

## FIBONACCI REPRESENTATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER - II

\* L. CARLITZ and RICHARD SCOVILLE  
Duke University, Durham, North Carolina  
and  
V. E. HOGGATT, JR.  
San Jose State College, San Jose, California

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $N \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. We wish to discuss various properties of sequences  $\{v_n\}$  ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) of complex numbers satisfying the recurrence

$$(1.1) \quad v_{n+N} = v_{n+N-1} + \dots + v_{n+1} + v_n \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

We let  $\mathbb{V}$  be the set of sequences satisfying (1.1) and we let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the set of all sequences  $\delta_n$  ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) which are non-zero on only a finite number of coordinates. For  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{V}$  we define

$$\delta(v) = \sum \delta_n v_n.$$

We will call  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$  canonical if

$$(1.2) \quad \delta_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow \delta_i = 1 \quad (i = 0, \pm 1, \dots)$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \delta_i \delta_{i+1} \dots \delta_{i+N-1} = 0 \quad (i = 0, \pm 1, \dots).$$

We will say  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon' \in \mathbb{D}$  are equivalent ( $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon'$ ) if  $\epsilon(v) = \epsilon'(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We shall also have occasion to use the translation operator  $T$  on sequences from  $\mathbb{D}$  or  $\mathbb{V}$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad (Tv)_n = v_{n+1} \quad (v \in \mathbb{D} \text{ or } \mathbb{V}).$$

\*Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-17031.

The main theorem of the present paper is the following.

Theorem A. Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbf{D}$  have integral coordinates. Then either  $\epsilon$  or  $-\epsilon$  is equivalent to a canonical element of  $\mathbf{D}$ .

We use this theorem first to generalize a result of Klarner's [4] for Fibonacci numbers to  $N^{\text{th}}$  order Fibonacci numbers  $P = \{P_n\}$  defined by

$$(i) \quad P \in \mathbf{W}$$

$$(ii) \quad P_{-(N-2)} = \dots = P_0 = 0, \quad P_1 = 1.$$

The generalization is as follows:

Theorem B. Let  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_N$  be positive integers. Then there is a unique canonical  $\delta \in \mathbf{D}$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad K_i = \delta(T^i P) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

If  $\gamma$  is a root of

$$(1.6) \quad x^N - x^{N-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$$

we let  $\underline{\gamma}$  be the sequence in  $\mathbf{W}$  defined by

$$(1.7) \quad (\underline{\gamma})_n = \gamma^n.$$

We let  $\alpha$  be the largest positive root of (1.6). Note that  $\alpha > 1$ .

As a corollary to the main theorem we get

Theorem C. A positive real number  $x$  is of the form  $\delta(\underline{\alpha})$  for some canonical  $\delta \in \mathbf{D}$  if and only if, for some positive  $k$  and some integers  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_N$  we have

$$(1.8) \quad \alpha^k x = Q_1 + Q_2 \alpha + \dots + Q_N \alpha^{N-1}.$$

In Section 4, we assume that  $N = 3$  and verify some conjectures of Hoggatt concerning certain functions introduced and discussed in [1], [2] and

[3]. The authors believe that the results obtained in Section 4 for the case  $N = 3$  are strongly indicative of those that might hold for larger values of  $N$ .

