

GENERALIZED ZECKENDORF THEOREM

V. E. HOGGATT, JR.
San Jose State College, San Jose, California

DEDICATED TO DR. E. ZECKENDORF

1. INTRODUCTION

The Zeckendorf theorem states that every positive integer can be uniquely represented as the sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers if no two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are used in any given sum.

D. E. Daykin [1] proved the converse of the Zeckendorf theorem. Keller [2] generalized the Zeckendorf theorem and proved a restricted converse for monotone increasing integer sequences. Hence we generalize the Zeckendorf theorem in a different way and also get a restricted converse. This leaves two open questions as to validity of the unrestricted converse theorems.

2. THE GENERALIZED ZECKENDORF THEOREM

Theorem 1. Let $U_0 = 0$, $U_1 = 1$, and $U_{n+2} = kU_{n+1} + U_n$ ($n \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$), then every positive integer N , has a unique representation in the form

$$N = \epsilon_1 U_1 + \epsilon_2 U_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_n U_n,$$

where

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \epsilon_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots, \text{ or } k-1 \\ \epsilon_i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots, \text{ or } k \\ \text{If } \epsilon_i = k, \text{ then } \epsilon_{i-1} = 0 \end{array} \right\} i \geq 2$$

First we prove two useful lemmas.

- Lemma 1. (i) $U_{2n} = k(U_{2n-1} + \cdots + U_3 + U_1)$
(ii) $U_{2n+1} = k(U_{2n} + \cdots + U_2) + 1$

Proof of the Lemma. (The proof will proceed by induction.)

$$U_1 = 1, \quad U_2 = k, \quad \text{and} \quad U_3 = k^2 + 1$$

from recurrence.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad U_{2n+2} &= kU_{2n+1} + U_{2n} \\ &= k\{kU_{2n} + kU_{2n-2} + \cdots + kU_2 + 1\} + \{kU_{2n-1} + kU_{2n-2} + \cdots + kU_3 + kU_1\} \\ &= k\{(kU_{2n} + U_{2n-1}) + (kU_{2n-2} + U_{2n-2}) + \cdots + (kU_2 + U_1) + 1\} \\ &= k\{U_{2n+1} + U_{2n-1} + \cdots + U_3 + 1\} \\ &= k\{U_{2n+1} + U_{2n-1} + \cdots + U_3 + U_1\}, \quad \text{since } U_1 = 1. \quad \text{End of proof of (i).} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad U_{2n+3} &= kU_{2n+2} + U_{2n+1} \\ &= k\{kU_{2n+1} + \cdots + kU_3 + kU_1\} + k\{U_{2n} + \cdots + U_2\} + 1 \\ &= k\{(kU_{2n+1} + U_{2n}) + (kU_{2n-1} + U_{2n-2}) + \cdots + (kU_3 + U_2)\} + 1 + k^2U_1 \\ &= k\{U_{2n+2} + U_{2n} + \cdots + U_4 + kU_1\} + 1 \\ &= k\{U_{2n+2} + U_{2n} + \cdots + U_4 + U_2\} + 1, \quad \text{since } U_1 \text{ and } U_2 = k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.

$$\begin{cases} U_{2n} - 1 = k(U_{2n-1} + \cdots + U_3) + (k-1)U_1 \\ U_{2n+1} - 1 = k(U_{2n} + U_{2n-2} + \cdots + U_2) \end{cases} .$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Both parts follow easily from Lemma 1. We need to know the maximum admissible sum using U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m , subject to the coefficient constraints of Theorem 1.

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2n} - 1 &= k(U_{2n-1} + U_{2n-3} + \cdots + U_1) - 1 \\ &= k(U_{2n-1} + U_{2n-3} + \cdots + U_3) + (k-1)U_1 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus the maximum admissible sum using

$$U_1, \quad U_2, \quad U_3, \quad \dots, \quad U_{2n-1}$$

is $U_{2n} - 1$. Now,

$$U_{2n+1} - 1 = k(U_{2n} + U_{2n-2} + \cdots + U_4 + U_2) .$$

Thus the maximum admissible sum using

$$U_1, U_2, U_3, \cdots, U_{2n}$$

is $U_{2n+1} - 1$, since U_2 has coefficient k , U_1 can have only coefficient zero.

