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Divisibility properties of the Fibonacci sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ are well known, including the property of greatest common divisors,

$$
\left(F_{m}, F_{n}\right)=F_{(m, n)}
$$

Here the derivation of the greatest common divisor of a sequence pair is extended to the Fibonacci polynomials, the Morgan-Voyce polynomials. the Chebyshev polynomials, and more general polynomials from a problem of Schechter [1]. Moreover, all of these polynomials have coefficients which lie along rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle, and all of these polynomials satisfy $\left(u_{m}(x)\right.$, $\left.u_{n}(x)\right)=u_{(m, n)}(x)$ with suitable adjustment of subscripts.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Morgan-Voyce polynomials in [2], [3], and [4] are defined by

$$
B_{0}(x)=1, B_{1}(x)=x+2 ; b_{0}(x)=1, b_{1}(x)=x+1,
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}(x)=b_{n-1}(x)+(1+x) B_{n-1}(x), \\
& b_{n}(x)=x B_{n-1}(x)+b_{n-1}(x),  \tag{1.1}\\
& B_{n}(x)=B_{n-1}(x)+b_{n}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that $B_{-1}(x)=0$, and $b_{-1}(x)=1$. These mixed recurrences could be solved for pure recurrences as each separately satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+2}(x)=(x+2) u_{n+1}(x)-u_{n}(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{0}=1$ and $u_{1}=x+2$, and $u_{0}=1$ and $u_{1}=x+1$, respectively.
If one lists these polynomials,

```
\(b_{0}(x)=1\)
\(B_{0}(x)=1\)
\(b_{1}(x)=x+1\)
\(B_{1}(x)=x+2\)
\(b_{2}(x)=x^{2}+3 x+1\)
\(B_{2}(x)=x^{2}+4 x+3\)
\(b_{3}^{2}(x)=x^{3}+5 x^{2}+6 x+1\)
\(B_{3}(x)=x^{3}+6 x^{2}+10 x+4\)
```

Clearly, we see that the coefficients of this double sequence lie along the rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle.

The Fibonacci polynomials are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x)=0, f_{1}(x)=1, f_{n+2}(x)=x f_{n+1}(x)+f_{n}(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we list the first few of these polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=1 \\
& f_{2}(x)=x \\
& f_{3}(x)=x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{4}(x)=x^{3}+2 x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{5}(x)=x^{4}+3 x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{6}(x)=x^{5}+4 x^{2}+3 x \\
& f_{7}(x)=x^{6}+5 x^{4}+6 x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{8}(x)=x^{7}+6 x^{5}+10 x^{3}+4 x \\
& \quad \vdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Once again, we see that the coefficients lie along the rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle.

It can be shown that [3], [4]

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) & =f_{2 n+1}(x) \\
x B_{n}\left(x^{2}\right) & =f_{2 n+2}(x) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and the fact that coefficients lie on the rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle follows from that property for the Fibonacci polynomials. The Fibonacci polynomials obey
(1.5) $\quad f_{n+4}(x)=\left(x^{2}+2\right) f_{n+2}(x)-f_{n}(x)$,
which agrees with (1.2) when $x$ is replaced by $x^{2}$ throughout.
Next, we are interested in finding the greatest common divisor of a pair of Fibonacci polynomials.

Theorem 1.1: For Fibonacci polynomials,

$$
\left(f_{m}(x), f_{n}(x)\right)=f_{(m, n)}(x) .
$$

Proof: Rewrite the recursion (1.3) for the Fibonacci polynomials,

$$
f_{m+1}(x)-x f_{m}(x)=f_{m-1}(x),
$$

and set $\left(f_{m}(x), f_{m+1}(x)\right)=d(x)$. Then, since $d(x) \mid f_{m}(x)$ and $d(x) \mid f_{m+1}(x)$, we must have $d(x) \mid f_{m-1}(x)$. In turn, $f_{m}(x)-x f_{m-1}(x)=f_{m-2}(x)$ implies that $\left.d(x)\right|_{f_{m-2}}(x)$, and, continuing, finally $d(x) \mid f_{1}(x)=1$. Therefore, $d(x)=1$, and Theorem 1.1 holds for $n=m+1$, or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{m}(x), f_{m+1}(x)\right)=1 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [5], we also have
(1.7) $\quad f_{p+r}(x)=f_{p-1}(x) f_{r}(x)+f_{p}(x) f_{r+1}(x)$,
and
(1.8) $\quad f_{m}(x) \mid f_{n}(x)$ if and only if $m \mid n$.

