(-1)^{k p+i-1} i^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{k p+i}(k p+i+1)^{-1}(m+1)\binom{n}{m+1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $(1.5)$ with $r$ satisfying $r \equiv i+1(\bmod p)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Taking $n=1$ and $m=0$ in the congruence (1.5) of Theorem 1.1, for all $r$ such that $1 \leq r \leq p^{s}-1$ and $r$ not divisible by $p$, we get

$$
\binom{p^{s}}{r} \equiv(-1)^{r-1} r^{-1} p^{s} \quad\left(\bmod p^{s+1}\right)
$$

Comparing this with (1.5), we immediately obtain (1.6).
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