

# SYMBIOTIC NUMBERS ASSOCIATED WITH IRRATIONAL NUMBERS

Clark Kimberling

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Evansville, 1800 Lincoln Ave., Evansville, IN 47722

E-mail: ck6@evansville.edu

(Submitted August 1999-Final Revision November 2000)

## INTRODUCTION

The *upper symbiotic number*  $\mathcal{U}(R_1, R_2)$  of two linearly-ordered sets  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is here introduced as the greatest number of elements of  $R_1$  that lie strictly between consecutive elements of  $R_2$ . Similarly, the *lower symbiotic number*  $\mathcal{L}(R_1, R_2)$  is the least number of elements of  $R_1$  that lie strictly between consecutive elements of  $R_2$ . Henceforth in this paper, we shall consider only upper symbiotic numbers. We are interested in pairs of positive irrational numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  for which  $\mathcal{U}(R_1, R_2)$  is finite, where  $R_2 = \{i + j\alpha : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ ,  $R_1 = R_2 + \gamma$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes the non-negative integers.

Let  $s_n = i_n + j_n\alpha$  be the sequence obtained by arranging the elements of  $R_2$  in increasing order. The main objective of this study can now be indicated specifically by this question: If  $\gamma$  is rationally independent of  $\alpha$ , what is the greatest number of numbers of the form  $i + j\alpha + \gamma$  that lie between consecutive numbers  $i_n + j_n\alpha$  and  $i_{n+1} + j_{n+1}\alpha$ ? The special case  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , along with some possibly new appearances of Fibonacci numbers, are considered in Example 1 and just after Theorem 3.

## 1. CONVERGENTS AND THE SEQUENCE $s$

First, we recall the notation of continued fractions: Write  $\alpha = \llbracket a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots \rrbracket$ ,

$$p_{-2} = 0, \quad p_{-1} = 1, \quad p_i = a_i p_{i-1} + p_{i-2},$$

and

$$q_{-2} = 1, \quad q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_i = a_i q_{i-1} + q_{i-2},$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . The numbers  $a_i$ , for  $i \geq 0$ , are the *partial quotients* of  $\alpha$ , and the rational numbers  $p_i/q_i$ , for  $i \geq -2$ , are the *principal convergents* of  $\alpha$ . For all nonnegative integers  $i$  and  $j$ , define

$$p_{i,j} = jp_{i+1} + p_i \quad \text{and} \quad q_{i,j} = jq_{i+1} + q_i. \quad (1)$$

The fractions  $p_{i,j}/q_{i,j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ , are the  $i^{\text{th}}$  *intermediate convergents* of  $\alpha$ , and for  $1 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 2$ ,

$$\dots < \frac{p_i}{q_i} < \dots < \frac{p_{i,j}}{q_{i,j}} < \frac{p_{i,j+1}}{q_{i,j+1}} < \dots < \frac{p_{i+2}}{q_{i+2}} < \dots < \alpha \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\dots > \frac{p_i}{q_i} > \dots > \frac{p_{i,j}}{q_{i,j}} > \frac{p_{i,j+1}}{q_{i,j+1}} > \dots > \frac{p_{i+2}}{q_{i+2}} > \dots > \alpha \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \quad (3)$$

Note that, if  $j = a_{i+2}$  in (1), then  $p_{i,j} = p_{i+2}$  and  $q_{i,j} = q_{i+2}$ . This extension of the range of  $j$  will enable certain proofs to cover simultaneously the two cases,  $1 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$  and  $j = a_{i+2}$ .

Let  $s$  denote the sequence whose terms,  $s_n = i_n + j_n\alpha$ , for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are as given in the Introduction. A difference  $|p - q\alpha|$  first occurs at  $s_n$  if

$$s_n - s_{n-1} = |p - q\alpha| \quad \text{and} \quad s_m - s_{m-1} \neq |p - q\alpha| \tag{4}$$

for all  $m < n$ , and *last occurs at*  $s_n$  if conditions (4) hold for all  $m > n$ . (In these definitions,  $p/q$  need not be a convergent to  $\alpha$ .)

