

we get

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} f_1(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} f_2(1) + \frac{1}{\alpha^3} f_3(1) = 0 .$$

This evidently implies

$$f_1(1) = f_2(1) = f_3(1) = 0 ,$$

which contradicts (7.21).

REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, "Fibonacci Representations," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 6, (1968), pp. 193-220.
2. L. Carlitz, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Richard Scoville, "Fibonacci Representations," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 1-28.
3. G. Polya and G. Szegő, Augaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, Vol. 2, Berlin, 1925.



[Continued from page 80.]

2. L. Carlitz, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Richard Scoville, "Lucas Representations," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10 (1972), pp. 29-42.
3. L. Carlitz, V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Richard Scoville, "Fibonacci Representations of Higher Order," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10 (1972), pp. 43-69.
4. D. Klarner, "Partitions of N into Distinct Fibonacci Numbers," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 6 (1968), pp. 235-244.

