

## REFERENCES

1. Dean R. Hickerson. "Recursion-Type for Partitions into Distinct Parts." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 11 (1973):307-12.
2. Henry L. Alder & Amin A. Muwafi. "Generalizations of Euler's Recurrence Formula for Partitions." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 13 (1975):337-39.
3. John A. Ewell. "Partition Recurrences." *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A*. 14 (1973):125-27.

\*\*\*\*\*

## PRIMITIVE PYTHAGOREAN TRIPLES AND THE INFINITUDE OF PRIMES

DELANO P. WEGENER

Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859

A *primitive Pythagorean triple* is a triple of natural numbers  $(x, y, z)$  such that  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$  and  $(x, y) = 1$ . It is well known [1, pp. 4-6] that all primitive Pythagorean triples are given, without duplication, by

$$x = 2mn, y = m^2 - n^2, z = m^2 + n^2,$$

where  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime natural numbers which are of opposite parity and satisfy  $m > n$ . Conversely, if  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime natural numbers which are of opposite parity and  $m > n$ , then the above formulas yield a primitive Pythagorean triple. In this note I will refer to  $m$  and  $n$  as the generators of the triple  $(x, y, z)$  and I will refer to  $x$  and  $y$  as the legs of the triple.

A study of the sums of the legs of primitive Pythagorean triples leads to the following interesting variation of Euclid's famous proof that there are infinitely many primes.

Suppose there is a largest prime, say  $p_k$ . Let  $m$  be the product of this finite list of primes and let  $n = 1$ . Then  $(m, n) = 1$ ,  $m > n$ , and they are of opposite parity. Thus  $m$  and  $n$  generate a primitive Pythagorean triple according to the above formulas. If  $x + y$  is prime, it follows from

$$x + y = 2mn + m^2 - n^2 = 2(2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k) + (2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k)^2 - 1 > p_k^2$$

that  $x + y$  is a prime greater than  $p_k$ . If  $x + y$  is composite, it must have a prime divisor greater than  $p_k$ . This last statement follows from the fact that every prime  $q \leq p_k$  divides  $m$  and hence divides  $x$ . If  $q$  divides  $x + y$ , then it divides  $y$ , which contradicts the fact that  $(x, y, z)$  is a primitive Pythagorean triple. Thus the assumption that  $p_k$  is the largest prime is false.

By noting that

$$\begin{aligned} y - x &= (2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k)^2 - 1 - 2(2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k) \\ &= 2(2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k)(3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_k - 1) - 1 > p_k, \end{aligned}$$

a similar proof can be constructed by using the difference of the legs of the primitive Pythagorean triple  $(x, y, z)$ .

The following lemma will be useful in proving that there are infinitely many primes of the form  $8t \pm 1$ .

Lemma: If  $(x, y, z)$  is a primitive Pythagorean triple and  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $x + y$  or  $|x - y|$ , then  $p$  is of the form  $8t \pm 1$ .

Proof: Suppose  $p$  divides  $x + y$  or  $|x - y|$ . Note that this implies

$$(x, p) = (y, p) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv \pm y \pmod{p}$$

so that

$$2x^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2 \equiv z^2 \pmod{p}.$$

By definition,  $x^2$  is a quadratic residue of  $p$ . The above congruence implies  $2x^2$  is also a quadratic residue of  $p$ . If  $p$  were of the form  $8t \pm 3$ , then 2 would be a quadratic nonresidue of  $p$  and since  $x^2$  is a quadratic residue of  $p$ ,  $2x^2$  would be a quadratic nonresidue of  $p$ , a contradiction. Thus  $p$  must be of the form  $8t \pm 1$ .

Now, if we assume that there is a finite number of primes of the form  $8t \pm 1$ , and if we let  $m$  be the product of these primes, then we obtain a contradiction by imitating the above proof that there are infinitely many primes.

#### REFERENCE

1. W. Sierpinski. "Pythagorean Triangles." *Scripta Mathematica Studies*, No. 9. New York: Yeshiva University, 1964.

\*\*\*\*\*

### AN APPLICATION OF PELL'S EQUATION

DELANO P. WEGENER

*Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859*

The following problem solution is a good classroom presentation or exercise following a discussion of Pell's equation.

#### Statement of the Problem

Find all natural numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$\frac{a(a+1)}{2} = b^2.$$

An alternate statement of the problem is to ask for all triangular numbers which are squares.

#### Solution of the Problem

$$\frac{a(a+1)}{2} = b^2 \iff a^2 + a = 2b^2 \iff a^2 + a - 2b^2 = 0 \iff a = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 8b^2}}{2} \iff \exists$$

an odd integer  $t$  such that  $t^2 - 2(2b)^2 = 1$ .

This is Pell's equation with fundamental solution [1, p. 197]  $t = 3$  and  $2b = 2$  or, equivalently,  $t = 3$  and  $b = 1$ . Note that  $t = 3$  implies

$$a = \frac{-1 \pm 3}{2},$$

but, according to the following theorem, we may discard  $a = -2$ . Also note that  $t$  is odd.

Theorem 1: If  $D$  is a natural number that is not a perfect square, the Diophantine equation  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$  has infinitely many solutions  $x, y$ .

All solutions with positive  $x$  and  $y$  are obtained by the formula

$$x_n + y_n\sqrt{D} = (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{D})^n,$$

where  $x_1, y_1$  is the fundamental solution of  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$  and where  $n$  runs through all natural numbers.