A POLYNOMIAL WITH GENERALIZED FIBONACCI COEFFICIENTS

BRUCE W. KING Adiron dack Community College, Glens Falls, New York

In Elementary Problem B-135 (this <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 90), L. Carlitz asks readers to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_k 2^{n-k-1} = 2^n - F_{n+2},$$

and that

(2)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_k 2^{n-k-1} = \Im(2^n) - L_{n+2}.$$

The problem invites generalization in at least two ways. It is natural to investigate

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_k 2^{n-k-1}$$

,

where T_k is the generalized Fibonacci sequence with $T_1 = a$ and $T_2 = b$. It is not difficult to show by induction that

(3)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n=1} T_k 2^{n-k-1} = T_2 (2^n) - T_{n+2}.$$

The relations given in (1) and (2) are, thus, a consequence of (3).

A second generalization may be obtained by trying to determine whether anything worthwhile can be said about the polynomial

(4)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_k x^{n-k-1} .$$

This seems to be a more difficult problem than that posed by the first generalization, and the rest of this note is devoted to it.

To begin with, evaluating (4) for several values of n suggests that

A POLYNOMIAL WITH GENERALIZED FIBONACCI COEFFICIENTS

Dec.

.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_k x^{n-k-1} = a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} + b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-1} x^{n-k-1}$$

n 1

This can be proved by induction. For n = 1, both members of (5) reduce to $b - a = T_0$. (We use $x^0 \equiv 1$ here.) If we now suppose (5) true for some integer $n \ge 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k} x^{n-k} = x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_{k} x^{n-k-1} + T_{n}$$
$$= x \left[a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} + b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-1} x^{n-k-1} \right] + T_{n}$$

and, since $T_n = a F_{n-2} + b F_{n-1}$,

m 1

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{k} x^{n-k} = a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k} + a F_{n-2} + b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-1} x^{n-k} + b F_{n-1}$$

$$= a \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k} + F_{n-2} \right] + b \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-1} x^{n-k} + F_{n-1} \right]$$
$$= a \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{k-2} x^{n-k} + b \sum_{k=0}^{n} F_{k-1} x^{n-k} .$$

k=0

This completes the proof of (5). The problem has, thus, been reduced slightly to the problem of evaluating an expression such as



in closed form, for such a result would lend some significance to the right member of (5). Let us define

528

(5)

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n F_k x^{n-k} = x^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{F_k}{x^k}$$

.

Now, it is known [1, p. 43] that the power series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k t^{k-1}$$

converges to

$$\frac{1}{1 - t - t^2}$$

The radius of convergence is

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{F_k}{F_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\phi}$$

where

$$\phi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

is the Golden Ratio. Thus, for a fixed value of t in the interval of convergence

$$- \ \frac{\sqrt{5} \ - \ 1}{2} \ < \ t \ < \ \frac{\sqrt{5} \ - \ 1}{2} \quad ,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{1}{1\ -\ t\ -\ t^2}\ =\ \sum_{k=1}^\infty\ {\rm F}_k \, t^{k-1}\ =\ \sum_{k=1}^n\ {\rm F}_k \, t^{k-1}\ +\ {\rm R}_n\ ,$$

where $R_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_{k} t^{k-1} = \frac{1}{1 - t - t^{2}} - R_{n}$$

or, what is the same,

1973]

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_{k} t^{k} = \frac{t}{1 - t - t^{2}} - t R_{n}$$

If we now let t = 1/x then, for $x < -\phi$ or $x > \phi$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_{k}}{x^{k}} = \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{1 - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^{2}}} - \frac{1}{x} R_{n} = \frac{x}{x^{2} - x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} R_{n},$$

and

$$x^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{F_{k}}{x^{k}} = \frac{x^{n+1}}{x^{2} - x - 1} - x^{n-1} R_{n}$$

We have, therefore,

(6)

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{x^2 - x - 1} - x^{n-1} R_n$$

The problem is thus essentially reduced to finding the remainder R_n in some suitable form. Investigating (6) for the first few values of n suggests that

$$R_{n} = \frac{F_{n+1} x + F_{n}}{x^{n-1}(x^{2} - x - 1)}$$

.

•

This, in turn, suggests that

$$f_{n}(x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{x^{2} - x - 1} - x^{n-1} \left[\frac{F_{n+1} x + F_{n}}{x^{n-1}(x^{2} - x - 1)} \right]$$

That is,

(7)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} F_k x^{n-k} = \frac{x^{n+1} - F_{n+1} x - F_n}{x^2 - x - 1} \text{ for } x \neq \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} .$$

We will prove (7) by induction. For n = 1, both members reduce to 1. If (7) is true for some integer $n \ge 1$, then

530

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} F_k x^{n-k+1} &= \sum_{k=1}^n F_k x^{n-k+1} + F_{n+1} \\ &= x \sum_{k=1}^n F_k x^{n-k} + F_{n+1} \\ &= x \frac{x^{n+1} - F_{n+1} x - F_n}{x^2 - x - 1} + F_{n+1} \\ &= \frac{x^{n+2} - F_{n+1} x^2 - F_n x + F_{n+1} x^2 - F_{n+1} x - F_{n+1}}{x^2 - x - 1} \\ &= \frac{x^{n+2} - (F_n + F_{n+1})x - F_{n+1}}{x^2 - x - 1} \\ &= \frac{x^{n+2} - F_{n+2} x - F_{n+1}}{x^2 - x - 1} \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of (7).

Now, returning to the summations in (5),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} & F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} &= & F_{-2} x^{n-1} + & F_{-1} x^{n-2} + & F_0 x^{n-3} + & \sum_{k=3}^{n-1} & F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} \\ & = & -x^{n-1} + & x^{n-2} + & \frac{1}{x^3} \sum_{k=3}^{n-1} & F_{k-2} x^{n-k+2} \\ & = & -x^{n-1} + & x^{n-2} \\ & + & \frac{1}{x^3} \Bigg[\sum_{k=3}^{n+2} & F_{k-2} x^{n-k+2} - & F_{n-2} x^2 - & F_{n-1} x - & F_n \Bigg]. \end{split}$$

Using the change of variable j = k - 2 in the summation on the right, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} = -x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \frac{1}{x^3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_j x^{n-j} - \frac{F_{n-2} x^2 + F_{n-1} x + F_n}{x^3}.$$

•

After substituting from (7), combining fractions and simplifying, the result is that

(8)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-2} x^{n-k-1} = \frac{x^n(2-x) - F_{n-2} x - F_{n-3}}{x^2 - x - 1}$$

In a similar manner, we can use (7) to show that

(9)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{k-1} x^{n-k-1} = \frac{x^n(x-1) - F_{n-1} x - F_{n-2}}{x^2 - x - 1} .$$

Now substitute (8) and (9) into (5), combine fractions and arrange the numerator in powers of x. The result is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_k x^{n-k-1} = \frac{1}{x^2 - x - 1} \left\{ x^n \left[(b - a)x + (2a - b) \right] - \left[a F_{n-2} + b F_{n-1} \right] x - \left[a F_{n-3} + b F_{n-2} \right] \right\}$$

Consequently, we have the following generalization from Carlitz' problem:

(10)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_k x^{n-k-1} = \frac{(T_0 + T_{-1})x^n - T_n x - T_{n-1}}{x^2 - x - 1} .$$

It is not difficult to see that (10) reduces to (3) when x = 2. Other results of interest can be obtained by letting $x = \pm 1$ in (10).

REFERENCE

1. Brother Alfred Brousseau, An Introduction to Fibonacci Discovery, The Fibonacci Association, 1965.

~

532