

# ON THE NUMBER OF PERMUTATIONS WITHIN A GIVEN DISTANCE

O. Krafft and M. Schaefer

Technical University Aachen, Institute of Statistics, 52056 Aachen, Germany

(Submitted September 2000-Final Revision March 2001)

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The set  $\Pi^n$  of all permutations of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ , i.e., of all one-to-one mappings  $\pi$  from  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  onto  $N$ , can be made to a metric space by defining

$$\|\pi = \pi'\| = \max\{|\pi(i) - \pi'(i)| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

This space has been studied by Lagrange [1] with emphasis on the number of points contained in a sphere with radius  $k$  around the identity, i.e.,

$$\varphi(k; n) = |\{\pi \in \Pi^n : |\pi(i) - i| \leq k, 1 \leq i \leq n\}|,$$

where  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

These numbers have been calculated in [1] for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of positive integers. For  $k = 1$ , it is fairly easy to show that  $\varphi(1; n-1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi(1; 0) = 1$ , is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. For  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$ , the enumeration is based on quite involved recurrences. The corresponding sequences are listed in Sloane and Puffle [4] as series M1600 and M1671, respectively.

The main purpose of this note is to supplement these findings by providing a closed formula for  $\varphi(k; n)$  when  $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k+2$ . Note that, for  $n \leq k+1$ , one obviously has  $\varphi(k; n) = n!$ ; thus, the cases  $n \geq 2k+3$ ,  $k \geq 4$ , remain unresolved.

As a by-product, we obtain a formula for the permanent of specially patterned  $(0, 1)$ -matrices. The connection to the problem above is as follows: Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n-1$ , be fixed, and for  $i \in N$ ,  $B_i = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : i-k \leq j \leq i+k\} \cap N$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers.

Then  $\varphi(k; n)$  is the same as the number of systems of distinct representatives for the set  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$ . Defining now for  $i, j \in N$

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in B_i, \\ 0, & j \notin B_i, \end{cases}$$

one has, for the permanent of the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  (cf. Minc [2], p. 31),

$$\text{Per}(A) = \varphi(k; n). \quad (1.1)$$

**Remark:** The recurrence formula for  $\varphi(2; n)$  has also been derived by Minc using properties of permanents (see [2], p. 49, Exercise 16).

The matrix  $A$  defined in this way is symmetric and has, when  $k+2 \leq n \leq 2k+2$ , the block structure

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{m \times m} & 1_{m \times s} & \Delta_{m \times m} \\ 1_{s \times m} & 1_{s \times s} & 1_{s \times m} \\ \Delta_{m \times m}^T & 1_{m \times s} & 1_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $m = n - 1 - k$ ,  $s = 2k + 2 - n$ ,  $1_{a \times b}$  is the  $a \times b$ -matrix with all elements equal to one and  $\Delta_{m \times m}$  is the  $m \times m$ -matrix with zeros on and above the diagonal and ones under the diagonal. For  $n = 2k + 2$ , the second row and column blocks cancel. The matrix  $\Delta_{m \times m}$  has been studied by Riordan ([3], p. 211 ff.) in connection with the rook problem. Riordan proved that the numbers of ways to put  $r$  non-attacking rooks on a triangular chessboard are given by the Stirling number of the second kind. This will be crucial for the calculation of  $\varphi(k; n)$  and of  $\text{Per}(A)$  for matrices  $A$  of a slightly more general structure than that given in (1.2). The results we will prove in Section 2 are as follows: Let  $S_r^n$  denote the Stirling numbers of the second kind, i.e., the number of ways to partition an  $n$ -set into  $r$  nonempty subsets.

**Theorem 1:** Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k + 2 \leq n \leq 2k + 2$ ,  $m = n - k - 1$ . Then

$$\varphi(k; n) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{m-r} (n - 2m + r)! (n - 2m + r)^m S_{r+1}^{m+1}.$$

Furthermore, let the matrix  $A_\Delta$  be defined as

$$A_\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{m_2 \times m_1} & 1_{m_2 \times m_3} & \Delta_{m_2 \times m_2} \\ 1_{m_3 \times m_1} & 1_{m_3 \times m_3} & 1_{m_3 \times m_2} \\ \Delta_{m_1 \times m_1}^T & 1_{m_1 \times m_3} & 1_{m_1 \times m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ ,  $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $\Delta_{a \times a}$  as above; for  $m_i = 0$ , the corresponding row and column blocks cancel.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $A_\Delta$  be defined by (1.3). Then

$$\text{Per}(A_\Delta) = \sum_{r=0}^{m_1} (-1)^{m_1-r} (m_3 + r)! (m_3 + r)^{m_2} S_{r+1}^{m_1+1}.$$

