If the initial position and velocity of the $j$ th mass are, respectively, $X_{j}$ and $V_{j}$, then the normal coordinates are [6, p. 431]

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{k}(t)= & R e \sum_{j=1}^{N} m a_{j k} e^{i \omega_{k} t}\left(X_{j}-\frac{i}{\omega_{k}} V_{j}\right)  \tag{17}\\
= & R e \sum_{j=1}^{N} m(-1)^{k-1} \alpha_{j 1} U_{k}\left(\cos \frac{2 k \pi}{2 N+1}\right) \exp \left[2 i \omega_{0} t \cos \frac{k \pi}{2 N+1}\right] \\
& \times\left(X_{j}-\frac{i V_{j}}{2 \omega_{0} \cos \frac{k \pi}{2 N+1}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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## CONGRUENCES FOR CERTAIN FIBONACCI NUMBERS

NORVALD MIDTTUN
Norwegian Naval Academy, Post Box 25, Norway
The purpose of this note is to prove some of the well-known congruences for the Fibonacci numbers $U_{p}$ and $U_{p-1}$, where $p$ is prime and $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$. We also prove a congruence which is analogous to

$$
U_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{\mu}-\beta^{\mu}}{\alpha-\beta} \text {, where } \alpha \text { and } \beta \text { are the roots of } x^{2}-x-1=0 .
$$

We start by considering the congruence

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}-x-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p), \text { which can also be written }  \tag{1}\\
& y^{2} \equiv 5(\bmod p), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

on putting $2 x-1=y$.
It is well known that 5 is a quadratic residue of primes of the form $5 m \pm 1$ and a quadratic nonresidue of primes of the form $5 m \pm 3$. Therefore, (2) has a solution $p$ if $p$ is a prime and $p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 5)$.

It also has $-y$ as a solution, and these solutions are different in the sense that

$$
y \not \equiv-y(\bmod p) .
$$

This obviously gives two different solutions $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ of (1).
(1) is now written

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} \equiv x+1(\bmod p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, which is the same,

$$
X^{2} \equiv U_{1} X+U_{2}(\bmod p)
$$

where $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are the first and second Fibonacci numbers. When multiplied by $x$, (3) gives

$$
x^{3} \equiv x^{2}+x \equiv x+1+x \equiv 2 x+1(\bmod p),
$$

or, which is the same,

$$
X^{3} \equiv U_{3} X+U_{2}(\bmod p) .
$$

Suppose, therefore, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k} \equiv U_{k} X+U_{k-1}(\bmod p) \text { for some } k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (4) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{k+1} & \equiv U_{k} X^{2}+U_{k-1} X \equiv U_{k}(X+1)+U_{k-1} X \equiv\left(U_{k-1}+U_{k}\right) X+U_{k} \\
& =U_{k+1} X+U_{k}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with (3) shows that (4) holds for $k \geq 2$. For the two solutions $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, we now have

$$
X_{1}^{k} \equiv U_{k} X_{1}+U_{k-1}(\bmod p)
$$

and

$$
X_{2}^{k} \equiv U_{k} X_{2}+U_{k-1}(\bmod p)
$$

Subtraction gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{k}-X_{2}^{k} \equiv U_{k}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $k=p-1$ in (5) and using Fermat's theorem, we get
$X_{1}^{p-1}-X_{2}^{p-1} \equiv U_{p-1}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \equiv 1-1=0(\bmod p)$.
Since $X_{1} \not \equiv X_{2}(\bmod p)$, this proves
$U_{p-1} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Putting $k=p$ in (5), we get in the same manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{p}-X_{2}^{p} \equiv X_{1}-X_{2} \equiv U_{p}\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \quad(\bmod p), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves

$$
U_{p} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
$$

At last, (6) can formally be written

$$
U_{p} \equiv \frac{X_{1}^{p}-X_{2}^{p}}{X_{1}-X_{2}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

which shows the analogy with the formula

$$
U_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{\mu}-\beta^{\mu}}{\alpha-\beta}
$$

