# A. ALLARD and P. LECOMTE

Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let the F 's be defined as follows:

 $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ ,  $\forall n \ge 0$ .

Let k > 0 be any integer. There is then a smallest positive m such that  $k | F_m$  [if a, b denote integers, we sometimes write a | b instead of  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ , a | | b instead of  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ , and  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a^2}$ ]. This unique m will be denoted by  $\beta_k$ ;  $F_{\beta_k}$  is usually called the *entry point* of k. Moreover, the sequence  $F_n \pmod{k}$  is well known to be periodical. We denote by  $1_k$  the period and we let  $\gamma_k = 1_k / \beta_k$ .

Our purpose in this paper is to compute (at least in a theorical way)  $\gamma_p$  for each prime p. In [1], Vinson also computes  $\gamma_p$ , but our point of view and our methods are really different from those of Vinson, so that we obtain new results regarding  $\gamma_p$  and additional information about  $\beta_p$ .

This paper is based on a few results which are summarized in Section 2 and proved in Section 6. Some of these are well known and their proofs (elementary) are given for the benefit of the reader.

#### 2. PROPOSITIONS

We now state those propositions that will be useful later. Let p be a prime with p > 5. For simplicity, we let  $\beta = \beta_p$ ,  $1 = 1_p$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma_p$ . Then

(1)  $p | F_m \iff \beta | m, \forall m.$ 

This shows that  $\gamma$  is an integer.

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma \in \{1, 2, 4\}; \ to \ be \ more \ precise, \\ \gamma = 1 \Longleftrightarrow F_{\beta - 1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ \gamma = 2 \Longleftrightarrow F_{\beta - 1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \\ \gamma = 4 \Longleftrightarrow F_{\beta - 1}^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{array}$ 

(3)  $\gamma = 4 \iff \beta \text{ is odd}$ 

(2)

$$4 | \beta \Rightarrow \gamma = 2$$

(4) The following holds for any  $j \in \{0, 1, ..., \beta - 1\}$  and any k > 0:

$$F_{k\beta-j} \equiv F_{\beta-1}^{k-1}F_{\beta-j} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular, letting j = 1, we obtain

$$F_{k\beta-1} \equiv F_{\beta-1}^{k} \pmod{p}.$$

(5) For all a, b > 0, we have

$$F_{ab} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} C_{b}^{k} F_{a}^{k} F_{a-1}^{b-k} F_{k} \quad \left( C_{b}^{k} = \frac{b!}{k! (b-k)!} \right).$$

[Note that if p is a prime, then  $p | C_p^k$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, p - 1$ . Then the above formula with a = q and b = p together with Fermat's theorem implies that

$$F_{pq} \equiv F_p F_q \pmod{p}$$

for all prime p and all integers q.]

(6) If  $p = 10m \pm 1$ , then  $F_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $\beta (p - 1)$ . If  $p = 10m \pm 3$ , then  $F_p \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  and  $\beta \binom{p+1}{p+1}$ .

 $2\beta \mid (p \pm 1) \iff p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (7)

[according that p is (p - 1) or is not (p + 1) a quadratic residue mod 5].

We are now in a position to state our main results. We will investigate separately the cases  $p = 10m \pm 1$  and  $p = 10m \pm 3$ . The conclusions are of very different natures.

3. COMPUTATION OF  $\gamma$  WHEN  $p = 10m \pm 3$ 

Theorem 1: Let p be of the form  $10m \pm 3$ . Then either p = 4m' - 1,  $\gamma = 2$ , and  $4 \beta$ , or p = 4m' + 1,  $\gamma = 4$ , and  $\beta$  is odd.

This theorem allows us to calculate  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  by a simple examination of the number p. Such a result does not hold in the case where  $p = 10m \pm 1$ .

**Proof:** By (6) above, we can write  $p = \mu\beta - 1$  and  $F_p \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus, by (4), we have

 $F_{B-1}^{\mu} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . (3.1)

Since  $\gamma = 1$  implies  $F_{\beta-1} \equiv \frac{1}{p} \pmod{p}$  and since  $F_{\beta-1}^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , we conclude from (3.1) that  $\gamma > 1$  and  $4/\mu$ .