Define  $\Delta_1 = s_1 - s_0$ . Let  $n_2$  be the least  $n$  such that  $s_n - s_{n-1} \neq \Delta_1$ , and let  $\Delta_2 = s_{n_2} - s_{n_2-1}$ . Continue inductively, so that  $n_h$  is, for each  $h \geq 2$ , the least  $n$  such that  $s_n - s_{n-1}$  is not among the numbers  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{h-1}$ , and  $\Delta_h = s_{n_h} - s_{n_h-1}$ .

It will be helpful to provide single indexing for the doubly-indexed numbers  $p_{ij}$  and  $q_{ij}$ , as follows. Let  $P_j = p_{0,j}$  and  $Q_j = q_{0,j}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, a_2 - 1$ , and for  $w = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$P_{a_2+a_3+\dots+a_{w+1}+j} = p_{w,j} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{a_2+a_3+\dots+a_{w+1}+j} = q_{w,j}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, a_{w+2} - 1$ . Below,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  represents the greatest integer  $\leq x$ , and the *fractional part of*  $x$  is given by  $((x)) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 1:** Suppose  $i$  is even,  $0 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative integers such that  $0 < -p + q\alpha < -p_j + q_j\alpha$ ; then  $q \geq q_{i,j+1}$  if  $j < a_{i+2} - 1$ , and  $q \geq q_{i+2}$  if  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ . Suppose  $i$  is odd,  $0 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative integers such that  $0 < p - q\alpha < p_j - q_j\alpha$ ; then  $p \geq p_{i,j+1}$  if  $j < a_{i+2} - 1$ , and  $p \geq p_{i+2}$  if  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ .

**Proof:** In case  $i$  is even,  $p_j/q_j$  is a best lower approximate to  $\alpha$ , as proved in [1], so that  $q > q_j$ . There are two cases:

**Case 1:**  $j < a_{i+2} - 1$ . Here,  $p_{i,j+1}/q_{i,j+1}$  is a best lower approximate to  $\alpha$ ; since  $q > q_j$ , we have  $q \geq q_{i,j+1}$ .

**Case 2:**  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ . Here,  $p_{i+2}/q_{i+2}$  is a best lower approximate to  $\alpha$ ; since  $q > q_j$ , we have  $q \geq q_{i+2}$ .

If  $i$  is odd, then  $p_j/q_j$  is a best upper approximate, and the asserted inequalities follow.  $\square$

**Lemma 2:** The differences  $\Delta_h$  are given in three cases:

**Case 1:**  $\alpha < 1$ . Here,  $\Delta_h = |P_{h-1} - Q_{h-1}\alpha|$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Case 2:**  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) > 1/2$ . Here,  $\Delta_1 = 1$  and  $\Delta_h = |P_{h-2} - Q_{h-2}\alpha|$  for  $h = 2, 3, \dots$ .

**Case 3:**  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) < 1/2$ . In this case,  $\Delta_1 = 1$ ,  $\Delta_2 = ((\alpha))$ ,

$$\Delta_h = 1 - (((h-2)\alpha)) \quad \text{for } h = 3, 4, \dots, a_1 + 1,$$

and  $\Delta_h$  has the form  $|P_k - Q_k\alpha|$  for all  $h \geq a_1 + 2$ .

**Proof:** First, suppose  $i$  is an even nonnegative integer. It is easy to check that (3) implies

$$\dots > -p_{i0} + q_{i0}\alpha > -p_{i1} + q_{i1}\alpha > \dots > -p_{i, a_{i+2}-1} + q_{i, a_{i+2}-1}\alpha \tag{5}$$

and (2) implies

$$\dots > p_{i+1,0} - q_{i+1,0}\alpha > p_{i+1,1} - q_{i+1,1}\alpha > \dots > p_{i+1, a_{i+3}-1} - q_{i+1, a_{i+3}-1}\alpha; \tag{6}$$

in the former case, for example, (3) implies  $p_{i+1} > q_{i+1}\alpha$ , so that

$$(j+1)p_{i+1} + p_i - (jp_{i+1} + p_i) > ((j+1)q_{i+1} + q_i - (jq_{i+1} + q_i))\alpha,$$

i.e.,  $p_{i,j+1} - p_{ij} > (q_{i,j+1} - q_{ij})\alpha$ , so that  $-p_{ij} + q_{ij}\alpha > -p_{i,j+1} + q_{i,j+1}\alpha$  as desired in (5); chain (6) likewise follows from (2).