**Remarks:**

(a) Since the permanent is invariant with respect to transposing a matrix and to multiplication by permutation matrices,  $A_\Delta$  as given in (1.3) is only a representative of a set of matrices for which Theorem 2 holds. In particular, it follows that, for all  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m_1} (-1)^{m_1-r} (m_3 + r)! (m_3 + r)^{m_2} S_{r+1}^{m_1+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} (-1)^{m_2-r} (m_3 + r)! (m_3 + r)^{m_1} S_{r+1}^{m_2+1}.$$

Specializing further one gets, for  $m_1 = 0$ ,  $m_3 = 1$ ,  $m_2 + 1 = m$ , the well-known relation

$$1 = \sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^{m-r} r! S_r^m.$$

(b) Since the matrix  $A$  given in (1.2) is a special case of the matrix  $A_\Delta$ , in view of (1.1), Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2. Therefore, we have to prove only Theorem 2.

## 2. PROOFS

By a suitable identification of the rook problem discussed in Riordan [3], chapters 7 and 8, with the problem considered here, part of the proof of Theorem 2 could be derived from results in

[3]. In view of a certain consistence of the complete proof, we prefer however to develop the necessary details from the beginning.

The problem of determining  $\varphi(k; n)$  can be seen as a problem of finding the cardinality of an intersection of unions of sets. We will do this by applying the principle of inclusion and exclusion to its complement. Therefore, the sets  $\Pi_{ij}^n = \{\pi \in \Pi^n : \pi(i) = j\}$ ,  $i, j \in N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are relevant. Let  $\mathcal{P}_k(J)$  for  $J \subset N$  denote the set of all  $I \subset J$  with  $|I| = k$  and  $\mathbb{N}_*^k$  the set of all  $k$ -tuples in  $\mathbb{N}^k$  with pairwise different components. For  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^k \cap N^k$ , and  $j_\nu \in N$ ,  $1 \leq \nu \leq k$ , one obviously has

$$\left| \bigcap_{\nu=1}^k \Pi_{i_\nu j_\nu}^n \right| = \begin{cases} (n-k)! & \text{if } (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, one gets from the principle of inclusion and exclusion that, for  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $J \subset N$  with  $|J| = k$ , and  $B_i \subset N$ ,  $i \in J$ ,

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in J} \bigcup_{j \in B_i} \Pi_{ij}^n \right| = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} (n-r)! \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_r(J)} \left| \{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r : j_i \in B_i \forall i \in I\} \right|. \quad (2.1)$$

For the sets on the right-hand side of (2.1), it holds that

$$\left| \{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r : j_i \in B_i \forall i \in I\} \right| = \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \left| \bigcap_{i \in J} \{\pi \in \Pi^n : \pi(i) \in B_i\} \right|. \quad (2.2)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \subset N$ , let

$$R_k^n(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \left| \{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^k : j_i \in B_i \forall i \in J\} \right|, & \text{for } k \geq 1, \\ 1, & \text{for } k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

[If one considers a chessboard on which pieces may be placed only on positions  $(i, j)$  for which  $j \in B_i$ , then  $R_k^n(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  is the number of ways of putting  $k$  non-attacking rooks on this board.]

**Lemma 1:** Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \leq n$ ,  $B_i \subset N$  for  $i \in N$ . Then it holds that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \left| \bigcup_{i \in J} \bigcup_{j \in B_i} \Pi_{ij}^n \right| = \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} (n-r)! \binom{n-r}{k-r} R_r^n(B_1, \dots, B_n).$$

**Proof:** With the help of (2.1), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \left| \bigcup_{i \in J} \bigcup_{j \in B_i} \Pi_{ij}^n \right| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} (n-r)! \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_r(J)} \left| \{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r : j_i \in B_i \forall i \in I\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} (n-r)! \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_r(N)} \left| \{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r : j_i \in B_i \forall i \in I\} \right| \left| \{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N) : I \subset J\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r-1} (n-r)! \binom{n-r}{k-r} R_r^n(B_1, \dots, B_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In the next lemma it is shown how the numbers  $R_k^n(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  are related to  $R_k^n(B_1^c, \dots, B_n^c)$ , where  $B_i^c$  denotes the complement of  $B_i$  w.r.t.  $N$ . (In terms of the rook problem, one thus considers the complement of the chessboard.) The lemma is equivalent to Theorem 2 in Riordan ([3], p. 180).

