

# ON DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION BELOW THE LAGRANGE CONSTANT

**Edward B. Burger and Jonathan M. Todd**

Department of Mathematics, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267

(Submitted May 1998-Final Revision April 1999)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For an irrational real number  $\alpha$ , the *Lagrange* (often called the *Markoff*) constant for  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu(\alpha)$ , is defined by

$$\mu(\alpha) = \liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} q \|\alpha q\|,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the distance to the nearest integer function (see [3], although there the Lagrange constant is defined to be  $\mu(\alpha)^{-1}$ ). Thus, for any  $c$ ,  $0 < c < \mu(\alpha)$ , it follows that there are only finitely many positive integer solutions  $q$  to the inequality

$$q \|\alpha q\| < c. \tag{1.1}$$

We define  $\lambda(\alpha)$  by  $\lambda(\alpha) = \inf_{q > 0} q \|\alpha q\|$ .

Given  $\alpha$ , two natural and fundamental problems are to compute  $\lambda(\alpha)$  and, for a given  $c$ ,  $\lambda(\alpha) < c < \mu(\alpha)$ , to explicitly determine the complete set of solutions to (1.1). In a series of three papers ([8], [9], [10]), Winley, Tognetti, and Van Ravenstein address these problems for the case in which  $\alpha$  equals a *generalized golden ratio*  $\varphi_a$ , that is,

$$\alpha = \varphi_a = \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4}}{2},$$

where  $a$  is a positive integer. Not surprisingly, their solution involves generalized Fibonacci numbers. We write  $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_n(a)$  for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  *generalized Fibonacci number*. That is,  $\mathcal{F}_0 = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 = 1$  and, for  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n = a\mathcal{F}_{n-1} + \mathcal{F}_{n-2}$ . Using a well-known connection between  $\mu(\alpha)$  and the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$  (see [3]), one can, for these generalized golden ratios, explicitly compute  $\mu(\varphi_a) = 1/\sqrt{a^2 + 4}$ . Given this, we may state the main result of Winley et al. [10] as

**Theorem 1:** For a positive integer  $a$ ,  $\lambda(\varphi_a) = a/\varphi_a^2$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda(\varphi_a) < c \leq 1/\sqrt{a^2 + 4}$ , an integer  $q > 0$  is a solution to

$$q \|\varphi_a q\| < c \tag{1.2}$$

if and only if  $q = \mathcal{F}_{2m-1}$ , where  $m$  is any positive integer satisfying

$$1 - c\sqrt{a^2 + 4} < \varphi_a^{-4m}. \tag{1.3}$$

The key to the proof of Theorem 1 is the observation that the numerators and denominators of the convergents of  $\varphi_a$ , which are the generalized Fibonacci numbers, enjoy a simple second-order recurrence relation.

In this paper we extend Theorem 1 to arbitrary real quadratic irrationals. Fundamental to our method is an important, but not widely known, result on the arithmetical structure of the sequences of numerators and denominators of the convergents of quadratic irrationals. In

particular, each sequence may be partitioned into a finite number of simple second-order linear recurrence sequences all of which satisfy the same recurrence relation. We state this result explicitly as Theorem 3 in Section 2. A pleasant consequence of this result is a very simple method for computing the Lagrange constant for quadratic irrationals. This fundamental result is stated in Section 4 as Lemma 7.

As our generalization of inequality (1.3) for arbitrary quadratic irrationals requires the coefficients occurring in the recurrence relations given in Theorem 3, we postpone stating our main results, Theorems 5 and 6, until Section 3. However, below we illustrate our results with a simple extension of Theorem 1. We recall that the continued fraction expansion for  $\varphi_a$  is  $[a, a, a, \dots] = [\bar{a}]$ . Thus, it is natural to next examine quadratic irrationals having a purely periodic continued fraction expansion of period length 2. In particular, we consider

$$\alpha(a, b) = \frac{ab + \sqrt{a^2b^2 + 4ab}}{2b} = [a, b].$$

It follows by either a direct calculation or an application of Lemma 7, that

$$\mu(\alpha(a, b)) = \frac{\min\{a, b\}}{b(\alpha(a, b) - \bar{\alpha}(a, b))},$$

where  $\bar{\alpha}(a, b)$  denotes the algebraic conjugate of  $\alpha(a, b)$ . If we let  $p_n/q_n$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  convergent of  $\alpha(a, b)$ , then the following is a special case of Theorem 5.