Since  $p_{i+2}/q_{i+2} < \alpha < p_{i+3}/q_{i+3}$ , we also have

$$-p_{i,a_{i+2}-1} + q_{i,a_{i+2}-1}\alpha > p_{i+1,0} - q_{i+1,0}\alpha \tag{7}$$

and

$$p_{i+1,a_{i+3}-1} - q_{i+1,a_{i+3}-1}\alpha > -p_{i+2,0} + q_{i+2,0}\alpha. \tag{8}$$

Inequalities (5)-(8) are clearly equivalent to the chain

$$((\alpha)) = -p_0 + q_0\alpha = |P_0 - Q_0\alpha| > |P_1 - Q_1\alpha| > |P_2 - Q_2\alpha| > \dots \tag{9}$$

The numbers  $p_{ij}/q_{ij}$ , alias  $P_h/Q_h$ , comprise the complete set of best lower and upper approximates to  $\alpha$ . Consequently, any difference  $\Delta_h$  not included in chain (9) must exceed  $((\alpha))$ . We consider the following cases:

**Case 1:**  $\alpha < 1$ . Clearly  $s_1 = \alpha$ , so that  $\Delta_1 = s_1 - s_0 = \alpha = ((\alpha))$ . No difference  $\Delta_h$  can exceed  $\Delta_1$  since, for any  $s_n = u + v\alpha$  where  $v \geq 1$ , we have

$$s_n - s_{n-1} \leq s_n - (u + (v-1)\alpha) = ((\alpha)),$$

and, for  $s_n = u + 0\alpha$ , we have

$$s_n - s_{n-1} \leq s_n - ((u-1) + \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \alpha) = 1 - \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \alpha < \alpha.$$

Thus,  $\Delta_h = |P_{h-1} - Q_{h-1}\alpha|$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Case 2:**  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) > 1/2$ . Write  $m = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ . Then  $s_i = i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $s_{m+1} = \alpha$ . Consequently,  $\Delta_1 = 1$  and  $\Delta_2 = \alpha - m = ((\alpha))$ . Write  $m = s_m$  and  $\alpha = s_{m+1}$ , and, for any  $n \geq m$ , write  $s_n = u + v\alpha$ . If  $u \geq m$ , then

$$s_{n+1} - s_n \leq u - m + (v+1)\alpha - s_n = ((\alpha)),$$

whereas, if  $u < m$ , then

$$s_{n+1} - s_n \leq u + m + 1 + (v-1)\alpha - s_n = m + 1 - \alpha = 1 - ((\alpha)) < ((\alpha)).$$

Thus,  $\Delta_h < \Delta_2$  for all  $h \geq 3$ , so that  $\Delta_h = |P_{h-2} - Q_{h-2}\alpha|$  for  $h = 2, 3, \dots$ .

**Case 3:**  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) < 1/2$ . As in Case 2, clearly  $\Delta_1 = 1$  and  $\Delta_2 = \alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor = ((\alpha))$ . It is easy to check that  $((j\alpha)) = j((\alpha))$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, a_1 = \lfloor 1/(\alpha - a_0) \rfloor$ .

