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#### Abstract

This work generalizes results on exact divisibility of powers of the Fibonacci number $F_{n}^{k}$ into another Fibonacci number $G_{k}(n)$ defined iteratively by $G_{1}(n)=F_{n}$ and $G_{k}(n)=F_{n G_{k-1}(n)}$ for $k \geq 2$. In particular, we prove analogous results on nondegenerate Lucas sequences by providing explicit formulas for $p$-adic valuation of iterative terms in these sequences. The proof makes use of recent results by Sanna regarding the $p$-adic valuation of Lucas sequences.


## 1. Introduction

Let $P$ and $Q$ be fixed relatively prime integers. The Lucas sequence, denoted $U_{n}(P, Q)$, is defined by $U_{0}(P, Q)=0, U_{1}(P, Q)=1$, and

$$
U_{n}(P, Q)=P \cdot U_{n-1}(P, Q)-Q \cdot U_{n-2}(P, Q) \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
$$

For example, the Fibonacci numbers $F_{n}$ and the Mersenne numbers $2^{n}-1$ correspond to $U_{n}(1,-1)$ and $U_{n}(3,2)$, respectively. We associate the characteristic polynomial $x^{2}-P x+Q$ with the sequence $U_{n}(P, Q)$. Let $D=P^{2}-4 Q$ be the discriminant of this polynomial. If $D \neq 0$, then the characteristic polynomial $x^{2}-P x+Q$ has two distinct zeros $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $U_{n}(P, Q)$ can be expressed explicitly as

$$
U_{n}(P, Q)=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\sqrt{D}}
$$

If not stated otherwise, the sequence $U_{n}$ in this work is referred to as $U_{n}(P, Q)$ for some fixed relatively prime integers $P$ and $Q$ and assumed to be nondegenerate, that is, $Q \neq 0$ and the ratio of the two roots of the characteristic polynomial $x^{2}-P x+Q$ is not a root of unity. Consequently, the two roots of such characteristic polynomial are distinct and the discriminant $D=P^{2}-4 Q \neq 0$. Let $n \geq 0$. Define the Lucas iteration sequence $G_{k}(n)$ by $G_{1}(n)=U_{n}$ and $G_{k}(n)=U_{n G_{k-1}(n)}$ for $k \geq 2$. For example, the first three terms of the sequence $G_{k}(n)$ are

$$
G_{1}(n)=U_{n}, \quad G_{2}(n)=U_{n U_{n}}, \quad \text { and } \quad G_{3}(n)=U_{n U_{n U_{n}}}
$$

The sequence $G_{k}(n)$ corresponding to the Fibonacci sequence $U_{n}(1,-1)$ was studied by Tangboonduangjit and Wiboonton [5] where they proved that $F_{n}^{k}$ divides $G_{k}(n)$. A year later, Panraksa, Tangboonduangjit, and Wiboonton [2] proved that the divisibility is exact for $n>3$ and gave explicit formulas for the quotient $G_{k}(n) / F_{n}^{k}$ modulo $F_{n}$ for the cases $k=2$ and $k=3$. Another year later, however, Onphaeng and Pongsriiam [1] generalized the sequence $G_{k}(n)$ and were able to give explicit formulas for the quotient $G_{k}(n) / F_{n}^{k}$ modulo $F_{n}$ for all $k \geq 2$. For each prime number $p$, we recall that the $p$-adic valuation $\nu_{p}(m)$ of non-zero integer $m$ is defined to be the exponent of $p$ in the prime factorization of $m$, whereas $\nu_{p}(0)$ is defined to be infinity. In this paper, we generalize some results in [2] to the Lucas sequence $U_{n}(P, Q)$. In particular, we give explicit formulas for $p$-adic valuation of the sequence $G_{k}(n)$. The main result is presented in section 3.
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## 2. Preliminary