**Lemma 2:** Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq n$ ,  $B_i \subset N$ ,  $i \in N$ . Then it holds that

$$R_k^n(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r (k-r)! \binom{n-r}{n-k} \binom{n-r}{k-r} R_r^n(B_1^c, \dots, B_n^c).$$

**Proof:** By (2.2) and (2.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (n-k)! R_k^n(B_1, \dots, B_n) &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \left| \bigcap_{i \in J} \{\pi \in \Pi^n : \pi(i) \in B_i\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)} \left( n! - \left| \bigcup_{i \in J} \bigcup_{j \in B_i^c} \Pi_{ij}^n \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion then follows with the help of Lemma 1.  $\square$

Lemma 2 will become useful for calculating  $\text{Per}(A_\Delta)$  in the following manner: Let  $A_\Delta = (a_{ij})$  and put  $B_i = \{j \in N : a_{ij} = 1\}$ . Since by (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\text{Per}(A_\Delta) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \pi(i)} = \left| \left\{ \pi \in \Pi^n : \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \pi(i)} = 1 \right\} \right| = R_n^n(B_1, \dots, B_n),$$

one obtains from Lemma 2 that

$$\text{Per}(A_\Delta) = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r (n-r)! R_r^n(B_1^c, \dots, B_n^c). \tag{2.4}$$

The matrix corresponding to  $B_1^c, \dots, B_n^c$  is  $\bar{A}_\Delta = 1_{n \times n} - A_\Delta$ , which is easier to handle because it has mainly blocks of zero-matrices. A further simplification is obtained by considering instead of  $\bar{A}_\Delta$  the matrix

$$\hat{A}_\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Delta}_{m_1 \times m_1} & 0_{m_1 \times m_2} & 0_{m_1 \times m_3} \\ 0_{m_2 \times m_1} & \hat{\Delta}_{m_2 \times m_2} & 0_{m_2 \times m_3} \\ 0_{m_3 \times m_1} & 0_{m_3 \times m_2} & 0_{m_3 \times m_3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\hat{\Delta}_{a \times a} = 1_{a \times a} - \Delta_{a,a}^T \cdot \hat{A}_\Delta$  is obtained from  $\bar{A}_\Delta$  by suitable permutations of rows and columns. By Remark (a) one has  $\text{Per}(\bar{A}_\Delta) = \text{Per}(\hat{A}_\Delta)$ .

Now we turn to the special structure related to the matrices of the form  $\hat{\Delta}_{m \times m}$ , that is, we consider  $B_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ ,  $i \in N_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . One can easily show by induction on  $k$  that

$$\left| \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \in \mathbb{N}_*^k : j_\nu \in D_\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq k \right| = \prod_{\nu=1}^k (|D_\nu| + 1 - \nu)$$

if  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \leq m$ , and  $D_1, \dots, D_k \subset N_m$  such that  $D_\nu \subset D_{\nu+1}$ ,  $1 \leq \nu < k$ , so that

$$R_k^m(B_1, \dots, B_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \prod_{\nu=1}^k (i_\nu + 1 - \nu). \tag{2.6}$$

We denote the right-hand side of (2.6) by  $\alpha_k^m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $\alpha_0^m = 1$ ,  $\alpha_k^m = 0$ , for  $k < 0$  or  $k > m$ .

**Lemma 3:** For  $\alpha_k^m$  defined as above, it holds that

- (a)  $\alpha_k^m = \alpha_k^{m-1} + (m+1-k)\alpha_{k-1}^{m-1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .
- (b)  $\alpha_k^m = S_{m+1-k}^{m+1}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \leq m$ .

*Proof:* Part (a) follows immediately from the definition of  $\alpha_k^m$ . Assertion (b) obviously holds true for  $m = 1$ . Since the Stirling numbers of the second kind satisfy the recursion  $S_k^m = S_{k-1}^{m-1} + kS_k^{m-1}$ , the assertion is a consequence of (a).  $\square$

It now follows from Lemma 3 and (2.6) that, for  $B_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,

$$R_k^m(B_1, \dots, B_m) = \begin{cases} S_{m+1-k}^{m+1}, & \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, k \leq m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

To deal with the two  $\Delta$ -blocks of the matrix  $\hat{A}_\Delta$ , the following lemma is helpful.