**Theorem 2:** For positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ , let  $\alpha = \alpha(a, b)$ . Then  $\lambda(\alpha) = \min\{b^2\bar{\alpha} + b, \mu(\alpha)\}$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda(\alpha) < c < \mu(\alpha)$ , an integer  $q > 0$  is a solution to  $q\|\alpha q\| < c$  if and only if  $q = q_{2n+1}$ , where  $n \geq 0$  is any integer satisfying

$$((ab + 2)(1 + b\bar{\alpha}) - 1)^n < ((ab + 2)(1 + b\alpha) - 1)(1 - c(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})).$$

**Acknowledgment:** The authors wish to thank Professor T. W. Cusick for his useful comments regarding this work.

## 2. RECURRENCE SEQUENCES AND QUADRATIC IRRATIONALS

For a real number  $\alpha$ , we denote its simple continued fraction expansion by  $[a_0, a_1, \dots]$ . We define the sequence of *convergents*,  $p_n/q_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , by  $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$ , where  $\gcd(p_n, q_n) = 1$ . If we declare  $p_{-2} = 0$ ,  $p_{-1} = 1$ , and  $q_{-2} = 1$ ,  $q_{-1} = 0$ , then it follows that, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$  and  $q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$ . By the well-known result of Lagrange,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is a quadratic irrational if and only if the continued fraction expansion for  $\alpha$  is eventually periodic (see [4]). For the remainder of this paper,  $\alpha$  is assumed to be a real quadratic irrational and thus we may denote its continued fraction as

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}].$$

Hence, for each  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , we have

$$p_{Tn+t+k} = a_{t+k} p_{Tn+t+k-1} + p_{Tn+t+k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{Tn+t+k} = a_{t+k} q_{Tn+t+k-1} + q_{Tn+t+k-2}$$

for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We will require the following result which shows that the sequences  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  may be partitioned into  $T$  simple second-order linear recurrence sequences all satisfying

the same recurrence relation. Such a result was also noted by Cusick [2] and again by van der Poorten [7] (see the related work of Kiss [5]), and is of some independent interest (see [1]).

**Theorem 3:** If

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}] \text{ and } \mathbf{P}(\alpha) = [\overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}],$$

then, for each  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Tn+t+k} &= \omega(\alpha)p_{T(n-1)+t+k} + (-1)^{T+1}p_{T(n-2)+t+k}, \\ q_{Tn+t+k} &= \omega(\alpha)q_{T(n-1)+t+k} + (-1)^{T+1}q_{T(n-2)+t+k}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , where the constant  $\omega(\alpha) = p_{T-1}^* + q_{T-2}^*$  and  $p_n^*/q_n^*$  denotes the  $n^{\text{th}}$  convergent of  $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ . Furthermore, if  $\tau_1 = \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$  and  $\tau_2 = \overline{\mathbf{P}(\alpha)}$ , then  $\tau_1 > 1$ ,  $\tau_1\tau_2 = (-1)^T$  and, for each  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , there exist real numbers  $u_t, v_t, r_t, s_t$ , with  $r_t > 0$ , such that  $p_{Tn+t+k} = u_t\tau_1^n + v_t\tau_2^n$  and  $q_{Tn+t+k} = r_t\tau_1^n + s_t\tau_2^n$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

As it is difficult to find a proof of Theorem 3 in the literature, we include one here. We begin with the following elementary but useful lemma from linear algebra. The authors wish to thank the referee for suggesting the following elegant proof of Lemma 4.