Next, let $c=(m, n)$, and let $d(x)=\left(f_{m}(x), f_{n}(x)\right)$. Since $c \mid m$ and $c \mid n$, by (1.8), $f_{c}(x) \mid f_{m}(x)$ and $f_{c}(x) \mid f_{n}(x)$ implies that $f_{c}(x) \mid d(x)$. Since $c=(m$, $n$ ), by the Euclidean algorithm, there exist integers $\alpha$ and $b$ such that $c=$ $a m+b n$. Since $c \leq m, m, n>0, a \leq 0$ or $b \leq 0$. Suppose $\alpha \leq 0$ and let $k=-a$. Then $b n=c+k m$ applied to (1.7) gives

$$
f_{b n}(x)=f_{c+k m}(x)=f_{c-1}(x) f_{k m}(x)+f_{c}(x) f_{k m+1}(x) .
$$

By (1.8), $f_{n}(x) \mid f_{b n}(x)$ and $f_{m}(x) \mid f_{k m}(x)$, and since $d(x) \mid f_{n}(x)$ and $d(x) \mid f_{m}(x)$, we have $d(x) \mid f_{c}(x) f_{k m+1}(x)$. But $\left(f_{k m}(x), f_{k m+1}(x)\right)=1$ by (1.6), which implies
that $\left(d(x), f_{k m+1}(x)\right)=1$, and $d(x) \mid f_{c}(x)$. A1so, since $f_{c}(x) \mid d(x), d(x)=$ $f_{c}(x)$, or $\left(f_{m}(x), f_{n}(x)\right)=f_{(m, n)}(x)$, concluding the proof, which is similar to that by Michael [6] for Fibonacci numbers. A1so see [7] and [8].

## 2. POLYNOMIALS FROM A PROBLEM BY SCHECHTER

Next, we consider some polynomials arising from a problem by Schechter [1] and their relationships to the Fibonacci polynomials and the Morgan-Voyce polynomials. Consider the sequence defined by $S_{1}=1, S_{2}=m$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{k}=m S_{k-1}+S_{k-2}, k \text { even }  \tag{2.1}\\
S_{k}=n S_{k-1}+S_{k-2}, k \text { odd } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We now list the first few polynomials in $m$ and $n$, and compare to the MorganVoyce polynomials.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{1}(m, n) & \\
S_{2}(m, n)=m & b_{0}(m n) \\
S_{3}(m, n)=m n+1 & \\
S_{4}(m, n)=m(m n) \\
S_{5}(m, n)=(m n)^{2}+3 m n+1 & =m n) \\
S_{6}(m, n)=m\left[(m n)^{2}+4 m n+3\right] & =m B_{1}(m n) \\
& =b_{2}(m n) \\
& =m n)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, it appears that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{2 k+2}(m, n)=m B_{k}(m n)  \tag{2.2}\\
S_{2 k+1}(m, n)=b_{k}(m n)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, from (1.4), we have $m n B_{k}\left(m^{2} n^{2}\right)=f_{2 k+2}(m n)$; thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 k+2}\left(m^{2}, n^{2}\right)=m^{2} B_{k}\left(m^{2} n^{2}\right)=\frac{m}{n} f_{2 k+2}(m n) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, $S_{4}\left(m^{2}, n^{2}\right)=m^{2}\left(m^{2} n^{2}+2\right), B_{1}\left(m^{2} n^{2}\right)=m^{2} n^{2}+2$, and $f_{4}(m n)=(m n)^{3} .+$ $2 m n$, and we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{4}\left(m^{2}, n^{2}\right) & =m^{2} B_{1}\left(m^{2} n^{2}\right)=\frac{m}{n}(m n)\left(m^{2} n^{2}+2\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{n}\left(m^{3} n^{3}+2 m n\right)=\frac{m}{n} f_{4}(m n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we state and prove a matrix theorem in order to derive further results for the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$.

Theorem 2.1: Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}x & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}y & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then,

$$
(A B)^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{k}(x y) & x B_{k-1}(x y) \\
y B_{k-1}(x y) & b_{k-1}(x y)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{k}(x)$ and $B_{k}(x)$ are the Morgan-Voyce polynomials.

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A B)^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{0}(x y) & x B_{-1}(x y) \\
y B_{-1}(x y) & b_{-1}(x y)
\end{array}\right) \\
& (A B)^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x y+1 & x \\
y & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{1}(x y) & x B_{0}(x y) \\
y B_{0}(x y) & b_{0}(x y)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $(A B)^{k}$ has the form of the theorem. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (A B)(A B)^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x y+1 & x \\
y & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{k}(x y) & x B_{k-1}(x y) \\
y B_{k-1}(x y) & b_{k-1}(x y)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x y b_{k}(x y)+x y B_{k-1}(x y)+b_{k}(x y) & x\left[(x y+1) B_{k-1}(x y)+b_{k-1}(x y)\right] \\
y b_{k}(x y)+y B_{k-1}(x y) & x y B_{k-1}(x y)+b_{k-1}(x y)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b_{k-1}(x y) & x B_{k}(x y) \\
y B_{k}(x y) & b_{k}(x y)
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying the mixed recurrences of (1.1), completing a proof by induction. Now, returning to the matrices of Theorem 2.1 , since the determinant of $A B$ is 1, it follows that
(2.4) $\quad b_{k}(x y) b_{k-1}(x y)-x y B_{k-1}^{2}=1$.

