COUNTING OMITTED VALUES

GRAHAM LORD Temple University, Philadelphia, Penn. 19122

1. INTRODUCTION

H. L. Alder in [1] has extended J. L. Brown, Jr.'s result on complete sequences [2] by showing that if $\{P_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ is a non-decreasing sequence and $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ is a sequence of positive integers, then with $P_1 = 1$ every natural number can be represented as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i$$
 ,

where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq k_i$ if and only if

$$P_{k+1} \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i P_i$$

for n = 1, 2, He also proves for a given sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ there is only one non-decreasing sequence of positive integers $\{P_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ for which the representation is unique for every natural number, namely the set $\{P_i\} = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ where $\phi_1 = 1$, $\phi_2 = 1 + k_1$, $\phi_3 = (1 + k_1)(1 + k_2), \cdots, \phi_i = (1 + k_1)(1 + k_2) \cdots (1 + k_{i-1}), \cdots$.

This paper investigates those natural numbers not represented by the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i \, \mathbf{P}_i$$

for $0 \le \alpha_i \le k_i$ where $\{k_i\}$ is as above and $\{P_i\}_{i=1,2,\cdots}$ is a necessarily increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying

(1)
$$P_{n+1} \ge 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i P_i$$
 $n = 1, 2, \cdots$

COUNTING OMITTED VALUES

2. UNIQUENESS OF REPRESENTATION

Theorem 1. For P_i satisfying (1), the representation of the natural number N as

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i P_i ,$

where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq k_i$ is unique.

Proof. Let N be the smallest integer with possibly two representations

$$N = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_s P_s = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \beta_t P_t ,$$

.

where $\alpha_n \neq 0 \neq \beta_m$. If $m \neq n$ assume m > n. Then by (1)

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \alpha_{s} P_{s} \leq \sum_{s=1}^{n} k_{s} P_{s} \leq P_{n+1} - 1 \leq P_{m} - 1 \leq \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_{t} P_{t} - 1 \leq \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_{t} P_{t}.$$

Thus, m = n. Either $\alpha_n \ge \beta_n$ or $\alpha_n \le \beta_n$. Suppose without loss of generality $\alpha_n \ge \beta_n$. The natural number

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \beta_t \mathbf{P}_t = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \alpha_s \mathbf{P}_s + (\alpha_n - \beta_n) \mathbf{P}_n$$

and since it is less than N it has only one representation. Hence $\alpha_s = \beta_s$ for s = 1, 2, ..., n, i.e., N has a unique representation.

3. OMITTED VALUES

<u>Definition</u>. For $x \ge 0$ let M(x) be the number of natural numbers less than or equal to x which are not represented by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \ \mathbf{P}_i \ .$$

Theorem 2. If

$$\mathbf{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{P}_i, \qquad \alpha_n \neq \mathbf{0} ,$$

is the largest representable integer not exceeding the positive number x then

$$M(x) = [x] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \phi_{i}$$
,

where [] is the greatest integer function.

<u>Proof.</u> By Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show the number, R(x), of representable integers not exceeding x, equals

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \phi_i \ .$$

But R(x) = R(N) from the definition of N. Now all integers of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i P_i$$

with the only restriction that $0 \le \beta_n \le \alpha_n$ are less than N since:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{k}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i} + \beta_{n} \mathbf{P}_{n} \\ &\leq \left\{ 1 + \beta_{n} \right\} \mathbf{P}_{n} - 1 \\ &\leq \alpha_{n} \mathbf{P}_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i} = \mathbf{N} \end{split}$$

•

1973]

Again by the uniqueness of representation to form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i P_i, \qquad 0 \leq \beta_n \leq \alpha_n ,$$

there are α_n choices for β_n , $\{1 + k_{n-1}\}$ choices for β_{n-1} , $\{1 + k_{n-2}\}$ choices for β_{n-2} , \cdots , and $\{1 + k_1\}$ choices for β_1 ; in all there are $\alpha_n \phi_n$ numbers.