**Lemma 4:** Let  $A, B, C, D$  be nonnegative integers satisfying  $AD - BC = (-1)^T$  for some fixed integer  $T$ . If the sequences of integers  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}, \{d_n\}$  are defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^n,$$

then each of the four sequences,  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}, \{c_n\}$ , and  $\{d_n\}$ , satisfies the same second-order linear recurrence relation. In particular,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{n+1} & b_{n+1} \\ c_{n+1} & d_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = (A+D)\begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^{T+1}\begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & d_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

for  $n \geq 2$ ,

**Proof:** If we write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

then we note that the characteristic polynomial associated with  $M$  is given by

$$\det(M - \mathbf{1}_2 x) = x^2 - (A+D)x + AD - BC,$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_2$  denotes the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, a matrix is a zero of its associated characteristic polynomial. Specifically, we have

$$M^2 = (A+D)M - (AD - BC)\mathbf{1}_2.$$

Multiplying the previous identity by  $M^{n-1}$  yields

$$M^{n+1} = (A+D)M^n - (AD - BC)M^{n-1}.$$

In view of our assumption that  $AD - BC = (-1)^T$ , the above equality becomes

$$M^{n+1} = (A + D)M^n + (-1)^{T+1}M^{n-1},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

**Proof of Theorem 3:** It is enough to prove that the identities in (2.1) hold, as the subsequent assertions of the theorem follow from (2.1) and the basic theory of linear recurrences. We first prove (2.1) in the case when  $t = 0$  and then indicate how to modify the argument for  $t \geq 1$ .

By a well-known correspondence between partial quotients and convergents, if  $p_n/q_n = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

(see, for example, [6]). Thus, given that  $\mathbf{P}(\alpha) = [\overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}]$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+T-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{T-1}^* & p_{T-2}^* \\ q_{T-1}^* & q_{T-2}^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

where we recall that  $p_n^*/q_n^*$  denotes the  $n^{\text{th}}$  convergent of  $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ . Hence, as  $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$  has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion of period length  $T$ , we see that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn-1}^* & p_{Tn-2}^* \\ q_{Tn-1}^* & q_{Tn-2}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{T-1}^* & p_{T-2}^* \\ q_{T-1}^* & q_{T-2}^* \end{pmatrix}^n.$$

Taking determinants of both sides of (2.3), we see  $p_{T-1}^*q_{T-2}^* - p_{T-2}^*q_{T-1}^* = (-1)^T$ . Therefore, we may apply Lemma 4 and deduce that, for all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn-1}^* & p_{Tn-2}^* \\ q_{Tn-1}^* & q_{Tn-2}^* \end{pmatrix} = \omega(\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} p_{T(n-1)-1}^* & p_{T(n-1)-2}^* \\ q_{T(n-1)-1}^* & q_{T(n-1)-2}^* \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^{T+1} \begin{pmatrix} p_{T(n-2)-1}^* & p_{T(n-2)-2}^* \\ q_{T(n-2)-1}^* & q_{T(n-2)-2}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\omega(\alpha) = p_{T-1}^* + q_{T-2}^*$ .

Finally, turning our attention to the partial quotients of  $\alpha$ , we note that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn+k} & p_{Tn+k-1} \\ q_{Tn+k} & q_{Tn+k-1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} a_{k-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} a_{k+T-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^n \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1} & p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-1} & q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{T-1}^* & p_{T-2}^* \\ q_{T-1}^* & q_{T-2}^* \end{pmatrix}^n \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1} & p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-1} & q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn-1}^* & p_{Tn-2}^* \\ q_{Tn-1}^* & q_{Tn-2}^* \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the pair  $(p_{Tn+k}, q_{Tn+k})$  is a nonsingular, linear transformation of  $(p_{Tn-1}^*, q_{Tn-1}^*)$ . Hence, both  $p_{Tn+k}$  and  $q_{Tn+k}$  each must satisfy the same second-order linear recurrence enjoyed by  $p_{Tn-1}^*$  and  $q_{Tn-1}^*$ . Specifically, for all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Tn+k} &= \omega(\alpha)p_{T(n-1)+k} + (-1)^{T+1}p_{T(n-2)+k}, \\ q_{Tn+k} &= \omega(\alpha)q_{T(n-1)+k} + (-1)^{T+1}q_{T(n-2)+k}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem when  $t = 0$ .