Returning to the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$, we have also that

$$
(A B)^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{2 k+1} & \frac{n}{m} S_{2 k} \\
S_{2 k} & S_{2 k-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that, taking determinants,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 k-1} S_{2 k+1}-\frac{n}{m} S_{2 k}^{2}=1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$ are related to the Morgan-Voyce polynomials by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
S_{2 k+1}(m, n) & =b_{k}(m n)  \tag{2.6}\\
\frac{n}{m} S_{2 k}(m, n) & =n B_{k-1}(m n) \\
S_{2 k}(m, n) & =m B_{k-1}(m n)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Since the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$, the Morgan-Voyce polynomials, and the Fibonacci polynomials are interrelated by (1.4) and (2.3), which can be rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
S_{2 k+1}(m, n) & =f_{2 k+1}(\sqrt{m n}),  \tag{2.7}\\
S_{2 k}(m, n) & =\frac{m}{\sqrt{m n}} f_{2 k}(\sqrt{m n}),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and since the coefficients of the Fibonacci polynomials lie along the rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle, we can write the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2: The coefficients of $f_{k}(x), b_{k}(x), B_{k}(x)$, and $S_{k}(m, n)$ are all coefficients which lie along the rising diagonals of Pascal's triangle.

## 3. DIVISIBILITY PROPERTIES OF POLYNOMIALS IN PASCAL'S TRIANGLE

Using the relationships of $\S 2$, we can expand upon Theorem 1.1 to write a greatest common divisor property for Morgan-Voyce polynomials.

Theorem 3.1: For the Morgan-Voyce polynomials $b_{n}(x)$ and $B_{n}(x)$,
(ii) $\quad\left(b_{m}(x), b_{n}(x)\right)=b_{((2 m+1,2 n+1)-1) / 2}(x)$,
(iii) $\quad\left(B_{m}(x), b_{n}(x)\right)=b_{((2 m+2,2 n+1)-1) / 2}(x)$.

Proof:
(i) $\quad x\left(B_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), B_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)=\left(f_{2 m+2}(x), f_{2 n+2}(x)\right)=f_{2(m+1, n+1)}(x)$

$$
=x B_{(m+1, n+1)-1}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

by applying (1.4), Theorem 1.1, and returning to (1.4). For $x \neq 0$, (i) is immediate by replacing $x^{2}$ with $x$ after dividing both sides by $x$. If $x=0$, $B_{n}=n+1$, making (i) become $(m+1, n+1)=(m+1, n+1)-1+1$.

Applying (1.4) and Theorem 1.1 to (ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(b_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right) & =\left(f_{2 m+1}(x), f_{2 n+1}(x)\right) \\
& =f_{(2 m+1,2 n+1)}(x)=f_{2 k+1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

since the greatest common divisor of $2 m+1$ and $2 n+1$ is odd. Thus,

$$
\left(b_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)=b_{k}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

by (1.4), where $2 k+1=(2 m+1,2 n+1)$, so that

$$
k=((2 m+1,2 n+1)-1) / 2
$$

Replacing $x^{2}$ by $x$ yields (ii).
Finally, we observe that $b_{n}(0)=1$, so that $x \nmid b_{n}(x)$, and again use (1.4) and Theorem 1.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right) & =\left(x B_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(f_{2 m+2}(x), f_{2 n+1}(x)\right)=f_{(2 m+2,2 n+1)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, set $(2 m+2,2 n+1)=2 k+1$, since it must be odd, and

$$
\left(B_{m}\left(x^{2}\right), b_{n}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)=f_{2 k+1}(x)=b_{k}\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
k=((2 m+2,2 n+1)-1) / 2
$$

Replacing $x^{2}$ by $x$ establishes (iii), finishing the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Returning to the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$, and using (2.7) with Theorem 1.1, gives us

Theorem 3.2: $\quad\left(S_{i}(m, n), S_{j}(m, n)\right)=S_{(i, j)}(m, n)$.
Proof: If $i$ and $j$ are both odd, $(2,7)$ and Theorem 1.1 give the above result immediately. If $i$ and $j$ are both even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{i}(m, n), S_{j}(m, n)\right) & =\left(S_{2 k}(m, n), S_{2 h}(m, n)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{m}{\sqrt{m n}} f_{2 k}(\sqrt{m n}), \frac{m}{\sqrt{m n}} f_{2 h}(\sqrt{m n})\right) \\
& =\frac{m}{\sqrt{m n}}\left(f_{2 k}(\sqrt{m n}), f_{2 h}(\sqrt{m n})\right)=\frac{m}{\sqrt{m n}} f_{2(k, h)}(\sqrt{m n}) \\
& =S_{2(k, h)}(m, n)=S_{(2 k, 2 h)}(m, n)=S_{(i, j)}(m, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i$ is odd and $j$ is even, since $S_{2 k+1}(m, n)$ always ends in the constant 1 so that $\sqrt{m n} \not S_{2 k+1}(m, n)$, and since $f_{2 k+1}(x)$ also ends in 1 ,