Sanna [4] gives a complete account of the $p$-adic valuation of nondegenerate Lucas sequences. The results needed in this work are stated as Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 in [4]. We recall them here as a single theorem. If $p$ is prime such that $p \nmid Q$, then the rank of apparition of $p$ in the sequence $U_{n}$, denoted $\tau(p)$, is defined to be the least positive integer such that $p \mid U_{\tau(p)}$. These basic facts about $\tau(p)$ are well-known: $\tau(p)$ exists for each $p$, and $p \mid U_{n}$ if and only if $\tau(p) \mid n$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $p$ be prime such that $p \nmid Q$. Then, for each positive integer $n$,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p}\right)-1 & \text { if } p \mid D \text { and } p \mid n, \\ 0 & \text { if } p \mid D \text { and } p \nmid n, \\ \nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-1 & \text { if } p \nmid D, \tau(p) \mid n, \text { and } p \mid n, \\ \nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right) & \text { if } p \nmid D, \tau(p) \mid n \text {, and } p \nmid n, \\ 0 & \text { if } p \nmid D \text { and } \tau(p) \nmid n .\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \nmid Q$, then, for each positive integer $n$,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p}\right)-1 & \text { if } p \mid D \text { and } p \mid n, \\ 0 & \text { if } p \mid D \text { and } p \nmid n, \\ \nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right) & \text { if } p \nmid D \text { and } \tau(p) \mid n, \\ 0 & \text { if } p \nmid D \text { and } \tau(p) \nmid n .\end{cases}
$$

The following theorem by Riasat [3] generalizes "lifting the exponent" lemma to the ring of algebraic integers.

Theorem 2.2. Let $K$ be an algebraic number field and $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ its ring of integers. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that the ideals $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$ are relatively prime to $(p)$ for some prime $p$. Define the sequence $a_{n}$ by

$$
a_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta} .
$$

If $a_{n}$ is an integer for all $n \geq 0$, then, for all $k \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(a_{k p^{n}}\right)=n+\nu_{p}\left(a_{k}\right) .
$$

The following lemma is inspired by the above theorem.
Lemma 2.3. Let $n, k \geq 1$ and $p$ a prime factor of $U_{k}$ such that $p \nmid Q$. Then,
(1) if (i) $p$ is odd, or (ii) $p=2$ and $k$ is even, or (iii) $p=2$ and $n$ is odd, we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right) ;
$$

(2) if $k$ and $D$ are odd and $n$ is even, we have

$$
\nu_{2}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{k}\right)+\left(\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)-\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)-1\right) \geq \nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{k}\right) .
$$

Proof. We distinguish two main cases.
Case 1. $p \mid D$. This implies $p \mid k$ (and therefore $p \mid k n$ ), since otherwise we have, by the second case of Theorem 2.1, $\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)=0$, which contradicts the assumption that $p$ is a prime factor of $U_{k}$. Consequently, the first case of Theorem 2.1 yields

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(k n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p}\right)-1=\nu_{p}(n)+\left(\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p}\right)-1\right) .
$$

## THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

According to Theorem 2.1, the value of $\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)$ is $\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p}\right)-1$ or 0 ; however, since, by assumption, $\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)>0$, it could not be the latter. Thus,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right) .
$$

Case 2. $p \nmid D$. Since $p \mid U_{k}$, it follows that $\tau(p) \mid k$ (and therefore $\tau(p) \mid k n$ ). We consider two sub-cases.

Case 2.1. $p \mid k$. Then $p \mid k n$, so that by the third case of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(k n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-1=\nu_{p}(n)+\left(\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-1\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right) .
$$

Case 2.2. $p \nmid k$. We consider two sub-cases.
Case 2.2.1. $p$ is odd. Then by the third case of Theorem 2.1 for the case when $p$ is an odd prime, we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(k n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\left(\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right) .
$$

Case 2.2.2. $p=2$. We consider two sub-cases.
Case 2.2.2.1. $n$ is even. This implies $p \mid k n$. Then by the third case of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right) & =\nu_{p}(k n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-1=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-1 \\
& =\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)+\left(\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p \nmid k$, the fourth case of Theorem 2.1 yields,

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)=\nu_{p}(k)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)=0+\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right) .
$$

Thus, $\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)+\left(\nu_{p}\left(U_{p \tau(p)}\right)-\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau(p)}\right)-1\right) \geq \nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)$, where the last inequality follows from Lemma 3.2 in [4].