For  $t \geq 1$ , we note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn+t+k} & p_{Tn+k+t-1} \\ q_{Tn+t+k} & q_{Tn+k+t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1} & p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-1} & q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{Tn-1}^* & p_{Tn-2}^* \\ q_{Tn-1}^* & q_{Tn-2}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{t-1}^* & p_{t-2}^* \\ q_{t-1}^* & q_{t-2}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since both the first and third matrices appearing on the right-hand side of this identity are independent of  $n$ , we see that  $p_{Tn+t+k}$  and  $q_{Tn+t+k}$  are each linear combinations of  $p_{Tn-1}^*$ ,  $p_{Tn-2}^*$ ,  $q_{Tn-1}^*$ , and  $q_{Tn-2}^*$ . Thus,  $p_{Tn+t+k}$  and  $q_{Tn+t+k}$  must satisfy the same linear recurrence as  $p_{Tn-1}^*$ ,  $p_{Tn-2}^*$ ,  $q_{Tn-1}^*$ , and  $q_{Tn-2}^*$ . This fact establishes (2.1) for any  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , and completes the proof.

### 3. OUR MAIN RESULTS ON DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION

Given  $\alpha$  as in Theorem 3, it will be convenient to define several new but natural constants that will allow us explicitly to determine  $\lambda(\alpha)$ . For each  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , we let  $d_t = r_t v_t - s_t u_t$  and define

$$\lambda_t(\alpha) = \begin{cases} |d_t| \left(1 + \frac{s_t}{r_t}\right), & \text{if } s_t < 0; \\ |d_t|, & \text{if } s_t > 0 \text{ and } T \text{ is even;} \\ |d_t| \left(1 - \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^2\right), & \text{if } s_t > 0 \text{ and } T \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We now state our main result in the case when the continued fraction expansion for  $\alpha$  is purely periodic.

**Theorem 5:** Suppose that  $\alpha = \overline{[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{T-1}]}$ ;  $r_t$  and  $s_t$  are as in Theorem 3, and  $d_t$  and  $\lambda_t(\alpha)$  are as defined above. Then  $\lambda(\alpha) = \min\{\lambda_t(\alpha) : 0 \leq t \leq T-1\}$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda(\alpha) < c < \mu(\alpha)$ , an integer  $q > 0$  is a solution to

$$q \|\alpha q\| < c \tag{3.1}$$

if and only if  $q = q_{Tn+t}$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ ,  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda_t(\alpha) < c$ , and  $n \geq 0$  satisfies

$$\frac{r_t}{|s_t|} \left(1 - \frac{c}{|d_t|}\right) < \bar{\alpha}^{2n}. \tag{3.2}$$

We remark that upon first inspection it may appear undesirable to have  $n$  occur in the bound  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t \leq 0$ . However, as  $T$  and  $t$  are known, it is only the *parity* of  $n$  that is necessary in computing this inequality. Hence, given  $c$  and  $t$ , one needs to find all even integers  $n$  that satisfy the conditions of the theorem and then all such odd integers. That is, implicit in the inequalities of Theorem 5 are the cases of  $n$  even and  $n$  odd.

Plainly, if the continued fraction expansion for the quadratic irrational  $\alpha$  is not purely periodic, then there is no control on the size of the partial quotients occurring before the period; thus, one must examine each of the associated convergents individually. In particular, if

$$\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}],$$

then there may be solutions to (3.1) among the first  $k$  convergents. With this unavoidable possibility understood, one has

**Theorem 6:** Suppose that  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}]$ ;  $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ ,  $r_t$ ,  $s_t$  are all as in Theorem 3, and  $d_t$  and  $\lambda_t(\alpha)$  are as defined above. Let  $\lambda_*(\alpha) = \min\{\lambda_t(\alpha) : 0 \leq t \leq T-1\}$ . Then

$$\lambda(\alpha) = \min\{\lambda_*(\alpha), q_n \|\alpha q_n\| : 0 \leq n < k\}.$$

Moreover, for  $\lambda_*(\alpha) < c < \mu(\alpha)$ , an integer  $q \geq q_k$  is a solution to

$$q \|\alpha q\| < c \tag{3.3}$$

if and only if  $q = q_{Tn+t+k}$ , where  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ ,  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t \leq 0$ ,  $\lambda_t(\alpha) < c$ , and  $n \geq 0$  satisfies

$$\frac{r_t}{|s_t|} \left(1 - \frac{c}{|d_t|}\right) < \overline{\mathbf{P}(\alpha)^{2n}}.$$

