A DENSITY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ax + b AND [x/c]

ROBERT W. STRINGALL University of California, Davis, California 95615

This note is motivated by the following problem originating in combinatorial logic. Let f and g be the functions on the set of positive integers defined by f(x) = 3x and $g(x) = \lfloor x/2 \rfloor$, where $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to the real number r. Let Γ denote the collection of all composite functions formed by repeated applications of f and g. For which positive integers k does there exist $h \in \Gamma$ such that h(1) = k? For example, if f, g and Γ are defined as above, then

f(1) = 3, $f^2(1) = 9$, $f^3(1) = 27$, $gf^3(1) = 13$, $fgf^3(1) = 39$ and $gfgf^3(1) = 19$. Thus, given any number from the collection $\{3, 9, 27, 13, 39, 39\}$ there exists an $h \in \Gamma$ such that h(1) is the

given number. The following theorem verifies that every positive integer can be obtained in this manner.

Before stating the theorem, the following conventions are adopted. The set of non-negative integers, the set of positive integers and the set of positive real numbers are denoted by N, N^+ and R^+ , respectively. If f and g are functions on N to N, then the composite function $g \cdot f$ is defined by $g \cdot f(x) = g(f(x))$ and the functions obtained by repeated applications of f, n-times, will be denoted by f^n . If r is a real number then the greatest integer less than or equal to r is denoted by [r]. Finally, two integers a and c are said to be power related provided there exist $m, n \in N^+$ such that $a^m = c^n$.

Theorem 1. Let $a \neq 1$, $c \neq 1$ be positive integers. Let $b \in N$ and let f and g be the functions on N to N defined by f(x) = ax + b and g(x) = [x/c]. If a and c are not power related and if $u, v \in N^+$, then there exist $m, n \in N^+$ such that $g^m \cdot f^n(u) = v$.

Using this theorem with a = 3, b = 0 and c = 2 and noting that 2 and 3 are not power related leads to the previously mentioned result.

A related theorem will be proved from which Theorem 1 will follow. Three lemmas will be employed. Indications of proof will be provided for all three.

Lemma 1. Let $a, c \in N^+$, $a \neq 1$, $c \neq 1$. The collection $\{a^n/c^m : m, n \in N\}$ is dense in R^+ if and only if a and c are not power related.

Proof. This result is well known and is generally considered to be folklore; a guide to its proof is given. Using the continuity of the logarithm and results found on pages 71-75 of [1], the following statements can be shown to be equivalent.

- (a) The collection $\{a^n/c^m : n, m \in N\}$ is dense in R^+ .
- (b) The collection $\{n m(\log c/\log a) : n, m \in N\} \cap R^+$ is dense in R^+ .
- (c) The quotient $(\log c/\log a)$ is irrational.
- (d) The numbers a abd c are not power related.

Lemma 2. Let *a* and *b* be positive integers with the additional property that the collection $\{a^n/c^m : n,m \in N\}$ is a dense subset of R^+ . Then if $n_0 \in N^+$, the collection $\{a^n/c^m : n > n_0; n,m \in N\}$ is also a dense subset of R^+ . *Proof.* The subset $\{(a^n/c^m)^{n_0} : n,m \in N\} \subseteq \{a^n/c^m : n > n_0; n,m \in N\}$ is dense in R^+ .

Lemma 3. Let $a,b \in N$, where $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$. If f is defined on N by f(x) = ax + b, then

FEB. 1976

A DENSITY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ax + b AND [x/c]

$$f^{n}(x) = a^{n}x + \frac{a^{n}-1}{a-1} b = a^{n} \left(\frac{(a-1)x + b(1-a^{-n})}{a-1} \right)$$

for all $n \in N^+$.

Proof. A straightforward induction argument establishes the lemma.

Theorem 2. Let a and c be positive integers neither of which is 1. Let $b \in N$. Let f denote the function on N defined by f(x) = ax + b. If a and c are not power related, then for all $u \in N^+$, the collection

$$A(u) = \left\{ \frac{f^n(u)}{c^m} : m, n \in N \right\}$$

is dense in R^+ .