2. PROPERTIES OF CANONICAL ELEMENTS

Theorem 1. Suppose  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}$  are canonical. Then either  $\delta - \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon - \delta$  is equivalent to  $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Proof. The non-zero coordinates of  $\eta = \delta - \epsilon$  are 1's and -1's. Suppose the first non-zero coordinate of  $\eta$  (starting from the left) is -1, and let  $\eta_k = 1$  be the first 1. Now change  $\eta_k$  to 0 and add 1 to each of  $\eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k-2}, \dots, \eta_{k-N}$ . The resulting sequence is equivalent to  $\eta$ , and since  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  are canonical, it can be seen that not all of  $\eta_{k-1} + 1, \dots, \eta_{k-N} + 1$  are 0. Performing this "change" repeatedly, we finally come to a sequence  $\eta'$  equivalent to  $\eta$  all of whose non-zero coordinates are either 1 or -1. This of course implies that either  $\eta$  or  $-\eta$  is equivalent to a canonical element of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}$  have integral coordinates. Then either  $\epsilon$  or  $-\epsilon$  is equivalent to a canonical element of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If the coordinates of  $\epsilon$  are non-negative then  $\epsilon$  is equivalent to a canonical element of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Proof. We set  $\epsilon = \epsilon^+ - \epsilon^-$ . The previous theorem shows that the first statement of the present theorem follows from the second; so we assume  $\epsilon = \epsilon^+$ .

Now a simple induction shows that it is enough to prove the following statement: If  $\epsilon$  is canonical, then  $\epsilon + \chi_i$  is equivalent to a canonical element, where  $\chi_i$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \chi_i(v) = v_i \quad v \in \mathbb{V} .$$

Note that  $\epsilon + \chi_i = \epsilon - \chi_{i-1} - \dots - \chi_{i-N+1} + \chi_{i+1} \equiv \gamma_1 + \chi_{i+1}$  where, by Theorem 1 either  $\gamma_1$  or  $-\gamma_1$  is canonical. If  $-\gamma_1$  is canonical, then again by Theorem 1,  $\gamma_1 + \chi_{i+1}$  is equivalent to a canonical element. Hence we may suppose  $\gamma_1$  is canonical. Then we get

$$\epsilon + \chi_i \equiv \gamma_1 + \chi_{i+1} \equiv \gamma_2 + \chi_{i+2} \equiv$$

with  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$  canonical. But this is impossible for, if so, we would have

$$(2.2) \quad [\epsilon + \chi_i](\alpha) \geq \chi_{i+n}(\alpha) = \alpha^{i+n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This completes the proof.

Let  $P \in \mathbb{V}$  be the sequence defined by the initial conditions

$$(2.3) \quad P_{-(N-2)} = \dots = P_0 = 0; \quad P_1 = 1.$$

Theorem 3. Let  $K$  be a positive integer. Then there is a unique canonical  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$  such that, for all  $n$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad P_n^K = \sum_i \delta_i P_{i+n}.$$

Proof. Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}$  be the sequence

$$(2.5) \quad \epsilon_n = \begin{cases} K & n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then by Theorem 2 there is a unique canonical  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$  satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad \epsilon(v) = \delta(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{V}.$$

Letting  $v$  be translates of  $P$  we get (2.4) immediately since  $\epsilon(v) = v_0 K$  for any  $v \in \mathbb{V}$ .

The uniqueness of  $\delta$  will follow if we can show that any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{V}$  is determined by its value on translates of  $P$ . We state this as a separate theorem.

Theorem 4.  $\mathbb{V}$  is  $N$ -dimensional as a complex vector space. It is spanned by  $P, TP, \dots, T^{N-1}P$ . Moreover, the  $N \times N$  matrix

$$\Delta_i = \left\{ (T^j P)_n \right\} \quad \begin{array}{l} (j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1) \\ (n = 0, i+1, \dots, i+N-1) \end{array}$$

has determinant

$$|\Delta_i| = \left( (-1)^{N+1} \right)^{i+1} .$$

Proof. The fact that  $V$  is  $N$ -dimensional is well-known, so the calculation of the determinant will complete the proof: we have

$$\Delta_i = \begin{pmatrix} P_i & P_{i+1} & \cdots & P_{i+n-1} \\ P_{i+1} & P_{i+2} & \cdots & P_{i+n} \\ \vdots & & & \\ P_{i+n-1} & & \cdots & P_{i+2n-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Adding the last  $N - 1$  columns to the first, using the recurrence and interchanging columns we get

$$(2.7) \quad |\Delta_i| = (-1)^{N+1} |\Delta_{i+1}| .$$

But

$$\Delta_{-(N-2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & & & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$|\Delta_{-(N-2)}| = (-1)^{N+1} .$$