Suppose  $\beta$  is even. Then  $\gamma = 2$  and  $F_{\beta-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . From (3.1), this implies that  $\mu$  is odd. Suppose  $2 ||\beta$ . Then  $p = \mu\beta - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , so that by (7),  $2\beta |(p + 1)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $4 |\beta$  and  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . Suppose  $\beta$  is odd. Then  $\gamma = 4$  and  $F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . From (3.1), this implies that  $2 ||\mu$ . Hence,  $p = \mu\beta - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . The theorem is proved.

From the preceding proof, we obtain another statement.

Theorem 2: If  $\gamma = 1$ , then  $p = 10m \pm 1$ .

#### 4. COMPUTATION OF $\gamma$ WHEN $p = 10m \pm 1$

This case is more complicated and it is convenient to introduce the characteristic exponent  $\alpha$  of p, well defined [recall (6)] by

=  $2^{\alpha} \nu \beta$  + 1,  $\nu$  odd.

The explicit computation of  $\alpha$  will be made later, by means of the following lemma.

Lemma: If  $p = 10m \pm 1 = 2^{\alpha} \vee \beta + 1$  with  $\vee$  odd, then

(8) 
$$\gamma = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\nu\beta}} \equiv 2^{\alpha} \pmod{p}$$

 $\gamma = 2 \Rightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\nu\beta}} \equiv -2^{\alpha} \pmod{p}$ (9)

(10) 
$$\gamma = 4 \Rightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\nu\beta}} \equiv -2^{\alpha} F_{\beta-1}^{\nu} \pmod{p}.$$

In fact, apply (5), with  $\alpha = \nu\beta$  and  $b = 2^{\alpha}$ . Then

$$F_{p-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{2^{\alpha}} C_{2^{\alpha}}^{k} F_{\nu\beta}^{k} F_{\nu\beta}^{2^{\alpha}-k} F_{k}.$$

This implies that

(4.1) 
$$\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\nu\beta}} \equiv 2^{\alpha} F_{\beta-1}^{\nu(2^{\alpha}-1)} \pmod{p}.$$

On the other hand, (6) and (4) imply

(4.2) 
$$F_{\beta-1}^{2^{\alpha}\nu} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

Then, from (4.1) and (4.2):

(4.3) 
$$F_{\beta-1}^{\nu} \cdot \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\nu\beta}} \equiv 2^{\alpha} \pmod{p}.$$

Suppose  $\gamma = 1$ , then  $F_{\beta-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and (8) follows from (4.3).

Suppose  $\gamma = 2$ , then  $F_{\beta-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and since  $\nu$  is odd, (9) follows from (4.3).

Suppose  $\gamma = 4$ , then  $F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $\nu$  is odd, we have  $F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , so that (10) follows from (4.3).

<u>Theorem 4</u>: Let  $p = 10m \pm 1$ . Then, p can be written uniquely as  $p = 2^{r}s + 1$  with s odd, and we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= 4 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ \gamma &= 1 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \equiv 2^{r-1} \pmod{p} \\ \gamma &= 2 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \equiv 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \not\equiv 2^{r-1} \pmod{p}. \end{split}$$

(The statement concerning  $\gamma$  = 2 will be made more precise later.)

**Proof**: Suppose  $\gamma = 4$ . Then,  $\beta$  is odd and, thus,  $\alpha = r$ ,  $\nu\beta = s$ , so that, by the lemma, we have

$$\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} - 2^r F_{\beta-1}^{\nu} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Suppose  $\gamma = 1$ . Then,  $\beta$  is even, but  $2||\beta$ , since  $4|\beta$  implies  $\gamma = 2$ . So  $\alpha = r - 1$  and  $\nu\beta = 2s$ ; thus, by the lemma, we have

$$\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \equiv 2^{r-1} \pmod{p}.$$

1979]

Conversely, suppose  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $p \mid F_s$ , since  $p \mid F_{p-1}$ . Thus,  $\beta \mid s$ , and so  $\beta$  is odd, proving that  $\gamma = 4$ . Suppose that  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \equiv 2^{r-1} \pmod{p}$ . We want to prove that  $\gamma = 1$  in this case. We now have  $\beta \mid 2s$ . If  $\beta$  is odd, then  $\gamma = 4$  and, as seen above,  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \equiv -2^r F_{\beta-1}^{\vee} \pmod{p}$ . But, since  $\beta \mid s$ ,

