[Continued from page 270.]

The solution is then given by Eq. (1.8) as

(2.5) 
$$H_{n} = C_{11}\alpha^{n} + C_{12}n\alpha^{n-1} + C_{21}\beta^{n} + C_{22}n\beta^{n-1}$$

with the  $C_{ij}$  given by Eq. (1.9). In practice, however, the  $C_{ij}$  are most easily found by solving the set of simultaneous equations derived by applying the initial values,  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $H_3$ , for n=0, 1, 2, 3. The solution yields:

$$C_{11} = \frac{3 - \alpha}{5} H_0 + \frac{2\alpha - 1}{5} H_1 + \frac{2}{25} (1 - 2\alpha)$$

$$C_{12} = 1/5$$

$$C_{21} = \frac{2 + \alpha}{5} H_0 + \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{5} H_1 + \frac{2}{25} (2\alpha - 1)$$

$$C_{22} = 1/5$$

## REFERENCES

- 1. Gustav Doetsch, Guide to the Applications of the Laplace and Z Transforms, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1971.
- 2. Robert M. Giuli, "Binet Forms by Laplace Transform," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 9, No. 1, p. 41.

[Continued from page 264.]

(If  $M_2=1$ , i.e., there is only one cell in the second group, then it cannot exchange with both  $A_{M_1}^1$  and  $A_1^3$ . The rearrangements corresponding to this case are eliminated in (6) since it occurs when  $k_1=k_2=1$  and G(-1)=0.)

The remainder of the proof follows the same procedure. Define  $k_j=1$  if  $A_{M_j}^j$  and  $A_1^{j+1}$  exchange,  $k_j=0$  otherwise,  $j=3,\,\cdots,\,N-1.$  For each of  $2^{N-1}$  possible values of  $(k_1,\,k_2,\,\cdots,\,k_{N-1})$  the number of distinct arrangements of the N groups combined is

(7) 
$$G(M_1 - k_1) + G(M_N - k_{N-1}) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{N-1} G(M_j - k_{j-1} - k_j).$$

[Continued on page 293.]