$$
\left(S_{i}(m, n), S_{j}(m, n)\right)=\left(S_{2 k+1}(m, n), S_{2 h}(m, n)\right)
$$

$$
=\left(S_{2 k+1}(m, n), \sqrt{m n} S_{2 h}(m, n)\right)
$$

$$
=\left(f_{2 k+1}(\sqrt{m n}), m f_{2 h}(\sqrt{m n})\right)=\left(f_{2 k+1}(\sqrt{m n}), f_{2 h}(\sqrt{m n})\right)
$$

$$
=f_{(2 k+1,2 h)}(\sqrt{m n})=S_{(2 k+1,2 h)}(m, n)=S_{(i, j)}(m, n),
$$

where we can again use (2.7) because ( $2 k+1,2 h$ ) is odd, concluding the proof of Theorem 3.2.

We quickly have divisibility properties for the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$.
Theorem 3.3: $S_{i}(m, n) \mid S_{j}(m, n)$ if and only if $i \mid j$.
Proob: If $i \mid j$, then $(i, j)=i$, and $S_{i}(m, n) \mid S_{j}(m, n)$ by Theorem 3.2. If $S_{i}(m, n) \mid S_{j}(m, n)$ with $i \nmid j$, then $f_{i}(x) \mid f_{j}(x)$ where $i \nmid j$, a contradiction of (1.8).

From all of this, we can also write divisibility properties for MorganVoyce polynomials.

Theorem 3.4: For the Morgan-Voyce polynomials,

$$
B_{m}(x) \mid B_{n}(x) \text { if and only if }(m+1) \mid(n+1) ;
$$

$$
b_{m}(x) \mid b_{n}(x) \text { if and only if }(2 m+1) \mid(2 n+1) ;
$$

$$
b_{m}(x) \mid B_{n}(x) \text { if and only if }(2 m+1) \mid(n+1) .
$$

Proof: $B_{m}(x) \mid B_{n}(x)$ if and only if $\left(B_{m}(x), B_{n}(x)\right)=B_{m}(x)$, but $\left(B_{m}(x), B_{n}(x)\right)=B_{(m+1, n+1)-1}(x)$
by Theorem 3.1. Setting the subscripts equal, $m=(m+1, n+1)-1$, or, $m+1=(m+1, n+1)$, which forces $(m+1) \mid(n+1)$. The case for $b_{m}(x)$ and $b_{n}(x)$ is entirely similar.

In the case of $b_{m}(x)$ and $B_{n}(x), B_{n}(x)$ cannot divide $b_{m}(x)$ for $n>0$ because $b_{m}(x)$ always ends in the constant 1 , while the constant for $B_{n}(x)$ is greater than $1, n>0$. Since $b_{m}(x) \mid B_{n}(x)$ if and only if

$$
\left(b_{m}(x), B_{n}(x)\right)=b_{m}(x),
$$

and since

$$
\left(b_{m}(x), B_{n}(x)\right)=b_{((2 n+2,2 m+1)-1) / 2}(x)
$$

by carefully rearranging (iii) in Theorem 3.1, equating the subscripts leads to $\quad m=((2 n+2,2 m+1)-1) / 2$,
or

$$
2 m+1=(2 m+1,2 n+2)
$$

Thus, $(2 m+1) \mid(2 n+2)$, but since $(2 m+1)$ is odd, we must have

$$
(2 m+1) \mid(n+1)
$$

concluding the proof.
Returning to the greatest common divisor property of the Fibonacci polynomials, $\left(f_{m}(x), f_{n}(x)\right)=f_{(m, n)}(x)$, we make some observations from Theorem 3.1(i) regarding the Morgan-Voyce polynomials $B_{n}(x)$. From

$$
\left(B_{n}(x), B_{m}(x)\right)=B(n+1, m+1)-1(x)
$$

it would follow that if $B_{n}^{*}(x)=B_{n-1}(x)$ and $B_{m}^{*}(x)=B_{m-1}(x)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{n}^{*}(x), B_{m}^{*}(x)\right)=B_{(n, m)}^{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which sequence $\left\{B_{n}^{*}(x)\right\}=\{0,1, x+2, \ldots\}$ obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}^{*}(x)=(x+2) B_{n-1}^{*}(x)-B_{n-2}^{*}(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is in fact the Fibonacci polynomial, so to speak, for the auxiliary polynomial $\lambda^{2}-(x+2) \lambda+1=0$, since

$$
B_{n}^{*}(x)=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{n}-\lambda_{2}^{n}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the roots. But (3.2) can also be expressed as

$$
u_{n}=x u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}
$$

where $x$ is replaced by $(x+2)$. Thus one set of polynomials with coefficients on diagonals of Pascal's triangle transforms into another set with the same property.