As an illustration, we return to  $\alpha = \varphi_a = [\overline{a}]$ . In this case, we have  $T = 1$  and may verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \varphi_a, & \tau_2 &= \overline{\varphi_a}, & r_0 &= \frac{\varphi_a}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}, & s_0 &= \frac{-\overline{\varphi_a}}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}, \\ u_0 &= \frac{a\varphi_a+1}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}, & v_0 &= \frac{-a\overline{\varphi_a}-1}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}, & \text{and thus } d_0 &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $s_0 > 0$  and  $T$  is odd, it follows that

$$\lambda(\varphi_a) = \lambda_0(\varphi_a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+4}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-a + \sqrt{a^2+4}}{a + \sqrt{a^2+4}}\right) \frac{1}{\varphi_a^2}\right) = \frac{\varphi_a^2 - 1}{\varphi_a^3} = \frac{a}{\varphi_a^2},$$

as was stated in Theorem 1. We assume now that  $\lambda(\varphi_a) < c < \mu(\varphi_a)$ . For  $q_n$  to be a solution to (3.1), we must have  $n > 0$  odd. If we write  $n = 2m - 1$ , then (3.2) becomes

$$\frac{\varphi_a}{\varphi_a} (1 - c\sqrt{a^2+4}) < \overline{\varphi_a^{4m-2}}.$$

Noting that  $\varphi_a \overline{\varphi_a} = -1$  and  $\varphi_a / |\overline{\varphi_a}| = \varphi_a^2$ , the previous inequality is seen to be equivalent to

$$1 - c\sqrt{a^2+4} < \varphi_a^{-4m}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, all the solutions to (3.1) are given by  $q = q_{2m-1}$ , where  $m > 0$  satisfies (3.4) which, in view of the fact that  $q_{2m-1} = \mathcal{F}_{2m-1}$ , yields the result of Winley, Tognetti, and Van Ravenstein.

**An Illustrative Collection of Examples:** We briefly consider various numbers  $\alpha$  equivalent to  $\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2} = [1, 2]$ . For all such numbers, it follows that  $\mu(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ .

1. Let  $\alpha = [1, 2]$ . It follows that  $\lambda_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} = \mu(\alpha) \approx .288$  and  $\lambda_1(\alpha) = 4 - 2\sqrt{3} \approx .535$ , so  $\lambda(\alpha) = \mu(\alpha)$ . Hence, there are no solutions to (3.1) for any  $c$ ,  $0 < c \leq \mu(\alpha)$ .

2.  $\alpha = [2, 1] = 1 + \sqrt{3}$ . We find that  $\lambda_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  and  $\lambda_1(\alpha) = 2 - \sqrt{3} \approx .267$ . Thus, there are no solutions to (3.1) for  $0 < c \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}$ ; and for  $2 - \sqrt{3} < c < \mu(\alpha)$  we have that  $q_{2n+1}$  is a solution to (3.1) for all integers  $n \geq 0$  satisfying  $(7 + 4\sqrt{3})(1 - c2\sqrt{3}) < (7 - 4\sqrt{3})^n$ .

3. Let  $\alpha = [3, 3, 2, 1] = \frac{38-\sqrt{3}}{11}$ . For  $t = 1$ , we have  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t = s_1 = \frac{30-17\sqrt{3}}{6} > 0$ . Thus, for  $t = 1$ ,  $q_{Tn+t+k}$  is not a solution to (3.3). For  $t = 0$ , we note that  $\lambda_0(\alpha) = \frac{91-49\sqrt{3}}{11} \approx .557 > \mu(\alpha) > c$ . Thus, there are no solutions to (3.3) in this case either. A straightforward calculation shows that

$q_0 = 1$  and  $q_1 = 3$  are never solutions to (3.3). Hence, for this  $\alpha$ , there are no solutions to (3.3) for any  $c < \mu(\alpha)$ .