We seek conditions under which terms  $j\alpha$  and  $\lfloor 1 + j\alpha \rfloor$  are not consecutive in  $s$ : suppose  $j\alpha < u + v\alpha < \lfloor 1 + j\alpha \rfloor$ . Equivalently,  $(j-v)\alpha < u < 1 + \lfloor j\alpha \rfloor - v\alpha$ . Such an integer  $u$  exists if and only if  $\lfloor (j-v)\alpha \rfloor < \lfloor 1 + \lfloor j\alpha \rfloor - v\alpha \rfloor$  or, equivalently,  $\lfloor (j-v)\alpha \rfloor < \lfloor j\alpha \rfloor - \lfloor v\alpha \rfloor$ , or yet again,  $((j-v)\alpha) < ((j\alpha)) - ((v\alpha))$ . Since  $0 < j-v < j$ , this last equality, hence the nonexistence of  $u$ , is equivalent to the condition  $j \geq a_1 + 1$ . That is to say, each  $\lfloor 1 + j\alpha \rfloor - j\alpha$ , which is equal to  $1 - ((j\alpha))$ , is one of the differences  $\Delta_h$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, a_1$ , and this fails to be the case for all  $j \geq a_1 + 1$ .

Every difference  $\Delta_h$  is necessarily of the form  $|p - q\alpha|$ . By Lemma 1, if  $|p - q\alpha| < ((\alpha))$ , then  $|p - q\alpha|$  is one of the differences  $\Delta_h$ . We have already seen that in addition to these  $\Delta_h$  are the  $a_1$  numbers  $1 - ((j\alpha))$ , for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, a_1 - 1$ . In order to see that there is no other difference  $\Delta_h$ , we consider two possibilities.

**Case 3.1:**  $p - q\alpha > 0$ . Here,  $|p - q\alpha| = 1 - ((q\alpha))$ , which exceeds  $((\alpha))$  and is a difference  $\Delta_h$  for  $q = 1, 2, \dots, a_1$ , and for no other values, as already proved.

**Case 3.2:**  $-p + q\alpha > 0$ . Here,  $|p - q\alpha| = ((q\alpha))$ . If  $((q\alpha)) > ((\alpha))$ , then for any  $n$  we have  $s_n < s_n + (-\lfloor \alpha \rfloor + \alpha) = s_n + ((\alpha)) < s_n + ((q\alpha))$ , so that  $((q\alpha))$  is not a difference  $\Delta_h$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1:** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a positive irrational number. If  $i$  is even and  $0 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ , then  $|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$  first occurs at  $q_{ij}\alpha$  and last occurs at  $p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$ . If  $i$  is odd and  $0 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ , then  $|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$  first occurs at  $p_{ij}$  and last occurs at  $p_{ij} + p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $i$  is even, and let  $h$  be the index for which  $\Delta_h = |p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$ . Since  $i$  is even,  $\Delta_h = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}\alpha$ . Let  $m$  be the index such that  $s_m = q_{ij}\alpha$ , and suppose that  $\Delta_h$  first occurs at  $s_w = u + v\alpha$ , where  $w < m$ . Then  $s_{w-1} = u + p_{ij} + (v - q_{ij})\alpha$ . Since  $s_{w-1}$  is a term of sequence  $s$ , we have  $v \geq q_{ij}$ , but then  $u + v\alpha \geq q_{ij}\alpha$ , contrary to  $s_w < s_m$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_h$  does not occur before  $s_m$ . Next, we show that

$$s_m - s_{m-1} = \Delta_h. \tag{10}$$

Since  $s_m = q_{ij}\alpha$ , it suffices to prove that  $s_{m-1} = p_{ij}$ . Now  $p_{ij} < q_{ij}\alpha$ , since  $i$  is even. So, suppose, contrary to (10), that  $p_{ij} < s_x = y + z\alpha < q_{ij}\alpha$  for some nonnegative integers  $x, y, z$ . Then  $q_{ij}\alpha - p_{ij} > (q_{ij} - z)\alpha - y > 0$ , but this is untenable because  $p_{ij}/q_{ij}$  is a best lower approxi-mate to  $\alpha$ . Therefore, (10) holds.

Turning now to the last occurrence of  $\Delta_h$ , let  $n$  be the index such that  $s_n = p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$ . Then

$$s_n - \Delta_h = p_{i+1} + p_{ij} - 1 + (q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha,$$

and this is clearly a number in the sequence  $s$ . We must show that, for any difference  $\Delta = |-p_{kl} + Q_{kl}\alpha|$  less than  $\Delta_h$ , the number  $s_n - \Delta$  is not in  $s$ . (By Lemma 2, the only differences  $\Delta$  that need be considered are, in fact, of the form  $|-p_{kl} + q_{kl}\alpha|$ .)