This property of transforming one set of polynomials whose coefficients are on diagonals of Pascal's triangle to another set of polynomials with coefficients also on diagonals of Pascal's triangle is shared by the Chebyshev polynomials $\left\{T_{n}(x)\right\}$ [9] of the first kind, defined by $T_{0}(x)=1, T_{1}(x)=x$, and
(3.3) $\quad T_{n+1}(x)=2 x T_{n}(x)-T_{n-1}(x)$,
since
(3.4) $\quad T_{n}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)=T_{m}\left(T_{n}(x)\right)=T_{m n}(x)$.

The property (3.4) is easy to prove from the Binet form associated with the auxiliary polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-2 x \lambda+1=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with roots $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$.
The Chebyshev polynomials $\left\{U_{n}(x)\right\}$ of the second kind are $U_{0}(x)=1$, and $U_{1}(x)=2 x$,
(3.6) $\quad U_{n+1}(x)=2 x U_{n}(x)-U_{n-1}(x)$.

First, to establish (3.4), we prove by induction that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}^{n}=T_{n}(x)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U_{n-1}(x)  \tag{3.7}\\
\lambda_{2}^{n}=T_{n}(x)-\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U_{n-1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We prove only one part, since the second part is entirely similar. Since, $U_{-1}(x)=0$, and $T_{0}(x)=1, \lambda_{1}^{n}=T_{n}(x)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U_{n-1}(x)$ for $n=0$. Assume
that $\lambda_{1}^{k}=T_{k}(x)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U_{k-1}(x) \quad$ and $\quad \lambda_{1}^{k+1}=T_{k+1}(x)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U(x)$. Then, by (3.5),

$$
\lambda_{1}^{k+2}=2 x \lambda_{1}^{k+1}-\lambda_{1}^{k}=\left(2 x T_{k+1}(x)-T_{k}(x)\right)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)}\left(2 x U_{k+1}(x)-U_{k}(x)\right)
$$

$$
=T_{k+2}(x)+\sqrt{\left(x^{2}-1\right)} U_{k+1}(x),
$$

using (3.4) and (3.6), establishing the form of $\lambda_{1}^{n}$ in (3.7) by mathematical induction.

Notice that, since $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=1$, by multiplying the forms of $\lambda_{1}^{n}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{n}$ from (3.7), we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{2}(x)-1=\left(x^{2}-1\right) U_{n-1}^{2}(x) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by adding in (3.7), we can establish
(3.9) $\quad T_{n}(x)=\left(\lambda_{1}^{n}+\lambda_{2}^{n}\right) / 2$.

Now, $\lambda_{1}(x)=x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}$. Replace $x$ by $T_{m}(x)$, and the root becomes

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)=T_{m}(x)+\sqrt{T_{m}^{2}(x)-1}
$$

satisfying the auxiliary polynomial (3.5), so that

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)-2 T_{m}(x) \lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)+1=0
$$

That is,

$$
T_{m}(x)=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)+1}{2 \lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)}=\left[\lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)+1 / \lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)\right] / 2
$$

But $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=1$, so

$$
T_{m}(x)=\left[\lambda_{1}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)\right] / 2
$$

Referring back to (3.9), we write

$$
\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{m}\left(T_{m}(x)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2}^{m}=\lambda_{2}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)
$$

Now,

$$
T_{m n}(x)=\left[\lambda_{1}^{m n}+\lambda_{2}^{m n}\right] / 2=\left[\left(\lambda_{1}^{m}\right)^{n}+\left(\lambda_{2}^{m}\right)^{n}\right] / 2=\left[\lambda_{1}^{n}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)+\lambda_{2}^{n}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)\right] / 2,
$$

so that $T_{m n}(x)=T_{n}\left(T_{m}(x)\right)$ and similarly, $T_{m n}(x)=T_{m}\left(T_{n}(x)\right)$, finishing the proof of (3.4).

Returning to divisibility properties, observe that the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are the polynomials with the Fibonacci-1ike property

$$
U_{n-1}(x)=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{n}-\lambda_{2}^{n}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}
$$

where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the roots of $\lambda^{2}-2 x \lambda+1=0$. We now list the first few polynomials and let

