PELLIAN DIOPHANTINE SEQUENCES

A. G. SHANNON

The New South Wales Institute of Technology, Broadway, Australia

1. INTRODUCTION

The so-called Pellian Diophantine equation is

which can be generalized to

$$|x_{22}^2 - mx_{12}| = 1,$$

 $x_{22}^2 - 2x_{12}^2 = 1$

or

abs.
$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{22} & mx_{12} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

A generalization of this is in turn provided by

(1.1)
$$abs. \begin{vmatrix} x_{rr} & mx_{1r} & mx_{2r} & \cdots & mx_{r,r-1} \\ x_{r-1,r} & x_{rr} & mx_{1r} & \cdots & mx_{r,r-2} \\ & & & & \\ x_{1r} & x_{2r} & x_{3r} & \cdots & x_{rr} \end{vmatrix} = 1.$$

The aim of this paper is to construct a solution for this generalized Pellian Diophantine equation. The approach adopted is less general than that of Bernstein [1] but is, in a sense, more direct. For encouragement with an earlier draft of this paper thanks are due to Bernstein, whose works on pyramidal Diophantine equations [3] and the Jacobi-Perron algorithm [2] should be seen for further extensions. We designate the determinant in Eq. (1.1) by

.

We define sequences $\{\mathcal{W}_{s,n}^{(r)}\}$ which satisfy the arbitrary order linear homogeneous recurrence relation

(2.1)
$$W_{s,n}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} {r \choose j} D^{r-j} W_{s,n-j}^{(r)}, \qquad n > r$$

 $w^r = m$

where

$$D = [w]$$
, w an r^{th} -degree irrational:

$$= D^r + d, m, D, d \in Z_+,$$

with boundary conditions determined by

$$W_{s,n}^{(r)} = \delta_{s,n+1} \begin{cases} s \le n+1 \\ 1 \le n < r \end{cases}$$
$$W_{s,r}^{(r)} = D^{s-1}$$
$$W^{(r)} = DW^{(r)} + W^{(r)}$$

The initial values $W_{s,1}^{(r)}$, s > 2, have not been specified because they are not used in this development. They are readily determined from Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) if required.

The table provides some examples of $W^{(2)}_{s,n}$ and $W^{(3)}_{s,n}$. Each of the sequences can be expressed in terms of the fundamental sequence [6], $\{W^{(r)}_{1,n}\}$:

$$W_{s,n}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} {\binom{s-1}{j} D^{s-j-1} W_{1,n-j}^{(r)}}.$$

Proof. When s = 1,2, we have respectively

$$W_{1,n}^{(r)} = W_{1,n}^{(r)}$$
 and $W_{2,n}^{(r)} = DW_{1,n}^{(r)} + W_{1,n-1}^{(r)}$.

Suppose the result is true for $s = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{t+1,n}^{(r)} &= \mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_{t,n}^{(r)} + \mathcal{W}_{t,n-1}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \binom{t-1}{j} \left\{ \mathcal{D}^{t-j} \mathcal{W}_{1,n-j}^{(r)} + \mathcal{D}^{t-j-1} \mathcal{W}_{1,n-j-1}^{(r)} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{t} \left\{ \binom{t-1}{j} + \binom{t-1}{j-1} \right\} \mathcal{D}^{t-j} \mathcal{W}_{1,n-j}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{t} \binom{t}{j} \mathcal{D}^{t-j} \mathcal{W}_{1,n-j}^{(r)} \end{split}$$

as required

We define matrices M, N_n :

100

3. LEMMAS

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \cdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & rD & \binom{r}{2}D^2 & \cdots & rD^{r-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N_n = [W_{\kappa,n+\rho}^{(r)}] \quad 1 \le \kappa, \ \rho \le r.$$

Lemma 1.

$$N_{n+1} = M^n N_1.$$

Proof. The result clearly follows from induction on n, since when n = 1,

$$MN_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \cdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & rD & \cdots & rD^{r-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & W_{2,r+1}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,r+1}^{(r)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & W_{r,r+1}^{(r)} \\ & \cdots & & \\ 1 & W_{2,r+1}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,r+1}^{(r)} \\ W_{1,r+2}^{(r)} & W_{2,r+2}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,r+2}^{(r)} \end{bmatrix} = N_{2}.$$
$$N_{3} = MN_{2}$$
$$= M^{2}N_{1}, \text{ and so on.}$$

Lemma 2. Proof.

$$\det N_n = (-1)^{n(r-1)}.$$

$$\det M = (-1)^{r-1} = \det N_1.$$

$$\det N_n = (-1)^{(r-1)(n-1)}(-1)^{r-1} = (-1)^{n(r-1)}.$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} {r-k \choose j} W^k D^j W^{(r)}_{i,n+j+k} = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} {r-k \choose j} W^{k-1} D^j W^{(r)}_{i+1,n+j+k}.$$

Lemma 3.

[APR.