 $F_{s-1} + F_{s+1} \equiv F_{\nu\beta-1} + F_{\nu\beta+1} \equiv 2F_{\beta-1}^{\nu} \pmod{p},$ 

$$2^{r-1} \equiv \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_2} \equiv \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s(F_{s-1} + F_{s+1})} \equiv \frac{-2^r F_{\beta-1}^{\vee}}{2F_{\beta-1}^{\vee}} \equiv -2^{r-1} \pmod{p}.$$

This is clearly a contradiction, since p is odd. If  $2||\beta$  and  $\gamma = 2$ , we have  $\alpha = r - 1$  and  $\nu\beta = 2s$ . So, by the lemma,  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \equiv -2^{r-1} \pmod{p}$ . But, we assume that  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_2} \equiv 2^{r-1} \pmod{p}$ . Hence, a contradiction. Thus  $\gamma = 1$ , and the lemma follows.

Corollary: If  $p = 10m \pm 1 = 4m' - 1$ , then  $\gamma = 1$ .

In fact, one has 4m' - 1 = 2 s + 1, s odd, if and only if r = 1. In this case,  $F_{p-1} = F_{2s}$  and, by Theorem 4,  $\gamma = 1$ .

We are now in a position to compute the characteristic exponent  $\alpha$  of p. It is clear that if  $\gamma = 4$ , then  $\alpha = r$ ; if  $\gamma = 1$ , then  $\alpha = r - 1$ . We have only to look at the case  $\gamma = 2$ .

Theorem 5: Let  $1 \le k \le r$ . Then  $\alpha = r - k$  and  $\gamma = 2$  if and only if

(4.4) 
$$\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \equiv \cdots \equiv \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2^{k-1}s}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2^k s}} \equiv -2^{r-k} \pmod{p}.$$

We see that  $\alpha$  is determined by the rank of the first nonvanishing  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2^{i_s}}}$ (mod p).

 $\frac{Proof}{F_{p-1}}: \text{ Suppose that } \gamma = 2 \text{ and } \alpha = r - 1. \text{ By the lemma, we can conclude that } \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2^{k_s}}} \equiv -2^{r-k} \pmod{p}. \text{ On the other hand, since } 2^{j_s} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } j = 0,$ 

..., k - 1, we see that (4.4) holds.

Conversely, suppose (4.4) holds. Then, by Theorem 4, since k > 1,  $\gamma < 4$ , and  $\gamma \neq 1$ , that is  $\gamma = 2$ . Moreover,  $\beta | 2^k s$ , but  $\beta \nmid 2^{k-1} s$ . Thus  $\nu \beta = 2^k s$  and  $\alpha = r - k$ . Hence the result.

#### 5. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF $\gamma$ AND SOME INTERESTING RESULTS

Proposition 1: For any prime  $p, \gamma = 2$  implies  $4 | \beta$ .

In fact, when  $p = 10m \pm 3$ , this follows from Theorem 1. When  $p = 10m \pm 1$ , we prove that  $2||\beta \text{ implies } \gamma = 1$ . As  $2||\beta$ ,  $\gamma < 4$ , and  $p|F_{2s}$ , but  $p \nmid F_s$  and so  $F_{s-1} + F_{s+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

so that

[Feb.

But  $F_{2s-1} \equiv F_{s-1}^2 + F_s^2$  and, as s is odd,  $F_{s-1}F_{s+1} = F_s^2 - 1$ . Thus, since  $2s = \nu\beta$ , we can write

$$F_{\beta-1} \equiv F_{\beta-1} \equiv F_{2s-1} \equiv -F_{s-1}F_{s+1} + F_s^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence  $\gamma = 1$ , and the result is proved.