**Case 1:** *Even  $k$ .* Here  $\Delta = -p_{kl} + q_{kl}\alpha$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $q_{kl} \geq q_{i, j+1}$  (which is  $q_{i+1}$  if  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ ). Then

$$s_n - \Delta = p_{i+1} + p_{kl} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1 - 1_{kl})\alpha,$$

and the coefficient of  $\alpha$  is  $\leq q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1 - q_{i, j+1}$ , but since this number is  $-1$ , the number  $s_n - \Delta$  is not in  $s$ .

**Case 2:** *Odd  $k$ .* Here,  $\Delta = p_{kl} - q_{kl}\alpha$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $p_{kl} \geq p_{i, j+1}$  (which is  $p_{i+1}$  if  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ ), and

$$s_n - \Delta = p_{i+1} - p_{kl} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1 + q_{kl})\alpha.$$

Since  $p_{i+1} - p_{kl} - 1 < 0$ , the number  $s_n - \Delta$  is not in  $s$ .

We now know that  $s_n - s_{n-1} = \Delta_h$ . That is,  $\Delta_h$  occurs at  $s_n$ . To see that this location in  $s$  marks the *last* occurrence of  $\Delta_h$ , suppose  $m > n$ . We must show that  $s_m - s_{m-1} < s_n - s_{n-1}$ . Write  $s_m$  as  $u + v\alpha$ ; then one of the following cases holds: (A)  $u \geq p_{i+1}$ ; (B)  $v \geq q_{ij} + q_{i+1}$ .

**Case A:**  *$u \geq p_{i+1}$ .* Here, the number  $u - p_{i+1} + (v + q_{i+1})\alpha$  is a term  $s_{m'}$  in the sequence  $s$ . Since  $-p_{i+1} + q_{i+1}\alpha < 0$ , we have  $s_{m'} = u - p_{i+1} + (v + q_{i+1})\alpha < u + v\alpha = s_m$ , so that  $s_{m'} \leq s_{m-1}$ , and

$$s_m - s_{m-1} \leq s_m - s_{m'} = u + v\alpha - (u - p_{i+1} + (v + q_{i+1})\alpha) = p_{i+1} - q_{i+1}\alpha < s_n - s_{n-1}.$$

**Case B:**  $v \geq q_{ij} + q_{i+1}$ . Here,  $q_{ij} + q_{i+1} = (j+1)q_{i+1} + q_i = q_{i, j+1}$ . Therefore, the number  $u + p_{i, j+1} + (v - q_{i, j+1})\alpha$  is a term  $s_{m'}$ . Since  $p_{i, j+1} - q_{i, j+1}\alpha < 0$ , we have  $s_{m'} \leq s_{m-1}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} s_m - s_{m-1} &\leq s_m - s_{m'} = u + v\alpha - (u + p_{i, j+1} + (v - q_{i, j+1})\alpha) \\ &= -p_{i, j+1} + q_{i, j+1}\alpha < s_n - s_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes a proof for even  $i$ . A proof for odd  $i$  is similar and thus omitted here.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1:** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a positive irrational number. Let  $n = n(h)$  be the index such that  $\Delta_h$  last occurs at  $s_n$ . If  $\alpha < 1$  or  $((\alpha)) > 1/2$ , the sequence  $n(h)$  is strictly increasing. If  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) < 1/2$ , the sequence  $n(a_1 + 2), n(a_1 + 3), n(a_1 + 4), \dots$  is strictly increasing.

**Proof:**

**Case 1:**  $i$  even. Assume first that  $\alpha < 1$ . If  $0 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 2$ , then, by Theorem 1, the difference  $\Delta_h = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}\alpha$  last occurs at  $n_1 = p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$ . By Lemma 2, we obtain  $\Delta_{h+1} = -p_{i, j+1} + q_{i, j+1}\alpha$ , which last occurs at  $n_2 = p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{i, j+1} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$ . Since  $q_{i, j+1} > q_{ij}$ , we have  $n_2 > n_1$ .