It remains to count numbers of the form

$$\alpha_n \mathbf{P}_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \mathbf{P}_i$$

which do not exceed

$$N = \alpha_n P_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i P_i .$$

That is the number of integers

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i P_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i P_i .$$

Hence

•

$$R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} P_{i}\right) = \alpha_{n} \phi_{n} + R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} P_{i}\right)$$

and because $R\{\alpha_1P_1\} = \alpha_1 = \alpha_1\phi_1$ then

$$\operatorname{R}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \phi_{i}$$

[The representable positive integers less than or equal to $\alpha_1 P_1$ are P_1 , $2P_1$, \cdots , $\alpha_1 P_1$.] This completes the proof.

As P_i is representable, the theorem give $M(P_i)$ = P_i - ϕ_i and the following result is immediate.

446

Corollary.

$$\mathbf{M}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{P}_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{P}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{M}(\alpha_i \mathbf{P}_i) .$$

Note that if $k_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, Theorems 3 and 4 in [3] are special cases of the above theorem and corollary.

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

The two sequences $P_n = F_{2n}$ and $P_n = F_{2n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ mentioned in [3] satisfy Theorems 1 and 2 for $k_i = 1$ i = 1, 2, \cdots . However,

$$1 + 2(F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2n}) = F_{2n+2} + F_{2n-1} - 1 \ge F_{2n+2}$$

with equality only when n = 1, and

$$1 + 2(F_1 + \cdots + F_{2n-1}) = F_{2n+1} + F_{2n-2} + 1 > F_{2n+1}$$

Consequently, by Alder's result,

Theorem 3. Every natural number can be expressed as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i F_{2i}$$

and as

$$\displaystyle{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}} {}^{\beta}{}_{i} \; {}^{\mathrm{F}}{}_{2i-1}$$
 ,

where α_i and β_i are 0, 1, or 2.

To return to the general case, let $\{k_i\}$ be a fixed sequence of positive integers; then any sequence $\{P_i\}$ satisfying (1) also satisfies $P_n \ge \phi_n$ for all n. This follows from $P_i \ge 1 = \phi_i$ and induction:

$$\mathbf{P}_n \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{k}_i \ \mathbf{P}_i \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{k}_i \phi_i = \phi_n \ .$$

447

1973]

Hence by the corollary

$$M\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} P_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \{P_{i} - \phi_{i}\} \geq 0,$$

with equality iff $P_i = \phi_i$. Furthermore, since $k_i \ge 1$ then $\{\phi_i\}$ is an increasing sequence and so for every natural number N there exist α_i such that

$$N < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \phi_i .$$

Therefore

.

$$M(N) \leq M\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \phi_i\right) = 0$$
 ,

i.e., N has a representation in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i \phi_i \ .$$

These facts, together with Theorem 1, give

<u>Theorem 4.</u> If $\{k_i\}$ is any sequence of positive integers, then every natural number has a unique representation as

where $0 \le \alpha_{i} \le k_{i}$ and $\phi_{1} = 1$, $\phi_{2} = (1 + k_{1}), \dots, \phi_{i} = (1 + k_{1}) \dots (1 + k_{i-1})$.

<u>Corollary</u>. If r is a fixed integer larger than 1 then every natural number has a unique representation in base r.

<u>Proof.</u> In Theorem 4, take $1 + k_i = r$ for all i.

5. REFERENCES

- H. L. Alder, "The Number System in More General Scales," <u>Mathematics Magazine</u>, Vol. 35 (1962), pp. 145-151.
- John L. Brown, Jr., "Note on Complete Sequences of Integers," <u>The American Math.</u> Monthly, Vol. 67 (1960), pp. 557-560.
- 3. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., and Brian Peterson, "Some General Results on Representations," <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>, Vol. 10 (1972), pp. 81-88.

448