Proof of the Theorem. The proof will proceed by induction. Verification for $s = 1$, $m < U_2 = k$ implies $n = n \cdot U_1$. Assume every integer $n < U_{s+1}$ has a unique admissible representation using only $U_1, U_2, U_3, \cdots, U_s$. The maximum such representation has sum $U_{s+1} - 1$ by Lemma 2. Thus U_{s+1} is its own unique representation. For the representations for numbers

$$jU_{s+1} \leq n' < (j+1)U_{s+1} \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-2$$

we simply add jU_{s+1} to the representations for $1 \leq n \leq U_{s+1}$ to get a unique representation. The coefficient of U_s can be k since the coefficient of $U_{s+1} < k$. In the interval

$$kU_{s+1} < n'' < U_{s+2} ,$$

the representations cannot contain U_s thus the greatest admissible representation uses $U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_{s-1}$ whose maximal admissible sum is $U_s - 1$. Thus we add to kU_{s+1} a unique representation for $n \leq U_s - 1$. Thus we have now covered the interval $U_{s+1} < n < U_{s+2}$ and furthermore each such constructed representation is UNIQUE. The proof of the Theorem is complete by mathematical induction. END OF PROOF.

3. THE RESTRICTED CONVERSE TO THE GENERALIZED ZECKENDORF THEOREM

Definition: For fixed integer $K \geq 1$, a sequence $\{v_n\}_1^\infty$ of positive integers will be called a Zeckendorf K-basis (or briefly a K-basis) if every positive integer n has a unique representation in the form

$$(1) \quad n = \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i V_i ,$$

where the coefficients ϵ_i satisfy constraints

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon_1 = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1 \\ \epsilon_i = 0, 1, \dots, K \quad \text{for } i \geq 2 \\ \epsilon_{i-1} = 0 \text{ if } \epsilon_i = K \quad \text{for } i \geq 2 \end{array} \right. .$$

A representation in form (1) with coefficients satisfying (2) will be called admissible.

Lemma 3. If $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ is a K-basis with $K \geq 2$, then $V_j \neq V_n$ for $j \neq n$, $1 \leq j$, $n < \infty$.

Proof. Obvious from uniqueness requirement. (For $K = 1$, $V_1 = V_2$, but V_1 has a zero coefficient in any admissible representation.)

Lemma 4. If $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ is a non-decreasing K-basis, then V_n for $n \geq 2$ is characterized as the smallest positive integer not representable in admissible form using only V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} .

Proof. Let $N_n =$ smallest positive integer not capable of being represented in admissible form using only V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1} . If $N_n > V_n$, then V_n would have two admissible representations, thereby contradicting uniqueness. On the other hand, if $N_n < V_n$, then N_n itself would have no admissible representation (recalling $\{V_n\}$ is non-decreasing).

Theorem 2. Let $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ be a non-decreasing K-basis with $K \geq 1$. Then defining $V_0 = 0$, we have

$$(3) \quad V_{n+2} = KV_{n+1} + V_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, K \geq 1 .$$

Proof. Since $K = 1$ corresponds to Zeckendorf's theorem, we may confine our attention for $K \geq 2$. Then $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ is strictly increasing by Lemma 3. Clearly $V_1 = 1$, and Lemma 4 in conjunction with the coefficient constraints (2) implies $V_2 = K$ [since $\epsilon_1 V_1$ can represent only the integers $1, 2, \dots, K - 1$].

For fixed $K \geq 2$, let $\{U_n\}_1^\infty$ be the sequence defined by $U_0 = 0$, $U_1 = 1$ and $U_{n+2} = KU_{n+1} + U_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Then $V_0 = U_0$, $V_1 = U_1$, $V_2 = U_2$. Now, assume as an induction hypothesis that $V_i = U_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $n \geq 2$. We wish to show $V_{n+1} = U_{n+1}$. Contained in the proof of the generalized Zeckendorf theorem is the fact that the smallest integer not representable by an admissible combination of U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n is U_{n+1} . Since $U_i = V_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, Lemma 2 implies $V_{n+1} = U_{n+1}$ and the theorem is established.

I wish to thank John L. Brown, Jr., for the details of the restricted converse theorem.

REFERENCES

1. D. E. Daykin, "Representation of Natural Numbers as Sums of Generalized Fibonacci Numbers," J. London Math. Soc., 35 (1960), pp. 143-160.
2. Timothy J. Keller, "Generalizations of Zeckendorf's Theorem," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10 (1972), pp. 95-102.



FIBONACCI NOTE SERVICE

The Fibonacci Quarterly is offering a service in which it will be possible for its readers to secure background notes for articles. This will apply to the following:

- (1) Short abstracts of extensive results, derivations, and numerical data.
- (2) Brief articles summarizing a large amount of research.
- (3) Articles of standard size for which additional background material may be obtained.

Articles in the Quarterly for which this note service is available will indicate the fact together with the number of pages in question. Requests for these notes should be made to:

Brother Alfred Brousseau
St. Mary's College
Moraga, Calif. 94575

The notes will be Xeroxed.

The price for this service is four cents a page (including postage, materials and labor.)



FIBONACCI NEWS

The title of our new number tables book, to come out soon, is:

FIBONACCI AND RELATED NUMBER THEORETIC TABLES. 1972.

Reference tables related to the sequence of articles on representations and their page numbers are shown on page 112.