If  $j = a_{i+2} - 1$ , then the difference  $\Delta_h = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}\alpha$  last occurs at  $n_1 = p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha$  and, by Lemma 1,  $\Delta_{h+1}$ , namely  $p_{i+1} - q_{i+1}\alpha$ , last occurs at  $p_{i+1} + p_{i+2} - 1 + (q_{i+2} - 1)\alpha$ . We have  $(q_{i, a_{i+2}-1} + q_{i+1})\alpha < p_{i+2} + q_{i+2}\alpha$ , so that

$$p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{i, a_{i+2}-1} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha < p_{i+1} + p_{i+2} - 1 + (q_{i+2} - 1)\alpha,$$

which is to say that the last occurrence of  $-p_{ij} + q_{ij}\alpha$  precedes that of  $p_{i+1,0} - q_{i+1,0}\alpha$ .

Next, assume that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) > 1/2$ . The difference  $\Delta_1 = 1$  occurs at  $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$  and is easily seen not to occur thereafter. Clearly, this last occurrence of  $\Delta_1$  precedes the last appearance of  $\Delta_2 = ((\alpha))$ . The proof given above for the case  $\alpha < 1$  now applies to all  $\Delta_h$  for  $h \geq 2$ .

Finally, assume that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $((\alpha)) < 1/2$ . By Case 3 of Lemma 2 and the method used in Case 1 above, the sequence  $n(a_1 + 2), n(a_1 + 3), n(a_1 + 4), \dots$  is strictly increasing.

**Case 2:**  $i$  odd. A proof much like that for  $i$  even is omitted.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2:** Suppose  $\alpha$  is a positive irrational number and  $i \geq 0$ . If the difference  $|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$  last occurs at  $s_n$ , then the difference  $|p_{i+1} - q_{i+1}\alpha|$  first occurs before  $s_n$ , and no difference less than  $|p_{i+2} - q_{i+2}\alpha|$  first occurs before  $s_n$ .

**Proof:** For the first assertion it suffices, by Theorem 1, to observe that, for even  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$p_{i+1} < p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha,$$

and for odd  $i$ ,

$$q_{i+1}\alpha < p_{ij} + p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha.$$

For the second assertion, first suppose  $i$  is even. The well-known identity  $p_{i+1}q_i - p_iq_{i+1} = 1$  implies that  $a_{i+3}p_iq_{i+1} - p_{i+1}q_i \geq -1$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+2}p_{i+1}q_{i+1}(a_{i+3} - 1) + a_{i+3}p_iq_{i+1} - p_{i+1}q_i + p_{i+1} + q_{i+1} &> 0, \\ q_{i+1}(a_{i+3}(a_{i+2}p_{i+1} + p_i) + 1) &> p_{i+1}(a_{i+2}q_{i+1} + q_i - 1), \\ q_{i+1}(a_{i+3}p_{i+2} + 1) &> p_{i+1}(q_{i+2} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

from which follows

$$\frac{p_{i+3} - p_{i+1} + 1}{q_{i+2} - 1} > \frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} > \alpha$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i+3} &> p_{i+1} - 1 + ((a_{i+2} - 1)q_{i+1} + q_i + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha \\ &\geq p_{i+1} - 1 + (q_{ij} + q_{i+1} - 1)\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and, by Theorem 1, the difference  $p_{i+3} - q_{i+3}\alpha$  first occurs after  $s_n$ .