4. Let  $\alpha = [3, 3, \overline{1, 2}] = 5 - \sqrt{3}$ . As in the previous example,  $s_1 = \frac{33-19\sqrt{3}}{6} \approx .015 > 0$  so there are no solutions to (3.3) for  $t = 1$ . Here,  $\lambda_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \approx .577$ ,  $\lambda_0(\alpha) = 28 - 16\sqrt{3} \approx .287$ ; hence,  $\lambda_*(\alpha) = 28 - 16\sqrt{3}$ , while  $\lambda(\alpha) = 1 \|\alpha\| = 2 - \sqrt{3} \approx .267$ . Therefore, after a calculation, we conclude that solutions to (3.3) for  $28 - 16\sqrt{3} < c < \mu(\alpha)$  are  $p_{2n+2}/q_{2n+2}$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$  satisfying  $(97 + 56\sqrt{3})(1 - c2\sqrt{3}) < (7 - 4\sqrt{3})^n$ . We also note that  $q_0 = 1$  is the only solution to (3.1) for  $0 < c \leq 28 - 16\sqrt{3}$ .

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREMS 5 AND 6

Before proceeding with our proof, we recall some elementary facts from the theory of continued fractions (see [4] or [6] for details). For an irrational real number  $\alpha$ , the convergents  $p_n/q_n$  satisfy

$$\alpha - \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n(\alpha_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1})}, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\alpha_n = [a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots]$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  complete quotient. We recall Hurwitz's celebrated result that  $\mu(\alpha) \leq 1/\sqrt{5}$  and Legendre's theorem that any rational solution  $p/q$  to

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2q^2},$$

must be a convergent of  $\alpha$ .

We will make use of the following basic lemma which may be of some independent interest.

**Lemma 7:** Suppose that  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+T-1}}]$  and  $\tau_1, \tau_2, u_t, v_t, r_t, s_t$  are all as in Theorem 3. For each  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , let  $d_t = r_t v_t - s_t u_t$ . Then  $\alpha = u_t/r_t$  for each  $t$  and

$$\mu(\alpha) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq T-1} \{|d_t|\}.$$

**Proof:** By Theorem 3 we have, for each  $t$ ,

$$\frac{p_{Tn+t+k}}{q_{Tn+t+k}} = \frac{u_t \tau_1^n + v_t \tau_2^n}{r_t \tau_1^n + s_t \tau_2^n} = \frac{u_t + v_t (\tau_2 / \tau_1)^n}{r_t + s_t (\tau_2 / \tau_1)^n}.$$

In view of the fact that  $|\tau_2 / \tau_1| < 1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the above identity becomes  $\alpha = u_t / r_t$ .

Next, we observe that

$$\mu(\alpha) = \liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} q \|\alpha q\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n |\alpha q_n - p_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n+k} |\alpha q_{n+k} - p_{n+k}|.$$

Finally, the first part of this lemma, together with a simple calculation, reveals

$$\begin{aligned} q_{Tn+t+k} |\alpha q_{Tn+t+k} - p_{Tn+t+k}| &= \left| \left( \frac{u_t}{r_t} \right) (r_t \tau_1^n + s_t \tau_2^n)^2 - (u_t \tau_1^n + v_t \tau_2^n) (r_t \tau_1^n + s_t \tau_2^n) \right| \\ &= \left| (-1)^{Tn} (s_t u_t - r_t v_t) + \tau_2^{2n} \left( s_t^2 \left( \frac{u_t}{r_t} \right) - s_t v_t \right) \right| = |d_t| \left| (-1)^{Tn+1} - \left( \frac{s_t}{r_t} \right) \tau_2^{2n} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\tau_2| < 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{Tn+t+k} |\alpha q_{Tn+t+k} - p_{Tn+t+k}| = |d_t|;$$

hence,

$$\mu(\alpha) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq T-1} \{|d_t|\},$$

as desired.

**Proof of Theorems 5 and 6:** In view of the results of Hurwitz and Legendre, it is clear that any solution  $q$  to (3.3) for  $0 < c < \mu(\alpha)$  must be a denominator of a convergent. We thus consider an arbitrary convergent  $p_m/q_m$  and, for a fixed  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , we examine all  $m$  such that  $m - k \equiv t \pmod T$ . That is, we write  $m = Tn + t + k$ .