Proof. Let $r \in R^+$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. The quotient

$$\frac{r(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n})}$$

decreases as n increases and has limiting value

as $n \to \infty$. Choose n_0 such that $n > n_0$ implies

$$\frac{r(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b} + \frac{\frac{4}{2}(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b} > \frac{r(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n})}$$

Then for $n > n_0$,

$$\frac{r(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n})} < \frac{(r+(e/2))(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b} < \frac{(r+e)(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b} \le \frac{(r+e)(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n})}$$

Since a and c are not power related, Lemma 1 yields the fact that $\{a^n/c^m : m, n \in N\}$ is a dense subset of R^+ . By Lemma 2, it is possible to choose m_1, n_1 such that $n_1 > n_0$ and

$$\frac{(r+(\epsilon/2))(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b} < \frac{a^{n_1}}{c^{m_1}} < \frac{(r+\epsilon)(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{r(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n_1})} < \frac{a^{n_1}}{c^{m_1}} < \frac{(r+\epsilon)(a-1)}{(a-1)u+b(1-a^{-n_1})}$$

and

$$r < \frac{a^{n_1}}{c^{m_1}} \frac{(a-1)u + b(1-a^{-n_1})}{a-1} < r + \epsilon .$$

 $r + \epsilon$.

By Lemma 3,

$$r < \frac{r - r_{1}}{c^{m_1}} < c^{m_1}$$

Hence A(u) is dense in R^+ .

An additional lemma will expedite the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. Let $c \in N^+$. Let g be defined on R^+ by g(x) = [x/c]. If $v \in N^+$ and if r is a real number such that $vc^n \leq r < (v + 1)c^n$, then $g^n(r) = v$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on *n*. If n = 1, then $vc \le r \le (v + 1)c$ implies r = vc + s, where $s \in R^+$ or s = 0 and $0 \le s < c$. It follows that

$$g(r) = \left[\frac{v_c + s}{c} \right] = \left[v + \frac{s}{c} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{s}{c} < 1.$$

Hence g(r) = v. Suppose $g^k(r) = v$ whenever $vc^k \le r < (v+1)c^k$. Suppose, in addition, that $vc^{k+1} \le r_0 < (v+1)c^{k+1}$. Then

$$g^{k+1}(r_0) = g^k\left(\left[\frac{r_0}{c}\right]\right)$$
 and $vc^k \leq \frac{r_0}{c} < (v+1)c^k$.

It follows that

$$vc^k \leq \frac{r_0}{c} < (v+1)c^k.$$

Hence by the induction hypothesis

$$g^{k+1}(r_0) = g^k \cdot g(r_0) = g^k \left(\left[\frac{r_0}{c} \right] \right) = v.$$

To prove Theorem 1, employ Theorem 2 to obtain positive integers *n* and *m* such that

$$v < \frac{f^n(u)}{c^m} < v+1$$

and apply Lemma 4.

REFERENCE

1. Ivan Niven, "Irrational Numbers," The Carus Mathematical Monographs, No. 11, published by The Mathematical Association of America.

Continued from page 22. *******

We can add any quantity *B* to each term:

 $x(a+B)^{m} + y(b+B)^{m} + (x+y-2)(ax+by+B)^{m} = (x+y-2)B^{m} + y(ax+by+B-b)^{m} + x(ax+by+B-a)^{m}$ (where m = 1, 2).

2^m

9

1^m

а

1

A special case of a Fibonacci-type series is

Consider the series when m = 2:

(1)

where

$$F_n = 3(F_{n-1} - F_{n-2}) + F_{n-3}$$

16

3^m

25

... n^m.

•••

[we obtain our coefficients from Pascal's Triangle], i.e.,

$$(x+3)^2 = 3[(x+2)^2 - (x+1)^2] + x^2$$

I have found by conjecture that $1^m - 4^m - 4^m - 4^m + 9^m + 9^m + 9^m - 16^m = -0^m - 12^m - 12^m - 12^m + 7^m + 7^m + 7^m + 15^m$ (where m = 1, 2).

[I hope the reader will accept the strange -0^m for the time being.]

If we express the series (1) above in the form

our multigrade appears as follows

$$a^{m} - 3b^{m} + 3c^{m} - [3(c-b) + a]^{m} = -0^{m} - 3(3c - 4b + a)^{m} + 3(2c - 3b + a)^{m} + [3(c-b)]^{m}$$
(where $m = 1, 2$).

We could, of course, write the above as

Continued on page 82.