## WYTHOFF PAIRS

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to Professor Robert Silber for sending the author preprints of some of his forthcoming articles on Fibonacci representations, thus making available further information, including references.

## REFERENCES

- 1. W. W. R. Ball, "Mathematical Recreations and Essays" (revised by H. S. M. Coxeter) Macmillan, 1947.
- C. L. Bouton, "Nim, a Game with a Complete Mathematical Theory," Annals of Mathematics, Series 2, Vol. 3, 1902, pp. 35–39.
- L. Carlitz, R. Scoville and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., "Fibonacci Representations," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10, 1972, pp. 1–28.
- 4. L. Carlitz, R. Scoville and V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., "Addendum to the Paper 'Fibonacci Representations'," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 10, 1972, pp. 527-530.
- H.S.M. Coxeter, "The Golden Section, Phyllotaxis, and Wythoff's Game," Scripta Mathematica, Vol. 19, 1953, pp. 135–143.
- 6. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr., Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers, Houghton Mifflin, 1969.
- A. F. Horadam, "A Generalized Fibonacci Sequence," American Math. Monthly, Vol. 68 (5), 1961, pp. 455– 459.
- 8. C. G. Lekkerkerker, "Voorstelling van natuurlyke getallen door een som van getallen van Fibonacci," Simon Stevin, Vol. 29, 1951–52, pp. 190–195.
- 9. R. Silber, "A Fibonacci Property of Wythoff Pairs," The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 14, 1976, pp. 380–384.
- 10. R. Silber, "Wythoff's Nim and Fibonacci Representations," Preprint (to appear, The Fibonacci Quarterly).
- 11. W. A. Wythoff, "A Modification of the Game of Nim," *Nieuw Archief voor WisKunde,* Vol. 7 (2), 1907, pp. 199–202.

[Continued from page 146.]

and that  $V_n = 2$  satisfies

$$V_{n+2} = 2V_{n+1} - V_n$$

we can rewrite (1.2) as

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{k}^{3} = V_{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{k}\right)^{2}.$$

This suggests the following result for integer sequences.

Conjecture. Let  $U_k$ , with  $U_0 = 0$ ,  $U_1 = 1$ , and  $V_k$ , with  $V_0 = 2$ ,  $V_1 = P$ , be two solutions of

$$W_{k+2} = PW_{k+1} + QW_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots,$$

where P and Q are integers with  $P \ge 2$  and  $P + Q \ge 1$ . We then claim that

(3.1) 
$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{k}^{3} \leq V_{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{k} \right)^{2} \qquad (n = 1, 2, ...).$$

**Remarks.** For P = 2 and Q = -1, (3.1) gives (1.2). Using double induction, one can prove the conjecture for  $P + Q \ge 3$ , which leaves the two cases P + Q = 2 and P + Q = 1 open.

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. S. Klamkin and D. J. Newman, "Inequalities and Identities for Sums and Integrals," *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), pp. 26–30.
- 2. L. M. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, Macmillan, London, 1960.

\*\*\*\*