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
U_{n}^{*}(x) & =U_{n-1}(x) . & & \\
U_{-1}(x) & =0 & & =U_{0}^{*}(x) \\
U_{0}(x) & =1 & & =U_{1}^{*}(x) \\
U_{1}(x) & =2 x & & =U_{2}^{*}(x) \\
U_{2}(x) & =4 x^{2}-1 & & =U_{3}^{*}(x) \\
U_{3}(x) & =8 x^{3}-4 x=4 x\left(2 x^{2}-1\right) & =U_{4}^{*}(x) \\
U_{4}(x) & =16 x^{4}-12 x^{2}+1 & & =U \frac{U_{5}^{*}}{*}(x)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
U_{5}(x)=32 x^{5}-32 x^{3}+6 x=2 x\left(8 x^{4}-8 x^{2}+3\right) & =U_{6}^{*}(x) \\
U_{6}(x)=64 x^{6}-80 x^{4}+24 x^{2}-1 & =U_{7}^{*}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots
\end{array}
$$

It would appear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{m}^{*}(x), U_{n}^{*}(x)=U_{(m, n)}^{*}(x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

That this is indeed the case can be established very simply. Since $U_{n}^{*}(x)$ satisfies

$$
U_{n+1}^{*}(x)=2 x U_{n}^{*}(x)-U_{n-1}^{*}(x),
$$

$\left\{U_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a special case of the polynomial sequence $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ defined by Hoggatt and Long [7] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n+2}(x, y)=x U_{n+1}(x, y)+y U_{n}(x, y), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{0}(x, y)=0$ and $U_{1}(x, y)=1$. Note that $\left\{U_{n}^{*}(x)\right\}$ is the special case $x=2 x$ and $y=-1$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{m}(x, y), U_{n}(x, y)\right)=U_{(m, n)}(x, y), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that (3.10) is immediate.
We summarize as
Theorem 3.4: By suitable shifting of subscripts in the original definitions, the Fibonacci Polynomials, the Morgan-Voyce polynomials $B_{n}(x)$, the Chebyshev polynomials $U_{n}(x)$, and the polynomials $S_{k}(m, n)$ all satisfy

$$
\left(u_{m}, u_{n}\right)=u_{(m, n)} .
$$

4. A MORE GENERAL POLYNOMIAL SEQUENCE

Define $S_{k}(a, b, c, d)$ by taking $S_{1}=1, S_{2}=\alpha$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{k}=a S_{k-1}+b S_{k-2}, k \text { even }  \tag{4.1}\\
S_{k}=a S_{k-1}+d S_{k-2}, k \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $S_{1}^{*}=1, S_{2}^{*}=c$, and define $S_{k}^{*}(a, b, c, d)$ by taking

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S_{\vec{k}}^{*}=c S_{k-1}^{*}+d S_{k-2}^{*}, k \text { even }  \tag{4.2}\\
S_{\vec{k}}^{*}=a S_{k-1}^{*}+b S_{k-2}^{*}, k \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $K_{0}=0, K_{1}=1, K_{n}=(a c+b+d) K_{n-1}-b d K_{n-2}$.
Let $Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}c & d \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a c+b & a d \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$; then,

$$
Q^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S_{2 k+1}^{*} & d S_{2 k} \\
S_{2 k}^{*} & d S_{2 k-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
K_{k+1}-d K_{k} & d a K_{k} \\
c K_{k} & d\left(K_{k}-b K_{k-1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ is the "Fibonacci sequence,"

$$
K_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{1}^{n}-\lambda_{2}^{n}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}
$$

for the quadratic $\lambda^{2}-(a c+b+d)^{\lambda}+b d=0$, with roots $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Applying results [7] for $\left\{U_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ from (3.11) and (3.12) to $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$, we have immediate1y that

$$
\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)=K_{(m, n)} .
$$

To continue, we write the first few terms of $\left\{S_{k}(\alpha, b, c, d)\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=1 \\
& S_{2}=a \\
& S_{3}=a c+d \\
& S_{4}=a^{2} c+a d+a b \\
& S_{5}=a^{2} c^{2}+2 a c d+a b c+d^{2} \\
& S_{6}=a^{3} c^{2}+2 a^{2} c d+2 a^{2} b c+a d^{2}+a b d+a b^{2} \\
& S_{7}=a^{3} c^{3}+3 a^{2} c^{2} d+2 a^{2} b c^{2}+3 a c d^{2}+2 a b c d+a b^{2} c+d^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider some special cases. If $a=0$, then $S_{2 k+2}=0$, and $S_{2 k+1}=d^{k}$, $k \geq 0$. If $b=0, S_{2 k+2}=a(a c+d)^{k}$ and $S_{2 k+1}=(a c+d)^{k}, k \geq 0$. If $c=0$, then $S_{2 k-1}=d^{k-1}$ and $S_{2 k}=\alpha\left[\left(d^{k}-b^{k}\right) /(d-b)\right], k \geq 1$. If $d=0$, then $S_{2 k}=$ $a(a c+b)^{k-1}$ and $S_{2 k+1}=a c(a c+b)^{k-1}, k \geq 1$. The expansions of $S_{k}^{*}(a, b$, $c, d)$ are not very interesting, since they are the same as those of $S_{k}(a, b$, $c$, d) with the roles of $a$ and $c$ exchanged.