Case 2.2.2.2. $n$ is odd. Then $p \nmid k n$, and so, by the fourth case of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(U_{k n}\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{\tau}(p)\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)=0+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right)=\nu_{p}(n)+\nu_{p}\left(U_{k}\right) .
$$

## 3. The Main Theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let $n \geq 1$ and $p$ a prime factor of $U_{n}$. Then, for $k \geq 1$,
(1) if (i) $p$ is odd, or (ii) $p=2$ and $2 \mid D$, or (iii) $p=2$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \geq 2$, we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \cdot \nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right) ;
$$

(2) if $2 \nmid D$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=(\gamma-1) k+2-\gamma,
$$

where $\gamma=\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right)$.
Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be given and let $p$ be a prime factor of $U_{n}$. We first prove assertion (1) with assumption (i). Suppose that $p$ is odd. For $n=1$, the formula holds trivially, since $G_{k}(1)=1=U_{1}$ for all $k$. Let $n>1$ and suppose that $\nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)=s$. We want to show that $\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=s \cdot k$. We prove this by induction on $k$. For $k=1$, we have $\nu_{p}\left(G_{1}(n)\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)=$ $s=s \cdot 1$. Hence, the formula holds for $k=1$. Assume the formula holds for some $k \geq 1$, which
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is $\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=s \cdot k$. We want to show that $\nu_{p}\left(G_{k+1}(n)\right)=s(k+1)$. By the definition and Lemma 2.3(1), we have

$$
\nu_{p}\left(G_{k+1}(n)\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{n G_{k}(n)}\right)=\nu_{p}\left(U_{n}\right)+\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=s+s k=s(k+1) .
$$

This proves assertion (1) with assumption (i). Now we prove assertion (1) with assumption (ii). Assume that $p=2$ and $2 \mid D$. Then $P$ is even and $Q$ is odd, since $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$. Together with the assumption that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)>0$, Theorem 2.1 implies $2 \mid n$, that is $n$ is even and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=\nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{2}\right)-1$. By induction (similar to the proof of assertion (1) with assumption (i) above), we have $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)$. Theorem 2.1 allows us to express $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)$ in simpler terms as follows.

$$
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=k\left(\nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{2}\right)-1\right) .
$$

To prove assertion (1) with assumption (iii), we assume that $p=2$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \geq 2$. If $2 \mid D$, then it is proved in the previous case. So we may assume that $2 \nmid D$. Then from $D=P^{2}-4 Q$, we have $P$ is odd. Assume that $Q$ is even. From the recurrence $U_{n}=P U_{n-1}-Q U_{n-2}$, since $P$ is odd and $U_{1}=1$, it follows by induction that $U_{n}$ is odd for all $n \geq 1$. This contradicts the assumption that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \geq 2$. Hence, $Q$ is odd.

If $n$ is even, then Lemma 2.3(1) implies that

$$
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k+1}(n)\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{n G_{k}(n)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) .
$$

Then again by induction, we have $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)$.
If $n$ is odd, then since $U_{3}=P U_{2}-Q U_{1}=P^{2}-Q$, and $P$ and $Q$ are odd, it follows that $U_{3}$ is even. Since $U_{1}=1$ and $U_{2}=P$ are not divisible by 2 , but $U_{3}$ is, we have $\tau(2)=3$, so that $2 \tau(2)=6$. By direct computation from the recurrence of $U_{n}$, we find that

$$
U_{3}=P^{2}-3 Q \quad \text { and } \quad U_{6}=P^{5}-4 P^{3} Q+3 P Q^{2}=P\left(P^{2}-3 Q\right)\left(P^{2}-Q\right) .
$$

