A COMPOSITE OF GENERALIZED MORGAN-VOYCE POLYNOMIALS

Gospava B. Djordjević

University of Niš, Faculty of Technology, 16000 Leskovac, Yugoslavia (Submitted December 1998-Final Revision January 2000)

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we shall study a class of polynomials $\{R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x)\}\)$, where r and u are integers, n and m are nonnegative integers. The polynomials $\{R_{n,1}^{(r,u)}(x)\}\)$ and $\{R_{n,2}^{(r,u)}(x)\}\)$ were studied in [2]. Furthermore, the class of polynomials $\{R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x)\}\)$ involve a great number of known polynomials. Some of these polynomials are (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n,1}^{(0,1)}(x) &= b_{n+1}(x), \\ R_{n,1}^{(1,1)}(x) &= B_{n+1}(x), \\ R_{n,1}^{(2,1)}(x) &= c_{n+1}(x), \\ R_{n,1}^{(0,2)}(x) &= C_n(x), \\ R_{n,1}^{(0,0)}(x) &= xB_n(x), \\ R_{n,2}^{(r,0)}(x) &= \phi_n(2, -1; x) \text{ (see [3])} \\ R_{n,m}^{(r,1)}(x) &= P_{n,m}^{(r)}(x) \text{ (see [4])}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_n(x)$ and $b_n(x)$ are Morgan-Voyce polynomials (see [1]). In this paper we also consider the sequence of numbers $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(2)\}$.

2. POLYNOMIALS $\{R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$

First, we define the polynomials $\{R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$ by the following recurrence relation:

$$R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = 2R_{n-1,m}^{(r,u)}(x) - R_{n-2,m}^{(r,u)}(x) + xR_{n-m,m}^{(r,u)}(x), \ n \ge m,$$
(2.1)

with

$$R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = (n+1)r + u, \ n = 0, 1, \dots, m-2, \ R_{m-1,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = mr + u + x.$$
(2.2)

From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$R_{m,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = u + (m+1)r + (2+u+r)x,$$

$$R_{m+1,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = u + (m+2)r + (3+3u+4r)x,$$

$$R_{m+2,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = u + (m+3)r + (4+6u+10r)x, \dots$$
(2.3)

Hence, we see that there is a sequence of numbers $\{c_{n,k}^{(r,u)}\}$ such that

$$R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[(n+1)/m]} c_{n+1,k}^{(r,u)} x^k, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $c_{n,k}^{(r,u)} = 0$ for k < 0 or k > [(n+1)/m].

NOV.

If we take x = 0 in (2.4), then we have

$$R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(0) = c_{n+1,0}^{(r,u)}.$$
(2.5)

Furthermore, from (2.1), (2.2), and (2.4), we get

$$c_{n+1,k}^{(r,u)} = 2c_{n,0}^{(r,u)} - c_{n-1,0}^{(r,u)}, \ n \ge 1, m \ge 2,$$
(2.6)

with

$$c_{0,0}^{(r,u)} = u + r, \ c_{1,0}^{(r,u)} = u + 2r.$$
(2.7)

The solution of the difference equation (2.6), using (2.7), is

$$c_{n,0}^{(r,u)} = u + (n+1)r, \ n \ge 0.$$
(2.8)

Again from (2.1) and (2.4), we have

$$c_{n,k}^{(r,u)} = 2c_{n-1,k}^{(r,u)} - c_{n-2,k}^{(r,u)} + c_{n-m,k-1}^{(r,u)}, \quad k \ge 1, n \ge m.$$
(2.9)

3. COEFFICIENTS $c_{n,k}^{(r,u)}$

The main purpose in this section is to determinate the coefficients $\{c_{n,k}^{(r,u)}\}\$ for $k \ge 1$. First of all, we shall write the coefficients $\{c_{n,k}^{(r,u)}\}\$ in the following form:

$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	•••
0	r+u	• • •	• • •	
1	2r+u	•••	•••	•••
2	3r+u	•••	•••	•••
:		•	÷	:
<i>m</i> – 1	mr + u	1	•••	•••
т	(m+1)r + u	2 + u + r	•••	•••
<i>m</i> +1	(m+2)r+u	3 + 3u + 4r		•••
<i>m</i> +2	(m+3)r + u	4 + 6u + 10r	• • •	•••
÷		:	:	÷

TABLE 1

Now we shall prove the following theorem, using induction.

Theorem 3.1: The coefficients $c_{n,k}^{(r,u)}$ are given by

$$c_{n+1,k}^{(r,u)} = u \binom{n - (m-2)k}{2k} + r \binom{n+1 - (m-2)k}{2k+1} + \binom{n - (m-2)k}{2k-1},$$
(3.1)

where $n \ge 0$ and $0 \le k \le [(n+1)/m]$.