The special case of $S_{k}(a, b, c, d)$ where $b=d$ proves fruitful. We list the first few terms of $\left\{S_{k}(\alpha, b, c)\right\}$ below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=1 \\
& S_{2}=a \\
& S_{3}=a c+b \\
& S_{4}=a^{2} c+2 a b \\
& S_{5}=a^{2} c^{2}+3 a b c+b^{2} \\
& S_{6}=a^{3} c^{2}+4 a^{2} b c+3 a b^{2}=a(a c+b)(a c+3 b)=S_{2} S_{3}(a c+3 b)
\end{aligned}
$$

We are interested in the case $b=d$, or, taking $S_{k}(a, b, c)$ and $S_{k}^{*}(a, b$, c), so that $S_{3}$ will divide $S_{6}$. It is not difficult to prove by induction that

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2 k+j}=S_{j+1}^{*} S_{2 k}+b S_{j} S_{2 k-1}  \tag{4.3}\\
& S_{2 k+1+j}=S_{j+1} S_{2 k+1}+b S^{*} S_{2 k}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 k+1}=S_{2 k+1}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad a S_{2 k}=c S_{2 k}^{*} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove $S_{j} \mid S_{j m}$ for $j$ odd and $m$ odd, or, $j m=2 k+1$. From (4.4),

$$
S_{j(m+1)}=S_{j+1} S_{j m}+b S_{j}^{*} S_{2 k}=S_{j+1} S_{j m}+b S_{j} S_{2 k},
$$

since $S_{j}=S_{j}^{*}$ for $j$ odd. So, if $S_{j} \mid S_{j}$ and $S_{j} \mid S_{j m}$, then $S_{j} \mid S_{j(m+1)}$ for $j$ odd. Thus, for $j$ and $m$ both odd, we see that $S_{j} \mid S_{j m}$ for all odd $m$.

Next, suppose that $j$ is odd and $m$ is even; then, from (4.3),

$$
S_{2 m^{\prime} j+j}=S_{j+1}^{*} S_{2 m^{\prime} j}+b S_{j} S_{2 m^{\prime} j-1}, \quad m=2 m^{\prime} .
$$

Now, if $S_{j} \mid S_{j}$ and $S_{j} \mid S_{2 m^{\prime} j}$, then $S_{j} \mid S_{\left(2 m^{\prime}+1\right)_{j}}=S_{j(m+1)}$.
Next, let $j$ be even;

$$
S_{2 k+2 j}=S_{2 j^{\prime}+1}^{*} S_{2 k}+b S_{2 j^{\prime}} S_{2 k-1} \quad \text { and } \quad 2 k=2 j^{\prime} m
$$

Since $S_{j} \mid S_{2 j}$, and $S_{j} \mid S_{2 j^{\prime} m}=S_{2 k}$, we have $S_{j} \mid S_{2 j^{\prime} m+2 j^{\prime}}=S_{j(m+1)}$. This completes the proof that if $i \mid j$, then $S_{i} \mid S_{j}$. Since, algebraically, $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ are of increasing degree in the two variables $\alpha$ and $c$ collectively, $S_{j} \nmid S_{i}$ for $i<j$. Last, using (4.3) and (4.4), it is now straightforward to show

Theorem 4.1: $S_{j}(\alpha, b, c) \mid S_{i}(a, b, c)$ if and only if $j \mid i$.
We can also now prove
Theorem 4.2: $\quad\left(S_{i}(a, b, c), S_{j}(a, b, c)\right)=S_{(i, j)}(a, b, c)$.
Proof: Let $P(x)$ be a monic polynomial of degree $r+s$ with integral coefficients with two factors $Q(x)$ and $R(x)$ of degree $r$ and $s$, respectively. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^{r+s} P(x / b) & =b^{r} Q(x / b) b^{s} R(x / b) \\
P^{*}(x, b) & =Q^{*}(x, b) R^{*}(x, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $P(x)$ is of degree $p, T(x)$ of degree $t, W(x)$ of degree $w$, and $(P(x), T(x))=W(x)$, then

$$
\left(b^{p} P(x / b), b^{t} T(x / b)\right)=b^{w} W(x / b)
$$

For application to Theorem 4.2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c^{2} S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)=a c b^{2 m-1} f_{2 m}(a c / b)  \tag{4.6}\\
& S_{2 m+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)=b^{2 m} f_{2 m+1}(a c / b)
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1: Both subscripts even.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c^{2} S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), c^{2} S_{2 n}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(a c b^{2 m-1} f_{2 m}(a c / b), a c b^{2 n-1} f_{2 n}(a c / b)\right) \\
& =a c b^{(2 m, 2 n)-1} f_{(2 m, 2 n)}(a c / b) \\
& =c^{2} S_{(2 m, 2 n)}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), S_{2 n}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right)=S_{(2 m, 2 n)}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)
$$

