

## ON A RESULT INVOLVING ITERATED EXPONENTIATION

R. M. STERNHEIMER

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973

(Submitted July 1986)

In connection with recent work by M. Creutz and myself involving iterated exponentiation [1], [2], [3], e.g., the function

$$f(x) = x^{x^{\cdot^{\cdot^{\cdot^x}}}}, \quad (1)$$

with an infinite number of  $x$ 's, I have noticed an interesting property when only a finite number  $n$  of  $x$ 's is considered.

I will now consider the bracketing  $\alpha$  for  $n = 4$ . This is defined as

$$F_{4,\alpha}(x) \equiv x^{[x^{(x^x)}]} = {}_4x. \quad (2)$$

In a Brookhaven National Laboratory Report [4], I have given a more extensive discussion of the present results (see, in particular, Table 1 of [4]). Obviously, when  $x > 2$ , the function  $F_{4,\alpha}(x)$  has a large numerical value. As an example, we consider

$$F_{4,\alpha}(5) = 5^{[5^{(5^5)}]} = 5^{(5^{3125})}. \quad (3)$$

Now we find

$$5^{3125} \simeq 10^{2184.281} = 1.910 \times 10^{2184}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$2184.281 = 5^5 \log_{10} 5 = (3125)(0.69897). \quad (5)$$

From equations (3)-(5), one obtains

$$F_{4,\alpha}(5) = 5^{(10^{2184.281})} = 5^{1.910 \times 10^{2184}} \quad (6)$$

A seemingly paradoxical result is obtained if we express  $F_{4,\alpha}(5)$  as a power of 10. Thus, we find the exponent

$$\begin{aligned} \log_{10} [5^{(10^{2184.281})}] &= 10^{2184.281} \log_{10} 5 = 0.69897 \times 1.910 \times 10^{2184} \\ &= 1.335 \times 10^{2184} = 10^{2184.125}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

---

*This manuscript has been authored under contract number DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the U.S. Department of Energy. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.*

## ON A RESULT INVOLVING ITERATED EXPONENTIATION

which leads to the result

$$E_{4,\alpha}(5) = 10^{(10^{2184.125})}, \quad (8)$$

showing [by comparison with (6)] that the exponent in the parentheses is hardly changed in going from a power of 5 to a power of 10.

To clarify this result, we consider the equation

$$x^{(10^y)} = 10^{(10^{y'})}, \quad (9)$$

which defines  $y'$ , where in the present case  $x = 5$  and  $y = 2184.281$ . To derive the relationship between  $y'$  and  $y$ , we take the logarithms of both sides of (9). This gives

$$10^y \log_{10} x = 10^{y'}, \quad (10)$$

By taking the logarithms of both sides of this equation, we obtain

$$y' = y + \log_{10} \log_{10} x. \quad (11)$$

For the case discussed above, it can be readily verified that  $\log_{10} \log_{10} 5 = -0.1555$ , leading to the results in (6) and (8), since  $0.281 - 0.125 = 0.156$ , which is clearly consistent with the value of  $\log_{10} \log_{10} 5 = -0.1555$  obtained above. It is of interest that the correction to  $y$ , namely  $\log_{10} \log_{10} x$ , is independent of the value of  $y$ .

To make the above results more believable, note that the *ratio* of the two powers of 10 involved in (6) and (7) above is given by

$$R = 10^{2184.281} / 10^{2184.125} = 10^{0.156} = 1.432. \quad (12)$$

Thus, the very large exponent  $10^{2184.125}$  is multiplied by 1.432 in going from  $x = 10$  to  $x = 5$ . This is a very considerable increase. As a result, we write

$$5^{1.432 \times 10^{2184.125}} = 10^{10^{2184.125}}, \quad (13)$$

which is essentially correct because  $5^{1.432} = 10.02$ . (The small apparent discrepancy of 0.02 is due to rounding errors.)

As a final comment, I note that, if I had used  $x = 1.1$  (instead of 5.0), with the correction  $\log_{10} \log_{10} 1.1 = -1.383$ , and  $y' = 2184.125 + 1.383 = 2185.508$ , I would have obtained

$$10^{10^{2184.125}} = 1.1^{10^{2185.508}}, \quad (14)$$

since  $10^{1.383} = 24.15$  and  $1.1^{24.15} \approx 10$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Dr. Michael Creutz for helpful discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

## ON A RESULT INVOLVING ITERATED EXPONENTIATION

### REFERENCES

1. R.M. Sternheimer. "On a Set of Non-Associative Functions of a Single Positive Real Variable." Brookhaven Informal Rpt. PD-128; BNL-23081 (June 1977).
2. M. Creutz & R.M. Sternheimer. "On the Convergence of Iterated Exponentiation—I." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 18, no. 4 (1980):341-347.
3. M. Creutz & R.M. Sternheimer. "On the Convergence of Iterated Exponentiation—II." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 19, no. 4 (1981):326-335.
4. R.M. Sternheimer. "On a Set of Non-Associative Functions of a Single Positive Variable." Brookhaven National Laboratory Rpt. BNL-38485 (July 1986).

◆◆◆◆