Proof: For n = 0, 1, ..., m-2, we obtain k = 0. Then, from (2.8), we see that (3.1) is true. We shall assume that (3.1) is true for $n (n \ge 1)$. Then, by (2.9) and (3.1), we get

$$c_{n,k}^{(r,u)} = 2c_{n-1,k}^{(r,u)} - c_{n-2,k}^{(r,u)} + c_{n-m,k-1}^{(r,u)}$$

= $\alpha_{n,k} + r\beta_{n,k} + u\gamma_{n,k},$ (3.2)

2000]

where

$$\alpha_{n,k} = 2\binom{n-1-(m-2)k}{2k-1} - \binom{n-2-(m-2)k}{2k-1} + \binom{n-m-(m-2)(k-1)}{2k-3},$$

$$\beta_{n,k} = 2\binom{n-(m-2)k}{2k+1} - \binom{n-1-(m-2)k}{2k+1} + \binom{n+1-m-(m-2)(k-1)}{2k-1},$$

$$\gamma_{n,k} = 2\binom{n-1-(m-2)k}{2k} - \binom{n-2-(m-2)k}{2k} + \binom{n-m-(m-2)(k-1)}{2k-2}.$$

(3.3)

Using the known equality $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k}$, by equalities (3.3) we have

$$\alpha_{n,k} = \binom{n - (m-2)k}{2k - 1}, \ \beta_{n,k} = \binom{n + 1 - (m-2)k}{2k + 1}, \ \gamma_{n,k} = \binom{n - (m-2)k}{2k}.$$
 (3.4)

Hence, (3.1) is true for all $n \ge 0$.

Corollary 3.1: If *m* = 1, then (3.1) becomes (see [2], eq. (2.11)):

$$c_{n+1,k}^{(r,u)} = \binom{n+k}{2k-1} + r\binom{n+k+1}{2k+1} + u\binom{n+k}{2k}.$$

Corollary 3.2: If m = 2 and n is even, then by (3.1) we have (see [2], eq. (6.3)):

$$c_{n/2+1,n/2}^{(r,u)} = u + r + n.$$
(3.5)

Using the standard methods, from (2.1) and (2.2), we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2: The polynomials $\{R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$, for $m \ge 2$, possess the following generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_{n,m}^{(r,u)}(x) t^n = \frac{u+r-ut+xt^{m-1}}{1-2t+t^2-xt^m}.$$
(3.6)

Corollary 3.3: For m = 2 in (3.6), we get the generating function of the polynomials $\{R_n^{(r,u)}(x)\},\$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{(r,\,u)}(x) t^n = \frac{u+r+(x-u)t}{1-2t+t^2(1-x)},\tag{3.7}$$

(see [2]).

The Binet Form for $R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x)$

For m = 3 in (2.1) and (2.2), we get the polynomials $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$ such that

$$R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x) = 2R_{n-1,3}^{(r,u)}(x) - R_{n-2,3}^{(r,u)}(x) + xR_{n-3,3}^{(r,u)}(x), \ n \ge 3,$$
(3.8)

with

$$R_{0,3}^{(r,u)}(x) = r + u, \ R_{1,3}^{(r,u)}(x) = 2r + u, \ R_{2,3}^{(r,u)}(x) = 3r + u + x.$$
(3.9)

Using the known methods, by (3.8) and (3.9), we find the Binet form for $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$. That is, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3: The Binet form for $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x)\}$ is given by

$$R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(x) = C_1 \lambda_1^n + C_2 \lambda_2^n + C_3 \lambda_3^n, \qquad (3.10)$$

[NOV.

where

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} (\alpha(x) + \beta(x)),$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{3} (\alpha(x) + \varepsilon_{1}\beta(x)),$$

$$\lambda_{3} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{3} (\varepsilon_{1}\alpha(x) + \beta(x)),$$

(3.11)

and

$$\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{\Delta(x) + \sqrt{\Delta(x)^2 - 4}}{2}\right)^{1/3},$$

$$\beta(x) = \left(\frac{\Delta(x) - \sqrt{\Delta(x)^2 - 4}}{2}\right)^{1/3},$$

$$\Delta(x) = 27x - 2,$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{-1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2},$$

(3.12)

for $(\Delta(x))^2 - 4 \ge 0$.

The coefficients C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are the solutions of the equation

$$\lambda^3 - 2\lambda^2 + \lambda - x = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

with starting values (3.9). Namely, we get

$$C_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}x + (r+u)(\lambda_{i}^{2} + x - \lambda_{i}) + r\lambda_{i}^{2}}{2x + \lambda_{i}^{3} - \lambda_{i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(3.14)

4. SEQUENCE OF NUMBERS

The sequence of numbers $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(1)\}$ was studied in [2]. In this section we shall consider the sequence of numbers $\{R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(2)\}$. Namely, for m = 3 and x = 2, from (2.1) and (2.2), we get the following difference equation,

$$a_{n+3} = 2a_{n+2} - a_{n+1} + 2a_n, \ n \ge 0,$$
(4.1)

with

$$a_0 = r + u, \ a_1 = 2r + u, \ a_2 = 3r + u + 2,$$
 (4.2)

where $R_{n,3}^{(r,u)}(2) \equiv a_n$.