Case 2: Both subscripts odd.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{2 m+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), S_{2 n+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(b^{2 m} f_{2 m+1}(a c / b), b^{2 n} f_{2 n+1}(a c / b)\right) \\
& =b^{(2 m+1,2 n+1)-1} f_{(2 m+1,2 n+1)}(a c / b) \\
& =S_{(2 m+1,2 n+1)}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3: One subscript odd, one subscript even.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c^{2} S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), S_{2 n+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(a c b^{2 m-1} f_{2 m}(a c / b), b^{2 n} f_{2 n+1}(a c / b)\right) \\
& =b^{(2 m, 2 n+1)-1} f_{(2 m, 2 n+1)}(a c / b) \\
& =S_{(2 m, 2 n+1)}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

```
since \((a c, b)=1\). A1so, since \(\left(c^{2}, S_{2 n+1}\right)=1\),
    \(\left(c^{2} S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), S_{2 n+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right)\)
    \(=\left(S_{2 m}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right), S_{2 n+1}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\right)\)
    \(=S_{(2 m, 2 n+1)}\left(a^{2}, b^{2}, c^{2}\right)\),
```

finishing the proof of Theorem 4.2 by replacing $\alpha^{2}$ with $a, b^{2}$ with $b$, and $c^{2}$ with $c$.

Let $f_{n}^{*}(x)$ be a modified Fibonacci polynomial, with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{n}^{*}(x)=f_{n}(x), n \text { odd } \\
f_{n}^{*}(x)=\frac{f_{n}(x)}{x}, n \text { even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Listing the first few values,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{*}(x)=1 \\
& f_{2}^{*}(x)=1 \\
& f_{3}^{*}(x)=x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{4}^{*}(x)=x^{2}+2 \\
& f_{5}^{*}(x)=x^{4}+3 x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{6}^{*}(x)=x^{4}+4 x^{2}+3 \\
& f_{7}^{*}(x)=x^{6}+5 x^{4}+6 x^{2}+1 \\
& f_{8}^{*}(x)=x^{6}+6 x^{4}+10 x^{2}+4
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{n+2}^{*}(x)=f_{n+1}^{*}(x)+f_{n}^{*}(x), n \text { even } \\
f_{n+2}^{*}(x)=x^{2} f_{n+1}^{*}(x)+f_{n}^{*}(x), n \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is $\left\{S_{k}(a, b, c, d)\right\}$ with $a=b=d=1, c=x^{2}$. Thus, by Theorem 4.2,

$$
\left(f_{m}^{*}(x), f_{n}^{*}(x)\right)=f_{(m, n)}^{*}(x) .
$$

Let $v_{k}(x)$ be a modified Morgan-Voyce polynomial defined by

$$
v_{2 n+2}(x)=B_{n}(x), v_{2 n+1}(x)=b_{n}(x) .
$$

The first few values for $\left\{v_{k}(x)\right\}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{1}(x)=1 & =b_{0}(x) \\
v_{2}(x)=1 & =B_{0}(x) \\
v_{3}(x)=x+1 & =b_{1}(x) \\
v_{4}(x)=x+3 & =B_{1}(x) \\
v_{5}(x)=x^{2}+3 x+1 & =b_{2}(x) \\
v_{6}(x)=x^{2}+4 x+3 & =B_{2}(x) \\
v_{7}(x)=x^{3}+5 x^{2}+6 x+1 & =b_{3}(x) \\
v_{8}(x)=x^{3}+6 x^{2}+10 x+4=(x+2)\left(x^{2}+4 x+2\right) & =B_{3}(x)
\end{array}
$$

Since $v_{k}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{n}(x)=v_{n-1}(x)+v_{n-2}(x), n \text { even }, \\
v_{n}(x)=x v_{n-1}(x)+v_{n-2}(x), n \text { odd },
\end{array}\right.
$$

this is $\left\{S_{k}(a, b, c, d)\right\}$ with $a=b=d=1$ and $c=x$ ．Then，by Theorem 4．2，

$$
\left(v_{n}(x), v_{m}(x)\right)=v_{(m, n)}(x)
$$
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## 米芝苜

## THE GOLDEN SECTION IN THE EARLIEST NOTATED WESTERN MUSIC

PAUL LARSON<br>Temple University，Philadelphia，PA 19122

The persistent use of the golden section as a proportion in Western Art is well recognized．Architecture，the visual arts，sculpture，drama，and po－ etry provide examples of its use from ancient Greece to the present day．No similar persistence has been established in music．One possible reason is that what ancient Greek music has survived is of such a fragmentary nature that it is not possible to make reliable musical deductions from it．However，begin－ ning with the early Middle Ages a large body of music has survived in manu－ scripts that from ca．10th century can be read and the music can be performed． This body of music is known as Roman liturgical chant or，more commonly，as Gregorian chant．These chants have not previously been analyzed from the standpoint of the golden section．Acknowledging the probability of the pres－