It is clear from Theorem 1 that, if a difference  $\Delta$  first occurs after the difference  $-p_{i+2} + q_{i+2}\alpha$  first occurs, then either  $\Delta = p_{i+3} - q_{i+3}\alpha$  or else  $\Delta$  first occurs after  $p_{i+3} - q_{i+3}\alpha$  first occurs. We have therefore finished with a proof for even  $i$ . A proof for odd  $i$  involving the inequality

$$\frac{p_{i+2} - 1}{a_{i+3}q_{i+2} + 1} < \alpha$$

is similar and omitted.  $\square$

## 2. THE SPAN OF $\alpha$

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$f(n) = \frac{\max_{k \geq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}}{\min_{k \geq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}}, \tag{11}$$

and define the *span* of  $\alpha$  as

$$s(\alpha) = \sup \{f(n) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

**Theorem 2:** Suppose  $\alpha = [[a_0, a_1, \dots]]$ . Then the span of  $\alpha$  is finite if and only if the partial quotients  $a_i$  are bounded.

**Proof:** Let  $n$  be large enough that the differences  $\Delta_n = s_m - s_{m-1}$  are of the form  $|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$  for all  $m \geq n$ ; this is possible by Lemma 2. Then, in (11), the numerator ranges through differences  $\Delta_n = |p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$ , where  $i \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq a_{i+2} - 1$ . Now suppose  $|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|$  last occurs at  $s_n$  and  $|p_{i+1} - q_{i+1}\alpha|$  last occurs at  $s_{n'}$ . Then  $n' > n$  by Corollary 1.1, and using Corollary 1.2 we find

$$\frac{\max_{k \geq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}}{\min_{k \leq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}} = \frac{|p_{ij} - q_{ij}\alpha|}{\min_{k \leq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}} \leq \frac{|p_i - q_i\alpha|}{\min_{k \leq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}} \leq \frac{|p_i - q_i\alpha|}{\min_{k \leq n'} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}} \leq \frac{|p_i - q_i\alpha|}{|p_{i+2} - q_{i+2}\alpha|},$$

so that

$$s(\alpha) \leq \sup_{i \geq 0} \frac{|p_i - q_i\alpha|}{|p_{i+2} - q_{i+2}\alpha|}. \tag{12}$$

From the standard identity

$$|p_i - q_i\alpha| = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_{i+1}}, \text{ where } r_k := [a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots],$$

we have

$$\frac{|p_i - q_i\alpha|}{|p_{i+1} - q_{i+1}\alpha|} = a_{i+2} + 1/r_{i+3},$$

whence

$$\frac{|p_i - q_i \alpha|}{|p_{i+2} - q_{i+2} \alpha|} = (a_{i+2} + 1/r_{i+3})(a_{i+3} + 1/r_{i+4}),$$

so that

$$s(\alpha) \leq \sup_{i \geq 0} (a_{i+2} + 1)(a_{i+3} + 1). \tag{13}$$

Thus,  $s(\alpha)$  is finite if and only if the partial quotients  $a_j$  are bounded.  $\square$

**Example 1:** In case  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = \llbracket 1, 1, 1, \dots \rrbracket$ , it is easy to verify the following results:

(a) All the convergents are principal convergents, and  $p_i/q_i = F_{i+2}/F_{i+1}$ , where  $F_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, defined by  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ;

(b)  $\Delta_h = |F_h - F_{h-1}\alpha| = \alpha^{-h-1}$  for  $h = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ;

(c)  $\Delta_h$  first occurs at  $s_n$ , where  $n = (F_{h-1} + 1)(F_h + 1)/2$  for  $h = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , and

$$s_n = \begin{cases} F_{h-1}\alpha & \text{if } h \text{ is even and } \geq 2, \\ F_h & \text{if } h \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

(d)  $\Delta_h$  last occurs at  $s_n$ , where

$$n = \begin{cases} F_{h+4}(F_{h+1} - 1)/2 & \text{if } h \text{ is even and } \geq 2, \\ 1 + F_{h+4}(F_{h+1} - 1)/2 & \text{if } h \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_n = \begin{cases} F_{h+1} - 1 + (F_{h+1} - 1)\alpha & \text{if } h \text{ is even and } \geq 2, \\ F_{h+2} - 1 + (F_h - 1)\alpha & \text{if } h \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

(e)  $s(\alpha) = \alpha + 1 \doteq 2.618034$ .