Proof. We consider coefficients of w:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-k-1} {\binom{r-k-1}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j} \mathcal{W}_{i+1,n+j+k+1}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-k-1} {\binom{r-k-1}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j} (\mathcal{D} \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k+1}^{(r)} + \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k}^{(r)})$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-k-1} {\binom{r-k-1}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j+1} \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k+1}^{(r)} + {\binom{r-k-1}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j} \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k}^{(r)} }$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} {\binom{r-k-1}{j-1}} + {\binom{r-k-1}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j} \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k}^{(r)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} {\binom{r-k}{j}} \mathcal{D}^{j} \mathcal{W}_{i,n+j+k}^{(r)}, \text{ as required }.$$

4. RESULT

Theorem. For *i*, *k* = 1, 2, ..., *r*,

$$x_{ik} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} {\binom{r-k}{j}} D^j W_{i,n+j+k}^{(r)}$$

are solutions of the Pellian Diophantine equation

$$1 = D(m; x_{1r}, \cdots, x_{rr}).$$

Proof. Lemma 3 becomes

$$(4.1) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{r} w^{k} x_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} w^{k-1} x_{i+1,k} .$$

$$(-1)^{n(r-1)} = \det N^{n} = \begin{vmatrix} W_{1,n+1}^{(r)} & W_{2,n+1}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+1}^{(r)} \\ W_{1,n+2}^{(r)} & W_{2,n+r}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+r}^{(r)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & W_{r,n+r}^{(r)} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} W_{1,n+1}^{(r)} & + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} {r-1 \choose j} D^{j} W_{1,n+j+1}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+1}^{(r)} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} {r-1 \choose j} D^{j} W_{r,n+j+1}^{(r)} \\ W_{1,n+2}^{(r)} & + \sum_{j=1}^{r-2} {r-2 \choose j} D^{j} W_{1,n+j+2}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+2}^{(r)} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} {r-2 \choose j} D^{j} W_{r,n+k+2}^{(r)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & W_{n,n+r-1}^{(r)} + D W_{1,n+r}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+r-1}^{(r)} + D W_{r,n+r+k+2}^{(r)} \\ W_{1,n+r-1}^{(r)} + D W_{1,n+r}^{(r)} & \cdots & W_{r,n+r-1}^{(r)} + D W_{r,n+r}^{(r)} \\ w_{1,n+r}^{(r)} & \cdots & w_{r,n+r-1}^{(r)} + D W_{r,n+r}^{(r)} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{21} & \cdots & x_{r1} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{r2} \\ x_{1r} & x_{2r} & \cdots & x_{rr} \end{vmatrix} = D(m; x_{1r}, \cdots, x_{rr})$$

1978]

 $D = [\sqrt{2}] = 1$, and $x_{22} = W_{2,n+2}^{(2)}$, $x_{12} = W_{1,n+2}^{(2)}$.

 $x_{22} = W_{2,3}^{(2)} = 3, \quad x_{12} = W_{1,3}^{(2)} = 2,$

by equating coefficients of w^k in Eq. (4.1).

5. CONCLUSION Consider, as examples: When r = 2, m = 2, we have

When *n = 1,*

witeri // – 1,

which satisfy

when n = 0,

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x_{22}^2 - mx_{12}^2 &= 1; \\ x_{22} &= W_{2,2}^{(2)} &= 1, \quad x_{12} &= W_{1,2}^{(2)} &= 1, \\ x_{22}^2 - mx_{12}^2 &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

The relevant recurrence relation is

 $W^{(2)}_{s,n} = 2DW^{(2)}_{s,n-1} + W^{(2)}_{s,n-2} \ .$

 $D = [\sqrt[3]{9}] = 2$, and $x_{33} = W_{3,n+3}^{(3)}$, $x_{23} = W_{2,n+3}^{(3)}$, $x_{13} = W_{1,n+3}^{(3)}$

When n = 0,

which satisfy

$$x_{33} = W_{3,3}^{(3)} = 4, \quad x_{23} = W_{2,3}^{(3)} = 2, \quad x_{13} = W_{1,3}^{(3)} = 1,$$
$$x_{33}^3 + mx_{23}^3 + m^2x_{13}^3 - 3mx_{13}x_{23}x_{33} = 1.$$

The relevant recurrence relation is

$$\mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{s,n} = 3D^2 \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{s,n-1} + 3D \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{s,n-2} + \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_{s,n-3}, \qquad n > 3.$$

There is scope for further research in generalizing the properties of the second-order Pellian sequence discussed by Horadam [5]. The use of the Jacobi-Perron Algorithm in this context should be studied first [2]. The other way of generalizing the Pellian equation, namely,

$$x^r - my^r = 1$$

is still an open and challenging question as Bernstein [4] remarked.

REFERENCES

- 1. Leon Bernstein, "The Generalized Pellian Equation," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (1) Vol. 127 (1967), 76-89.
- 2. Leon Bernstein, *The Jacobi-Perron Algorithm: Its Theory and Application.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 207, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1971, Ch. 6.
- 3. Leon Bernstein, "Explicit Solutions of Pyramidal Diophantine Equations," Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 15 (1972), 177-184.
- 4. Leon Bernstein, "Fundamental Units from the Preperiod of a Generalized Jacobi-Perron Algorithm,". J. reine angew. Math. Band 268/269 (1974), 391-409.
- 5. A. F. Horadam, "Pell Identities," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 9 (1971), 245-252, 263.
- 6. A. G. Shannon, "Some Properties of a Fundamental Recursive Sequence of Arbitrary Order," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 12 (1974), 327.