The characteristic equation for (4.1) is

$$\lambda^3 - 2\lambda^2 + \lambda - 2 = 0, \qquad (4.3)$$

whose roots are

$$\lambda_1 = 2, \ \lambda_2 = i, \ \lambda_3 = -i,$$
 (4.4)

with

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 2, \ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 1, \ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 = 2.$$
(4.5)

Hence, we have the following representation:

$$a_n = C_1 \cdot 2^n + C_2 \cdot i^n + C_3 \cdot (-i)^n.$$
(4.6)

2000]

From (4.6) and (4.2), we get the following system of linear equations:

$$C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3} = r+u,$$

$$2C_{1}+i \cdot C_{2}-i \cdot C_{3} = 2r+u,$$

$$4C_{1}-C_{2}-C_{3} = 3r+u+2,$$

$$= \frac{2}{5}(2r+u+1),$$

whose solutions are

$$C_{1} = \frac{2}{5}(2r + u + 1),$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{10}(r + 3u - 2 - i(2r + u - 4)),$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{1}{10}(r + 3u - 2 + i(2r + u - 4)).$$
(4.7)

Hence, from (4.6), it follows that

$$a_n = \frac{2^{n+1}}{5}(2r+u+1) + \frac{i^n}{10}(r+3u-2-i(2r+u-4)) + \frac{(-i)^n}{10}(r+3u-2+i(2r+u-4)).$$
(4.8)

Using (4.8), we find that

$$a_{4n} = \frac{1}{5} (2^{4n+1}(2r+u+1)+r+3u-2),$$

$$a_{4n+1} = \frac{1}{5} (2^{4n+2}(2r+u+1)+2r+u-4),$$

$$a_{4n+2} = \frac{1}{5} (2^{4n+3}(2r+u+1)-r-3u+2),$$

$$a_{4n+3} = \frac{1}{5} (2^{4(n+1)}(2r+u+1)-2r-u+4).$$
(4.9)

Remark: It is interesting to consider a generalized numerical sequence $\{R_{n,m}(2^{m-2})\}, m \ge 2$, For example, if m = 4, we have

$$R_{n,4}(4) = C_1(-1)^n + C_2 2^n + C_3 \left(\frac{1+i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^n + C_4 \left(\frac{1-i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^n,$$

where the coefficients C_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, are the solutions of the following system:

$$\begin{split} &C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4 = r + u, \\ &-C_1 + 2C_2 + \frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2}C_3 + \frac{1 - i\sqrt{7}}{2}C_4 = 2r + u, \\ &C_1 + 4C_2 + C_3 \left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^2 + C_4 \left(\frac{1 - i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^2 = 3r + u, \\ &-C_1 + 8C_2 + C_3 \left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^3 + C_4 \left(\frac{1 - i\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)^3 = 4(r + 1) + u. \end{split}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very grateful to the anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions concerning the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. André-Jeannin. "A Generalization of Morgan-Voyce Polynomials." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **32.3** (1994):228-31.
- 2. A. F. Horadam. "A Composite of Morgan-Voyce Generalizations." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **35.3** (1997):233-39.
- 3. G. B. Djordjević. "On a Generalization of a Class of Polynomials." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **36.2** (1998):110-17.
- 4. G. B. Djordjević. "Polynomials Related to Morgan-Voyce Polynomials." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **37.1** (1999):61-66.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 26A24, 11B83

*** *** ***

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

February 14, 2000

Professor Cooper,

I would like to bring your attention to an error in Paul S. Bruckman's article entitled "On the Degree of the Characteristic Polynomial of Powers of Sequences," *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **38.1** (2000):35-38. In particular, the following counterexample illustrates the error. In the notation of the article, let $U_n = 1 + 2^n + 4^n$ with the characteristic polynomial $P_1(z) = (z-1)(z-2)(z-4)$ of degree $R_1 = 3$ with m = 3 roots. The main theorem then predicts

$$R_3 = \binom{5}{3} = 10.$$

However, on expanding $(U_n)^3$ we get $(U_n)^3 = 1+3*2^n+6*4^n+7*8^n+6*16^n+3*32^n+64^n$ with a characteristic polynomial of degree only 7, namely, $P_3(z) = (z-1)(z-2)(z-4)(z-8)(z-16)(z-32)(z-64)$.

The particular reasoning error in Bruckman's article revolves around the assumption that the products of the powers of the original eigenvalues are all distinct, indicated implicitly in the equation for $P_k(z)$ right before equation (7) on page 36 of the article. I brought attention to this issue in my recent article in your quarterly, noting that *if each root has a unique prime divisor that distinguishes it from the other roots*, then the final order of the power $(U_n)^k$ can be determined easily.

Regrettably, the general result stated in Bruckman's paper is erroneous.

For your careful consideration.

Adam Stinchcombe Adirondack Community College 640 Bay Road Queensbury, NY 12804