Since $2 \nmid n$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) \neq 0$ by assumption, it follows by the fourth case of Theorem 2.1 that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{3}\right)$ and therefore, $2^{\ell} \| U_{3}$ for some $\ell \geq 2$. Consequently, $2 \| P^{2}-3 Q$, since $P^{2}-3 Q=\left(P^{2}-Q\right)-2 Q$ and $2 \| 2 Q$. Thus, $\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)+1$. By Lemma 2.3(2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k+1}(n)\right) & =\nu_{2}\left(U_{n G_{k}(n)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)-\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)-1 \\
& =\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)+0=\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by induction as before, $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)$.
We make the following observation before proving assertion (2). If $2 \nmid D$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=1$, then $n$ is odd. Assume otherwise; then since $D=P^{2}-4 Q$, it follows that $2 \nmid P$ and by Lemma 3.2 in [4] that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right) \geq \nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)+1$. Now since $2 \mid n$, the third case of Theorem 2.1 applies and gives

$$
1=\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=\nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)-1 \geq 1+\left(\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)+1\right)-1=\nu_{2}\left(U_{\tau(2)}\right)+1 \geq 2,
$$

which is a contradiction.
Now we proceed to prove assertion (2). Assume that $2 \nmid D$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=1$. By the observation above, we have $n$ is odd. We prove the formula by induction on $k$. For $k=1$, we have $\nu_{2}\left(G_{1}(n)\right)=\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=1=(\gamma-1) \cdot 1+2-\gamma$. Assuming that the formula holds for some positive integer $k$, we want to show that it holds for $k+1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k+1}(n)\right) & =\nu_{2}\left(U_{n G_{k}(n)}\right)=\nu_{2}\left(n G_{k}(n)\right)+\nu_{2}\left(U_{2 \tau(2)}\right)-1=\nu_{2}(n)+\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)+\gamma-1 \\
& =0+((\gamma-1) k+2-\gamma)+\gamma-1=(\gamma-1) k+1=(\gamma-1)(k+1)+2-\gamma,
\end{aligned}
$$
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where the second equality follows from the third case of Theorem 2.1. This establishes the inductive step. Hence, the formula holds for all positive integers $k$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $n \geq 1$ and $p$ a prime factor of $U_{n}$. If $2 \nmid D$ and $\nu_{2}\left(U_{n}\right)=1$, then, for $k \geq 1$, we have $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right) \geq 2 k-1$.

Proof. We will prove that $\gamma=\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right) \geq 3$. Then, Theorem 3.1(2) implies that

$$
\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=(\gamma-1) k+2-\gamma=\gamma(k-1)+2-k \geq 3(k-1)+2-k=2 k-1 .
$$

By direct computation from the recurrence of Lucas sequence, we find

$$
U_{6}=P^{5}-4 P^{3} Q+3 P Q^{2}=P\left(P^{2}-3 Q\right)\left(P^{2}-Q\right)
$$

It will be shown in the proof of Theorem 3.1 that $P$ and $Q$ are odd. Consequently, the factors $P^{2}-3 Q$ and $P^{2}-Q$ of $U_{6}$ are even and therefore, $\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right) \geq 2$. However, considering in modulo 4, we find that $4 \mid P^{2}-3 Q$ or $4 \mid P^{2}-Q$. Hence, $8 \mid U_{6}$ or $\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right) \geq 3$, as desired.

