

A PERFECT CUBOID IN GAUSSIAN INTEGERS

W. J. A. Colman

Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of East London
(Submitted November 1992)

1. A perfect cuboid (if such exists) has rational integral sides $x, y,$ and $z,$ with $xyz \neq 0,$ such that the four equations

$$x^2 + y^2 = u^2, \quad x^2 + z^2 = v^2, \quad y^2 + z^2 = w^2, \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \ell^2 \quad (1.1)$$

are satisfied for rational integers $u, v, w,$ and $\ell.$ No such perfect cuboids are known, but their nonexistence has not been demonstrated. It is known that any six of the quantities $x, y, z, u, v, w,$ and ℓ can be integral and that, in this case, an infinity of solutions exist (see [1] and [2]). We shall use the word "cuboid" in this case even when any square quantity is negative, and refer to the cuboid as nonreal, following Leech [2]. For example:

$$x = 63, \quad y = 60, \quad z^2 = -3344, \quad u = 87, \quad v = 25, \quad w = 16, \quad \text{and} \quad \ell = 65.$$

In this paper, a parametric solution will be determined that has two integral sides x and y (say), integral face diagonals $u, v,$ and $w,$ and integral internal diagonal $\ell.$ The third side z will, in general, be irrational or complex. However, by a suitable choice of the parameters, a perfect cuboid in Gaussian integers results that satisfies the requirement that $xyz \neq 0.$

2. From the equations above, we have that

$$2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 2\ell^2. \quad (2.1)$$

The equation $u^2 + v^2 + w^2 = 2\ell^2$ has the four-parameter solution

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 2(mt + mn + st - sn), \\ v &= 2ms + 2nt + n^2 + s^2 - m^2 - t^2, \\ w &= 2ms - 2nt + n^2 - s^2 + m^2 - t^2, \\ \ell &= m^2 + n^2 + s^2 + t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into equations (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= (m^2 + n^2 + s^2 + t^2)^2 - (2ms - 2nt + n^2 - s^2 + m^2 - t^2)^2, \\ y^2 &= (m^2 + n^2 + s^2 + t^2)^2 - (2ms + 2nt + n^2 + s^2 - m^2 - t^2)^2, \\ z^2 &= (m^2 + n^2 + s^2 + t^2)^2 - (2(mt + mn + st - sn))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equations give

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 4(m^2 + n^2 + ms - nt)(s^2 + t^2 - ms + nt), \\ y^2 &= 4(n^2 + s^2 + ms + nt)(m^2 + t^2 - ms - nt). \end{aligned}$$

Let us put $m = ab, n = ac, s = -cd,$ and $t = bd,$ then $ms + nt = 0$ and

$$y^2 = 4(a^2c^2 + c^2d^2)(a^2b^2 + b^2d^2) = 4c^2b^2(a^2 + d^2)^2.$$

Hence, $y = 2bc(a^2 + d^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= 4(a^2b^2 - 2abcd + a^2c^2)(c^2d^2 + 2abcd + b^2d^2) \\ &= 4a^2d^2 \left(b^2 - \frac{2bcd}{a} + c^2 \right) \left(b^2 + \frac{2abc}{d} + c^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$b^2 - \frac{2bcd}{a} + c^2 = e^2 \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$b^2 + \frac{2abc}{d} + c^2 = f^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Putting $b^2 = 2bcd/a$ or $ab = 2cd$ in (2.2) and substituting in (2.3) gives $b^2 + 5c^2 = f^2$. In which case, $x = 2adcf$ and $z^2 = (a^2b^2 + c^2d^2 + a^2c^2 + b^2d^2)^2 - 4(ab(ac + bd) + cd(ac - bd))^2$. Therefore, we have the following parametric solution in which x, y, u, v, w , and d are all integral:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 2adcf, \\ y &= 2bc(a^2 + d^2), \\ z^2 &= ((a^2 + d^2)(b^2 + c^2))^2 - 4(ab(ac + bd) + cd(ac - bd))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $b^2 + 5c^2 = f^2$ and $ab = 2cd$ with $a \neq d$; otherwise, $z^2 = 0$.

We can tidy up this solution as follows: The equation $b^2 + 5c^2 = f^2$ has the solution

$$b = 5\alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad c = 2\alpha\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad f = 5\alpha^2 + \beta^2.$$

The equation $ab = 2cd$ or $a(5\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 4\alpha\beta d$ can be satisfied if $a = 4\alpha\beta$ and $d = 5\alpha^2 - \beta^2$. The solution can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 16\alpha^2\beta^2(25\alpha^4 - \beta^4), \\ y &= 4\alpha\beta(5\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(25\alpha^4 + 6\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4), \\ z^2 &= (25\alpha^4 + 6\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4)^2(25\alpha^4 - 6\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4)^2 \\ &\quad - 16\alpha^2\beta^2(5\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2(25\alpha^4 + 14\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2$, we have

$$x = 576, \quad y = 520, \quad z^2 = 618849,$$

which is the smallest real cuboid with one irrational edge (see [2]).

If $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 3$, we have

$$x = 63, \quad y = 60, \quad z^2 = -3344,$$

which is the smallest cuboid (nonreal) in this category, according to Leech [2].

3. Looking at the form for z^2 in (2.4), we see that we cannot choose positive integral α and β to make

$$16\alpha^2\beta^2(5\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2(25\alpha^4 + 14\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4)^2 \tag{3.1}$$

zero. But we can put $25\alpha^4 - 6\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4 = 0$ (say) to give

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{3 \pm 4i}{25}.$$

Putting $\alpha^2 = 3 \pm 4i$ and $\beta^2 = 25$, we get $\alpha = 2 \pm i$ and $\beta = 5$. This gives, after cancelling common real factors

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 96 \pm 28i = 4(24 \pm 7i), \\y &= 72 \pm 21i = 3(24 \pm 7i), \\z &= 35 \mp 120i = 5(7 \mp 24i),\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 4, & y &= 3, & z &= \mp 5i, \\x^2 + y^2 &= (5)^2, \\x^2 + z^2 &= (3i)^2, \\y^2 + z^2 &= (4i)^2, \text{ and} \\x^2 + y^2 + z^2 &= (0)^2.\end{aligned}$$

This is clearly so for the following Pythagorean values

$$x = 2pq, \quad y = p^2 - q^2, \quad \text{and} \quad z = i(p^2 + q^2).$$

Hence, according to the original definition, since $xyz \neq 0$, we have a perfect cuboid in Gaussian integers.

It would be interesting to know if it is possible to have a solution in Gaussian integers such that $xyzuvw \neq 0$.

REFERENCES

1. W. J. A. Colman. "On Certain Semi-Perfect Cuboids." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **26.2** (1988):54-57.
2. J. Leech. "The Rational Cuboid Revisited." *Amer. Math. Monthly* **84** (1977):518-33.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11D09