Hence

$$|\Delta_i| = \left( (-1)^{N+1} \right)^{i+1} .$$

Theorem 5. Let  $v \in \mathbf{V}$ . Then

$$(2.8) \quad v = v_0TP + (v_1 - v_0)P + (v_2 - v_1 - v_0)T^{-1} + \dots \\ + (v_{N-1} + \dots - v_1 - v_0)T^{-(N-2)}P .$$

Proof. Let  $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$ . The  $j^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of the right side is

$$\begin{aligned} & v_0P_{j+1} + (v_1 + v_0)P_j + \dots + (v_{N-1} - \dots - v_1 - v_0)P_{j-(N-2)} \\ &= v_0(P_{j+1} - P_j - \dots - P_{j-(N-2)}) \\ &\quad + v_1(P_j - P_{j-1} - \dots - P_{j-(N-2)}) \\ &\quad + v_k(P_{j+1-k} - \dots - P_{j-(N-2)}) \\ &\quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ &\quad + v_{N-2}(P_{j-(N-2)+1} - P_{j-(N-2)}) \\ &\quad + v_{N-1}(P_{j-(N-2)}) \end{aligned} .$$

The coefficient of  $v_k$  is non-zero only when  $j + 1 - k = 1$ , i. e., only when  $k = j$ . In this case it is 1.

We can generalize a theorem proved by Klarner for the Fibonacci numbers as follows.

Theorem 6. Let  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_N$  be positive integers. Then there is a unique canonical  $\delta$  such that

$$(2.10) \quad K_i = \delta(T^i P) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N) .$$

Proof. It will be enough to find a canonical  $\delta$  satisfying

$$(2.11) \quad K_i = \delta \left( T^{i-(N-1)} P \right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$$

because then a translate of  $\delta$  will satisfy (2.10). Let  $\gamma$  be one of the  $N$  roots of  $x^N - x^{N-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$ , and let

$$(2.12) \quad v = \underline{\gamma} .$$

Then by the previous theorem, if  $\delta$  exists and satisfies (2.11) it must also satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(\underline{\gamma}) &= K_N + (\gamma - 1)K_{N-1} + \dots + (\gamma^{N-1} - \gamma^{N-2} - \dots - \gamma - 1)K_1 \\
 (2.13) \quad &= \frac{1 + \gamma + \dots + \gamma^{N-1}}{\gamma^N} K_N + \frac{1 + \gamma + \dots + \gamma^{N-2}}{\gamma^{N-1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\gamma} K_1 \\
 &= K_N \gamma^{-N} + (K_N + K_{N-1})\gamma^{-(N-1)} + \dots + (K_N + \dots + K_1)\gamma^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we should define  $\delta$  to be the unique canonical form in  $\mathbf{D}$  equivalent to  $\beta \in \mathbf{D}$  where  $\beta$  is given by

$$(2.14) \quad \beta_i = \begin{cases} K_N + \dots + K_i & (-N \leq i \leq -1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad \beta \left( T^{i-(N-1)} P \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^N (K_N + \dots + K_j) P_{-j+i-(N-1)} \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^N K_t \left( \sum_{j=1}^t P_{-j+i-(N-1)} \right) = K_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. FURTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We recall that  $\alpha$  is the largest positive root of

$$x^N - x^{N-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$$

and

$$\underline{\alpha} = (\dots, \alpha^{-1}, 1, \alpha, \dots).$$

Theorem 7. Let  $K$  be any positive integer. Then there exists a unique canonical  $\delta \in \mathbf{D}$  such that

$$K = \delta(\underline{\alpha}) .$$

Moreover,

$$K = \delta(P) .$$

Proof. Choose  $\delta$  as in Theorem 3. Then

$$\delta(\underline{\alpha}) = \epsilon(\underline{\alpha}) = K .$$

Theorem 8. A positive real number  $x$  is of the form  $\delta(\underline{\alpha})$  for some canonical  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$  if and only if, for some positive  $k$  and some integers  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_N$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha^k x = Q_1 + Q_2 \alpha + \dots + Q_N \alpha^{N-1} .$$