Plainly,  $q_{Tn+t+k}$  is a solution of (3.3) if and only if

$$0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p_{Tn+t+k}}{q_{Tn+t+k}} \right| < \frac{c}{q_{Tn+t+k}^2}.$$

In view of Theorem 3, identity (4.1), and the fact that  $\alpha = u_t/r_t$ , the above is equivalent to

$$0 < (-1)^{Tn+t+k} d_t \left( (-1)^{Tn+1} - \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^{2n} \right) < c,$$

which may be simplified to

$$0 < (-1)^{t+k+1} d_t \left( 1 + (-1)^{Tn} \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^{2n} \right) < c. \tag{4.2}$$

We note that the left side of the inequality (4.2) holds for all choices of  $n$ . Therefore, if we let  $n$  approach infinity, then as  $|\tau_2| < 1$ , we conclude that  $(-1)^{t+k+1} d_t > 0$ . Thus, (4.2) becomes

$$0 < |d_t| \left( 1 + (-1)^{Tn} \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^{2n} \right) < c.$$

The right side of this inequality holds if and only if

$$(-1)^{Tn} \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^{2n} < \frac{c}{|d_t|} - 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, as  $c < \mu(\alpha)$ , Lemma 6 reveals  $c < |d_t|$ . Thus, the upper bound in (4.3) is negative. Since both  $\tau_2^{2n}$  and  $r_t$  are positive, there are no solutions to (4.3) if  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t > 0$ . Thus,  $n$  is a solution to (4.3) if and only if  $(-1)^{Tn} s_t \leq 0$  and  $n$  satisfies (3.2).

Finally, we show that, for each  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T-1$ , there are no solutions  $q \geq q_k$  to (3.3) for  $c \leq \lambda_t(\alpha)$ . As we have already seen,

$$q_{Tn+t+k} \|\alpha q_{Tn+t+k}\| = |d_t| \left( 1 + (-1)^{Tn} \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^{2n} \right). \tag{4.4}$$

If  $s_t < 0$ , then as  $0 < \tau_2^2 < 1$ , (4.4) is minimized when  $n = 0$ . If  $s_t > 0$  and  $T$  is even, the infimum of (4.4),  $|d_t|$ , is approached from above as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, if  $s_t > 0$  and  $T$  is odd, it is easy to see that (4.4) is minimized when  $n = 1$ , thus giving a minimum value of



$$|d_t| \left( 1 - \frac{s_t}{r_t} \tau_2^2 \right).$$

These observations yield

$$\lambda_t(\alpha) = \inf_{n \geq 0} \{ q_{Tn+t+k} \| \alpha q_{Tn+t+k} \| \}.$$

Hence, it follows that  $\lambda(\alpha) = \min \{ \lambda_*(\alpha), q_n \| \alpha q_n \| : 0 \leq n < k \}$ , and there are no solutions to (3.3) for  $c \leq \lambda_t(\alpha)$  and  $q \geq q_k$ . This observation completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. B. Burger. "On Real Quadratic Number Fields and Simultaneous Diophantine Approximation." *Monatshefte für Math.* **128** (1999):201-09.
2. T. W. Cusick. Private notes from 1919, personal communication.
3. T. W. Cusick & M. E. Flahive. *The Markoff and Lagrange Spectra*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **30**. Providence, RI: A.M.S., 1989.
4. G. H. Hardy & E. M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993.
5. P. Kiss. "On Second Order Recurrences and Continued Fractions." *Bull. of the Malaysian Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1982):33-41.
6. A. J. van der Poorten. "An Introduction to Continued Fractions." In *Diophantine Analysis*, pp. 99-138. Ed. J. H. Loxton & A. J. van der Poorten. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **109**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.
7. A. J. van der Poorten. "Recurrence Sequences, Continued Fractions and the HQT." In *Proc. of the 23rd Annual Iranian Math. Conference*. Bakhtaran, 1992. Preprint.
8. T. Van Ravenstein, G. Winley, & K. Tognetti. "A Property of Convergents to the Golden Mean." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **23.2** (1985):155-57.
9. G. Winley, K. Tognetti, & T. Van Ravenstein. "A Property of Numbers Equivalent to the Golden Mean." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **25.2** (1987):171-73.
10. G. Winley, K. Tognetti, & T. Van Ravenstein. "Hurwitz's Theorem and the Continued Fraction with Constant Terms." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **27.5** (1989):420-23.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11J04, 11A55