**Lemma 4:** Let  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq m_1 + m_2$ , and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n \subset N$  such that:

- (a)  $C_i \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m_1\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m_1$ ;
- (b)  $C_i \subset \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + m_2\}$ ,  $m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2$ ;
- (c)  $C_i = \emptyset$ ,  $m_1 + m_2 + 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Furthermore, let  $D_i = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m_2\} : j + m_1 \in C_{i+m_1}\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m_2$ . Then it holds that

$$R_k^n(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v=0}^k R_v^{m_1}(C_1, \dots, C_{m_1}) R_{k-v}^{m_2}(D_1, \dots, D_{m_2}), & 0 \leq k \leq m_1 + m_2, \\ 0, & m_1 + m_2 + 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* Let  $N_1 = \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ ,  $N_2 = \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + m_2\}$ ,  $N_3 = \{m_1 + m_2 + 1, \dots, n\}$  and, for  $J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)$ ,  $f_k(J) = |\{(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^k : j_i \in C_i \forall i \in J\}|$ . Since  $C_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \in N_3$ , one has  $f_k(J) = 0$  if  $J \in \mathcal{P}_k(N)$  and  $J \cap N_3 \neq \emptyset$ . This implies

$$R_k^n(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{P}_r(N_1)} \sum_{J_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{k-r}(N_2)} f_k(J_1 \cup J_2).$$

Since  $(\bigcup_{i \in N_1} C_i) \cap (\bigcup_{i \in N_2} C_i) = \emptyset$  one has, for  $J_1 \in \mathcal{P}_r(N_1)$ ,  $J_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{k-r}(N_2)$ , that

$$f_k(J_1 \cup J_2) = |\{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r, j_i \in C_i \forall i \in J_1\} \mid \{(j_{r+1}, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^{k-r} : j_i \in C_i \forall i \in J_2\}|$$

The assertion then follows from

$$R_r^{m_1}(C_1, \dots, C_{m_1}) = \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{P}_r(N_1)} |\{(j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \mathbb{N}_*^r : j_i \in C_i \forall i \in J_1\}|$$

and

$$R_{k-r}^{m_2}(D_1, \dots, D_{m_2}) = \sum_{J_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{k-r}(N_2)} |\{(j_{r+1}, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{N}_*^{k-r} : j_i \in C_i \forall i \in J_2\}|. \quad \square$$

Finally, the following identity will become useful:

$$\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r (n-r)! S_{m+1-r}^{m+1} = (n-m)!(n-m)^m \text{ for } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\setminus \{0\}}, n \geq m. \tag{2.8}$$

Identity (2.8) can easily be proved by induction on  $m$  using the recurrence formula for the Stirling numbers. Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2. Consider the matrix  $\hat{A}_\Delta = (\hat{a}_{ij})$  defined in (2.5). Putting

$$C_i = \begin{cases} \{1, \dots, i\}, & 1 \leq i \leq m_1, \\ \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + i - m_1\}, & m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_1 + m_2, \\ \emptyset, & m_1 + m_2 + 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

one has  $\hat{a}_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $j \in C_i$ . Note that for  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  the assumptions of Lemma 4 are satisfied and that  $D_i = \{1, \dots, i\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m_2$ . Put  $n - m_1 - m_2 = m_3$ . Then, from (2.4), Lemma 4, (2.7), and (2.8), one gets that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Per}(A_\Delta) &= \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r (n-r)! R_r^n(C_1, \dots, C_n) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m_1+m_2} (-1)^r (n-r)! \sum_{\nu=0}^r R_\nu^{m_1}(C_1, \dots, C_{m_1}) R_{r-\nu}^{m_2}(D_1, \dots, D_{m_2}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m_1+m_2} (-1)^r (n-r)! \sum_{\nu=0}^r S_{m_1+1-\nu}^{m_1+1} S_{m_2+1-r+\nu}^{m_2+1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_1+m_2} S_{m_1+1-\nu}^{m_1+1} \sum_{r=\nu}^{m_1+m_2} (-1)^r (n-r)! S_{m_2+1-r+\nu}^{m_2+1} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_1} S_{m_1+1-\nu}^{m_1+1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_2} (-1)^{r+\nu} (n-r-\nu)! S_{m_2+1-r}^{m_2+1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_1} (-1)^\nu S_{m_1+1-\nu}^{m_1+1} (n-\nu-m_2)!(n-\nu-m_2)^{m_2} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_1} (-1)^{m_1-\nu} (n-m_1+\nu-m_2)!(n-m_1+\nu-m_2)^{m_2} S_{\nu+1}^{m_1+1} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_1} (-1)^{m_1-\nu} (m_3+\nu)!(m_3+\nu)^{m_2} S_{\nu+1}^{m_1+1}. \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank the anonymous referee for a critical reading of the manuscript and for a series of constructive comments.

REFERENCES

1. M. R. Lagrange. "Quelques résultats dans la métrique des permutations." *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, Ser. 3, 79 (1962):199-241.
2. H. Minc. *Permanents*. Math. Appl., Vol. 6. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1978.
3. J. Riordan. *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*. New York: Wiley, 1958.
4. N. J. A. Sloane & S. Puffle. *The Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. New York: Academic Press, 1995.

AMS Classification Numbers: 05A15, 05A05