<u>Proposition 2</u>: If  $p = 10m \pm 1$ , then  $\gamma = 2$  if and only if  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \equiv \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{2s}} \equiv 0$ 

This is obvious from what precedes. Practically, however, this can be of some interest: to compute  $\gamma$ , compute  $F_s \pmod{p}$ . If  $F_s \notin 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_s} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and, thus,  $\gamma \neq 4$ . Compute then  $F_{s-1} + F_{s+1} \pmod{p}$ . If it does not vanish, then  $F_{2s} \notin 0 \pmod{p}$  so that  $\gamma \neq 1$  and, thus,  $\gamma = 2$ . *Proposition 3*: Let p be any given prime number. Then the greatest t such

that  $p^t | \mathcal{F}_{\beta_p}$  is the greatest t such that  $p^t | \mathcal{F}_{p \pm 1}$ .

In fact, either p = 10m  $\pm$  1, p =  $\lambda\beta$  + 1, or p = 10m  $\pm$  3, p =  $\mu\beta$  - 1. By (5), this implies

$$\frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\beta}} \equiv \lambda F_{\beta-1}^{\lambda-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{F_{p-1}}{F_{\beta}} \equiv \mu F_{\beta-1}^{\mu-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

respectively. Hence, Proposition 3.

#### 6. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS

This section is devoted to the proofs of the propositions stated in Section 2, except for (7), for which the reader is referred to *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 8, No. 1 (1970):23-30.

Proof of (4): Since the sequence  $F_n \pmod{p}$  starts with

 $F_1 \equiv 1, \quad F_2 \equiv 1, \quad F_3 \equiv 2, \quad \dots, \quad F_{\beta-1}, 0,$ 

it follows from  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$  that the following  $\beta$  members of this sequence are obtained by multiplying the first  $\beta$  one by  $F_{\beta-1}$  so that, for any j = 0, ...,  $\beta - 1$ ,  $F_{2\beta-j} \equiv F_{\beta-1}F_{\beta-j} \pmod{p}$ . The argument can be applied again to prove that  $F_{3\beta-j} \equiv F_{\beta-1}F_{\beta-j}$  and, more generally, that  $F_{k\beta-1} \equiv F_{\beta-1}F_{(k-1)\beta-1} \pmod{p}$ . Proposition (4) then holds in an obvious way.

Proof of (5): Recall that

$$F_n = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi^2 + 1} \left[ \varphi^n - \left( -\frac{1}{\varphi} \right)^n \right]$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $-\frac{1}{\varphi}$  satisfy  $y^2 = y + 1$ . From this, it is clear that

$$\varphi^n = \varphi F_n + F_{n-1}$$
 and  $\left(-\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)^n = \left(-\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)F_n + F_{n-1}$ .

Then

$$\begin{split} F_{ab} &= \frac{\varphi}{\varphi^2 + 1} \left[ \varphi^{ab} - \left( -\frac{1}{\varphi} \right)^{ab} \right] = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi^2 + 1} \left[ (\varphi F_a + F_{a-1})^b - \left( -\frac{1}{\varphi} F_a + F_{a-1} \right)^b \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^b C^k F^k F_{a-1}^{b-k} F_k \text{, using binomial expansion and } F_0 = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof of (1) and (2): Recall that for any integer m we have

$$F_{m-1}F_{m+1} = F_m^2 + (-1)^m$$
.

Let  $m = \beta$  in this formula. Thus,

(6.1) 
$$F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv (-1)^{\beta} \pmod{p}$$
,

taking account of  $F_{\beta+1} \equiv F_{\beta-1} \pmod{p}$ . On the other hand, 1 is the smaller *m* such that  $F_{\beta-1}^m \equiv F_{m\beta-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Recall also that  $1 = \gamma\beta$ , by the very definition of  $\gamma$ . Then,

(a) suppose  $\beta$  odd. Thus, by (6.1),

 $F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv -1$  so that  $F_{\beta-1} \not\equiv 1$  and  $F_{\beta-1}^4 \equiv 1$ . Thus  $\gamma = 4$ .

(b) suppose  $\beta$  even. Then (6.1) implies that  $F_{\beta-1}^2 \equiv 1.$ 

Since p is a prime, either

 $F_{\beta-1} \equiv 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , or  $F_{\beta-1} \equiv -1$  and  $\gamma = 2$ .

