

$$\|q_N a_1\| \|q_N a_2\| \leq \frac{c}{q_N^2},$$

where c is a positive constant.

We have verified that (1) holds with $\epsilon = 1$.

It remains only to show that $1, a_1, a_2$ are linearly independent over \mathcal{Q} . Suppose that we can find a non-trivial relation

$$k_0 + k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2 = 0, \quad k_i \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

We can now limit ourselves to the case of $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. For large N , the previous relation gives

$$k_1 \|q_N a_1\| = \pm k_2 \|q_N a_2\|.$$

This contradicts (2) and (3). Thus, $1, a_1, a_2$ are \mathcal{Q} -linearly independent. Now Schmidt's theorem shows that a_2 is not algebraic. The assertion is proved.

REMARK. The proposition remains true if we put

$$u_n = \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y},$$

where x is a quadratic Pisot number and y its conjugate.

★★★★★

[Continued from page 14.]

For small integers n the positive solutions of (1) may be found with a machine because of the upper bound of n^2 on the coordinates. For $n = 3$ these solutions are exactly those revealed in the general case. That is, (3,3,3) and permutations of (1,2,3).

In the complementary case (that is, some coordinate is negative), there are, for each $n > 1$, always an infinite number of solutions. For example, $(a, 1, -a)$, for any integer a , satisfies (1) in case $n = 3$. For $n = 4$, $(a, a, -a, -a)$ satisfies (1), etc. For $n = 3$ the solution will be a subset of the solutions of

$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = u^2,$$

an identified problem [1, p. 566].

In case $n = 2$ the reader will have no difficulty in showing that all solutions are $(a, -a), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)$ together, of course, with $(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)$ which come from the case $n = 1$. The case $n = 2$ is a special case of a well known theorem [1, p. 412 *et seq.*].

REFERENCE

1. L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. II, Carnegie Institution of Washington, D.C., 1920.

★★★★★