

# A BRACKET FUNCTION TRANSFORM AND ITS INVERSE

**H. W. Gould**

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506

(Submitted September 1992)

The object of this paper is to present a bracket function transform together with its inverse and some applications. The transform is the analogue of the binomial coefficient transform discussed in [2]. The inverse form will be used to give a short proof of an explicit formula in [1] for  $R_k(n)$ , the number of compositions of  $n$  into exactly  $k$  relatively prime summands.

**Theorem 1—Bracket Function Transform:** Define

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] A_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d|j} A_d, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n A_n, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n S_n. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{S}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \frac{x^n}{1-x^n}. \quad (4)$$

**Proof:** We need the fact that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^{n-k} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^k)}, \quad k \geq 1, |x| < 1, \quad (5)$$

which is easily proved and is the bracket function analogue of the binomial series

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x)^k}, \quad k \geq 1, |x| < 1. \quad (6)$$

Relations (5) and (6) were exhibited and applied in [1] for the purpose of establishing some number theoretic congruences.

By means of (5) we may obtain the proof of (4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] A_k &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k x^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k A_k \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^k)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Note that (4) does not turn out as nicely as the corresponding result in [2] because we now have  $1-x^k$  instead of  $(1-x)^k$ , which is the striking difference between (5) and (6). As a result,

we are not able to express  $\mathcal{S}(x)$  as some function multiplied times  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  as we did in [2]. Nevertheless, the result does express  $\mathcal{S}$  in terms of  $A$  instead of  $S$ .

Transform (1) may next be inverted by use of the Möbius inversion theorem, but this requires some care. Here is how we do it:

$$S(n) - S(n-1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] A_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{n-1}{k} \right] A_k,$$

or just

$$S(n) - S(n-1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k} \right] \right\} A_k. \tag{7}$$

However,

$$\left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k} \right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k|n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \nmid n, \end{cases}$$

so that we find the relation

$$S(n) - S(n-1) = \sum_{d|n} A_d, \tag{8}$$

which may be inverted at once by the standard Möbius theorem to get

$$A(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \{S(d) - S(d-1)\}. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that the steps may be reversed and we may, therefore, enunciate the bracket function inversion pair as

**Theorem 2—Bracket Function Inverse Pair:**

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] A_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d|j} A_d \tag{10}$$

if and only if

$$A(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \{S(d) - S(d-1)\}. \tag{11}$$

This inversion pair is the dual of the familiar binomial coefficient pair

$$S(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} A_k \tag{12}$$

if and only if

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} S(k). \tag{13}$$

Sometimes it will be convenient to restate the pair (10)-(11) as

**Theorem 3:**

$$f(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \frac{n}{j} \right] g(j, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{d|j} g(d, k)$$

if and only if

$$g(n, k) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \{f(d, k) - f(d-1, k)\}. \tag{15}$$

We will apply this form of our inversion theorem to give a short proof of a formula in [1]. In that paper the expansion

$$\binom{n}{k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ \frac{n}{j} \right] R_k(j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{d|j \\ d \geq k}} R_k(d) \tag{16}$$

was first proved, where  $R_k(n)$  = the number of compositions of  $n$  into exactly  $k$  relatively prime positive summands, i.e., the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation  $n = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_k$  where  $1 \leq a_i \leq n$  and  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k) = 1$ .

Applying (14)-(15) to this, we obtain

$$R_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \left\{ \binom{d}{k} - \binom{d-1}{k} \right\} = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \binom{d-1}{k-1},$$

which proves the desired formula for  $R_k(n)$ .

The series (11) may be restated in the form

$$A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k^n S(k), \tag{17}$$

but it is awkward to give a succinct expression for the  $H_k^n$  coefficients. To obtain these numbers, however, we may proceed as follows. From (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) S(d) - \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) S(d-1) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) S(d) - \sum_{(d+1)|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d+1}\right) S(d) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{d} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k} \right] \right\} \mu\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) S(k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{k+1} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k+1} \right] \right\} \mu\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right) S(k) - \mu(n) S(0) \end{aligned}$$

so that we have the following explicit formula for the  $H$  coefficients:

$$H_k^n = \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k} \right] \right\} \mu\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) - \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{k+1} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-1}{k+1} \right] \right\} \mu\left(\frac{n}{k+1}\right) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n. \tag{18}$$

Ordinarily,  $S(0)$  from (1) has the value 0; however, it is often convenient to modify (1) and define

$$S(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] A_k \tag{19}$$

so that  $S(0) = 1$ . With this train of thought in mind, we present a table of  $H_k^n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $n = 0(1)18$ , so that the table may be used for either situation. Thus, the 0-column in the array will be given by  $-\mu(n)$ , but with  $H_0^0 = 1$ .

A way to check the rows in the table of values of  $H_k^n$  is by the formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^n H_k^n = \mu(n) \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \tag{20}$$

which, in a sense, gives a new representation of the Möbius function. The proof is very easy. In expression (11) of Theorem 2, just choose  $S(n) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This makes  $A(n) = \mu(n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . But then, by relation (17), we have result (20) immediately.

**A Table of the Numbers  $H_k^n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $n = 0(1)18$**

$n$																			
0	1																		
1	-1	1																	
2	1	-2	1																
3	1	-1	-1	1															
4	0	1	-1	-1	1														
5	1	-1	0	0	-1	1													
6	-1	2	0	-1	0	-1	1												
7	1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	1											
8	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	-1	1										
9	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	1									
10	-1	2	-1	0	1	-1	0	0	0	-1	1								
11	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1							
12	0	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	1						
13	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1					
14	-1	2	-1	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1				
15	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1				
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1			
17	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1		
18	0	0	-1	1	0	1	-1	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	1	
$k =$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18

If we adopt the convention that  $H_0^n = -\mu(n)$ , but with  $H_0^0 = 1$ , then (20) may be reformulated to say that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n H_k^n = 0, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{21}$$

The author wishes to acknowledge helpful comments by the referee, especially some corrections to the table of values of the  $H$  coefficients.

**REFERENCES**

1. H. W. Gould. "Binomial Coefficients, the Bracket Function, and Compositions with Relatively Prime Summands." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **2.4** (1964):241-60.
2. H. W. Gould. "Series Transformations for Finding Recurrences for Sequences." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **28.2** (1990):166-71.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11A25, 11B83, 05A19