Hence (2) is proved.

<u>Proof of (3)</u>: To prove (3), we have only to show that  $4|\beta$  implies  $\gamma = 2$ . For this, we show that

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} F_{4\lambda} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ F_{4\lambda+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow F_{2\lambda} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Suppose that the left member of this implication holds. Then from well-known formulas:

$$\begin{split} F_{4\lambda+1} &= F_{2\lambda}^2 + F_{2\lambda+1}^2 = F_{2\lambda}^2 + F_{2\lambda}F_{2\lambda+2} - (-1)^{2\lambda+1} \\ &= F_{2\lambda} \left( F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2} \right) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence

(6.2)

$$F_{2\lambda}(F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

To prove (6.2), it suffices to show that  $GCD(F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2},p) = 1$ . To do this, since  $p | F_{4\lambda}$ , it suffices to prove that  $GCD(F_{4\lambda}, F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2}) = 1$ . But

 $\delta = \operatorname{GCD}(F_{4\lambda}, F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2}) = \operatorname{GCD}(F_{2\lambda}(F_{2\lambda+1} + F_{2\lambda-1}), F_{2\lambda} + F_{2\lambda+2})$ 

and, as  $GCD(F_{2\lambda}, F_{2\lambda+2}) = 1$ ,

 $\delta = \text{GCD}(F_{2\lambda+1} + F_{2\lambda-1}, F_{2\lambda+2} + F_{2\lambda}).$ 

It is then easily seen that

$$\delta \left| \left( F_{2\lambda+1} + F_{2\lambda-1} \right), \delta \right| \left( F_{2\lambda-1} + F_{2\lambda-3} \right), \ldots, \delta \left| F_2 \right| = 1.$$

Hence (3).

<u>Proof of (6)</u>: Recall first that  $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = 1$  or -1, according that p is or is not a quadratic residue mod 5, that is,  $p = 10m \pm 1$  or  $p = 10m \pm 3$ , respectively. Thus, we have to show that

$$\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = \pm 1 \Rightarrow F_p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$$
 and  $\beta \mid (p \mp 1)$ .

Recall also that  $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) \equiv 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ . Now we prove that  $F_p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ p). We have

$$F_{p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{p} - \left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{p} \right] = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}\sqrt{5}} \sum_{k \text{ odd}}^{1} C_{p}^{k}(\sqrt{5})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} C_{p}^{2k+1} 5^{k} + 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \left( pK + 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right)$$

since  $p | C_p^{2k+1}$  for each  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-3}{2}\}$ . As  $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , we have  $F_p \equiv 5^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ ,

so that  $\left(\frac{p}{5}\right) \equiv F_p \pmod{p}$ . When  $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = 1$ , we can give another proof. There exists a  $\rho$  such that  $\rho^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{p}$ . Then, for such a  $\rho$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + 1)$  and  $\theta' = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 1)$  are roots of  $x^2 - x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and thus,

$$\theta^n \equiv \theta^{n-1} + \theta^{n-2}, \quad \theta^{\prime n} \equiv \theta^{\prime n-1} + \theta^{\prime n-2} \pmod{p}.$$

It is then easily seen that

(6.3) 
$$F_n \equiv \frac{1}{\rho} [\theta^n - \theta'^n] \pmod{p}.$$

But, as p is a prime,  $\theta^{p-1} \equiv \theta'^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  by Fermat's theorem. Now from (6.3) it is obvious that

$$F_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
$$F_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Now, to prove that  $\beta | (p + 1)$  according that  $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = -1$ , it will suffice to develop  $F_{p+1}$  in a way similar to the method used above for  $F_p$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. E. Zeckendorf for his encouragement and fruitful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. J. Vinson, "The Relation of the Period Modulo m to the Rank of Apparition of m," The Fibonacci Quarterly 1, No. 2 (1963). 2. D. E. Daykin & L. A. G. Dresel, "Factorization of Fibonacci Numbers," The
- Fibonacci Quarterly 8, No. 1 (1970).
- 3. A. Andreassian, "Fibonacci Sequence Modulo m," The Fibonacci Quarterly 12, No. 1 (1974).

\*\*\*\*

19791