Using the upper bound  $\sup_{i \geq 0} (a_{i+2} + 1/r_{i+3})(a_{i+3} + 1/r_{i+4})$  from the proof of Theorem 3, we have three more examples.

**Example 2:**  $s(\sqrt{2}) = s(\llbracket 1, \bar{2} \rrbracket) \leq \left(2 + \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = 31 - 12\sqrt{3} \doteq 10.2153$ .

**Example 3:**  $s(\sqrt{3}) = s(\llbracket 1, \bar{1}, \bar{2} \rrbracket) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3} + 1}\right)\left(2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3} + 1}\right) = 2 + \sqrt{3} \doteq 3.73205$ .

**Example 4:**  $s(\sqrt{5}) = s(\llbracket 2, \bar{4} \rrbracket) \leq \left(4 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} - 2}\right)^2 = 41 + 12\sqrt{5} \doteq 67.8328$ .

### 3. UPPER SYMBIOTIC NUMBER FOR $\{i + j\alpha + \gamma\}$ AND $\{i + j\alpha\}$

We return now to the problem stated in the Introduction.

**Theorem 3:** Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are positive irrational numbers,  $\gamma$  rationally independent of  $\alpha$ , and suppose  $\alpha$  has bounded partial quotients. Let  $R_2 = \{i + j\alpha : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  and let  $R_1 = R_2 + \gamma$ . Then  $u(R_1, R_2) \leq s(\alpha) + 1$ .

**Proof:** As before, let  $s_n$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  largest number in  $R_2$ , after  $s_0 = 0$ , and assume now that at least one number  $t$  in  $R_1$  lies between  $s_{n-1}$  and  $s_n$ . Let  $t_m$  and  $t_{m+w}$  be the least and greatest such numbers, where  $w \geq 0$ . We seek an upper bound for the number  $w + 1$  of numbers  $t$  between  $s_{n-1}$  and  $s_n$ . Let  $m'$  be the index for which  $t_m = s_{m'} + \gamma$ . The inequalities

$$s_{n-1} < t_m < t_{m+1} < \cdots < t_{m+w} < s_n$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{m+w} - t_m &= (t_{m+1} - t_m) + (t_{m+2} - t_{m+1}) + \cdots + (t_{m+w} - t_{m+w-1}) \\ &= (s_{m'+1} - s_{m'}) + (s_{m'+2} - s_{m'+1}) + \cdots + (s_{m'+w} - s_{m'+w-1}) \\ &\geq w \min_{k \leq n} \{s_{m'+v} - s_{m'+v-1} : 1 \leq v \leq w\} \geq w \min_{k \leq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$w \leq \frac{s_n - s_{n-1}}{\min_{k \leq n} \{s_k - s_{k-1}\}} \leq s(\alpha). \quad \square$$

Experimental sampling suggests that  $\mathcal{U}(R_1, R_2) = 2$  for  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  regardless of the value of  $\gamma$  (as long as  $\gamma$  is positive, irrational, and rationally independent of  $\alpha$ ), and that similar results may hold for other quadratic irrationals. Sampling also suggests, perhaps unsurprisingly in view of the proof of Theorem 3, that  $\mathcal{U}(R_1, R_2)$  may often be considerably less than the span of  $\alpha$ .

We conclude with a particularly easy-to-state related unsolved problem. Let

$$R_2 = \{1, 3, 5, 9, 15, 25, 27, \dots\} = \{3^i 5^j : i \geq 0, j \geq 0\}$$

and let

$$R_1 = \{2, 6, 10, 18, 30, 50, 54, \dots\} = \{2r : r \in R_2\}.$$

Is  $\mathcal{U}(R_1, R_2)$  finite? (For an equivalent formulation of this problem, let  $R_2 = \{i + j\alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha = \log 5 / \log 3$ , and let  $R_1 = \gamma + R_2$ , where  $\gamma = \log 2 / \log 3$ .)

#### REFERENCE

1. Clark Kimberling. "Best Lower and Upper Approximates to Irrational Numbers." *Elemente der Mathematik* 52 (1997):122-26.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11J70, 11A55, 11B37, 11B39