We make a remark here that the value of $\gamma=\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right) \geq 3$ can be any integer. We demonstrate this by proving that for each $\ell \geq 3$, there exists a Lucas sequence $U_{n}$ such that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right)=\ell$. Indeed, letting $\ell \geq 3$, we consider the Lucas sequence $U_{n}(P, Q)$ with $P=1$ and $Q=1-2^{\ell-1}$. We find that

$$
U_{6}=P\left(P^{2}-3 Q\right)\left(P^{2}-Q\right)=\left(1-3\left(1-2^{\ell-1}\right)\right)\left(1-\left(1-2^{\ell-1}\right)\right)=2^{\ell}\left(3 \cdot 2^{\ell-2}-1\right)
$$

Since $3 \cdot 2^{\ell-2}-1$ is odd for $\ell \geq 3$, it follows that $\nu_{2}\left(U_{6}\right)=\ell$. The following corollary of exact divisibility is stated as Theorem 3.3 in [2]. We present an alternative proof based on the main result of this work.

Corollary 3.3. Let $F_{n}$ be the Fibonacci sequence. Then, for all $k \geq 1$,
(1) $F_{n}^{k} \| G_{k}(n)$ for all $n>3$;
(2) $F_{3}^{2 k-1} \| G_{k}(3)$.

Proof. For the Fibonacci sequence $F_{n}=U_{n}(1,-1)$, we have $P=1=-Q$ so that $D=$ $P^{2}-4 Q=5$. We note first that $F_{n}$ divides $G_{k}(n)$ for all $n, k \geq 1$. The statement is obviously true for $k=1$. For $k>1$, using $F_{n}$ is a divisibility sequence, we have $F_{n} \mid F_{n G_{k-1}(n)}$ or $F_{n} \mid G_{k}(n)$. To prove (1), we let $n>3$. It suffices to show that $F_{n}$ has a prime factor $p$ such that $\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \cdot \nu_{p}\left(F_{n}\right)$. If $F_{n}$ has an odd prime factor, then we let $p$ be that prime factor, and the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1(1) part (i) is satisfied. If $F_{n}$ has no odd prime factor, then we let $p=2$. Since $F_{3}=2$ and the Fibonacci sequence $F_{n}$ is strictly increasing for $n \geq 3$, it follows that $\nu_{2}\left(F_{n}\right) \geq 2$. Hence, the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1(1) part (iii) is satisfied. In all cases, we conclude that there is a prime factor $p$ of $F_{n}$ such that $\nu_{p}\left(G_{k}(n)\right)=k \cdot \nu_{p}\left(F_{n}\right)$, as we wanted to show. To prove (2), we consider that for $n=3$, the number $\gamma=\nu_{2}\left(F_{6}\right)=\nu_{2}(8)=3$. Since $2 \nmid D$ and $\nu_{2}\left(F_{3}\right)=\nu_{2}(2)=1$, Theorem 3.1(2) implies that $\nu_{2}\left(G_{k}(3)\right)=(3-1) k+2-3=2 k-1$. Thus, $F_{3}^{2 k-1} \| G_{k}(3)$.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and for many suggestions, which improved the structure and presentation of the paper. The second author is supported by the MUIC Seed Grant Research Fund.

## $p$-ADIC VALUATION OF LUCAS ITERATION SEQUENCES

## References

[1] K. Onphaeng and P. Pongsriiam, Subsequences and divisibility by powers of the Fibonacci numbers, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 52.2 (2014), 163-171.
[2] C. Panraksa, A. Tangboonduangjit, and K. Wiboonton, Exact divisibility properties of some subsequences of Fibonacci numbers, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 51.4 (2013), 307-318.
[3] Samin Riasat's Blog (2013), A generalisation of 'lifting the exponent', https://sriasat.wordpress.com/ 2013/08/26/a-generalisation-of-lifting-the-exponent/.
[4] C. Sanna, The p-adic valuation of Lucas sequences, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 54.2 (2016), 118-124.
[5] A. Tangboonduangjit and K. Wiboonton, Divisibility properties of some subsequences of Fibonacci numbers, East-West J. Math., Special Volume, (2012), 331-336.

MSC2010: 11B39, 33C05
Mahidol University International College, Salaya, Nakhonpathom, Thailand
E-mail address: chatchawan.pan@mahidol.edu
Mahidol University International College, Salaya, Nakhonpathom, Thailand
E-mail address: aram.tan@mahidol.edu