Proof. Suppose first that  $x$  is of the form  $\delta(\underline{\alpha})$ :

$$(3.2) \quad x = \sum_{j=-k} \epsilon_j \alpha^j .$$

Then

$$\alpha^k x = \sum_{j=0} \epsilon_j \alpha^{j+k}$$

and powers of  $\alpha$  higher than  $\alpha^{N-1}$  can be successively reduced to lower powers eventually giving (3.1).

Now suppose (3.1) holds. Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{D}$  be defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \epsilon_n = \begin{cases} Q_{n+k+1} & -k \leq n \leq N - k - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$



Then either  $\epsilon$  or  $-\epsilon$  is equivalent to a canonical element  $\delta \in \mathbb{D}$ . But

$$\epsilon(\alpha) = x > 0.$$

Hence we must have  $\epsilon \equiv \delta$ .

4.

For the notation used in the remainder of the paper we refer the reader to [3].

Let  $\nu_k(M)$  denote the number of numbers  $n \in C_k$  such that  $n \leq M$ .

Theorem 9. If  $M \notin C_2$  then

$$(4.1) \quad \nu_2(M) = M - f(M).$$

More generally, if

$$M \notin C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \dots \cup C_r$$

then

$$(4.2) \quad \nu_r(M) = f^{r-2}(M) - f^{r-1}(M) \quad (r = 2, 3, 4, \dots).$$

Proof. Let

$$K_r = \{K | K \notin C_2 \cup C_3 \cup \dots \cup C_r\}, \quad r \geq 2$$

and let  $K_1 = \mathbb{N}$ . Then clearly  $f^{r-1}$  is 1-1, onto and monotone from  $K_r$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . In particular,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{card} \{K | K \in K_r, K \leq M\} = f^{r-1}(M) \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_r(M) &= \text{card} \{K | K \in C_r; K < M\} = \text{card} \{K | K \in K_{r-1}, K \leq M\} \\ &\quad - \text{card} \{K | K \in F_r, K \leq M\} = f^{r-2}(M) - f^{r-1}(M). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is an immediate corollary.

Theorem 10. We have

$$(4.4) \quad \nu_2(G_n) = G_n - G_{n-1} = G_{n-2} + G_{n-3} \quad (n \geq 3).$$

More generally

$$(4.5) \quad \nu_r(G_n) = G_{n-r+2} - G_{n-r+1} = G_{n-r} + G_{n-r-1} \quad (n \geq r+1).$$

Theorem 11. Let  $k$  and  $r$  be fixed integers,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . Then

$$(4.6) \quad \nu_r(kG_n) = k(G_{n-r} + G_{n-r-1})$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large.

Proof. Using Theorem 3, we let  $\delta \in \mathbf{D}$  be canonical such that

$$(4.7) \quad kG_n = \sum \delta_i G_{i+n}, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence for  $n$  sufficiently large we will have

$$kG_n \notin C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_r,$$

so

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_r(kG_n) &= f^{r-2}(kG_n) - f^{r-1}(kG_n) \\ &= \sum \delta_i G_{i+n-(r-2)} - \sum \delta_i G_{i+n-(r-1)} \\ &= kG_{n-(r-2)} - kG_{n-(r-1)} \\ &= k(G_{n-r} + G_{n-r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last three theorems were conjectured by Hoggatt.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Richard Scoville, "Fibonacci Representations," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10 (1972), pp. 1-28.

[Continued on page 94.]