

GENERALIZED FIBONACCI FUNCTIONS AND SEQUENCES OF GENERALIZED FIBONACCI FUNCTIONS

Gwang-Yeon Lee

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Seosan 356-706, Korea

Jim-Soo Kim

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, SungKyunKwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea

Tae Ho Cho

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, SungKyunKwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea

(Submitted January 2001-Final Revision May 2001)

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a generalization of the Fibonacci sequence which is called the k -Fibonacci sequence for a positive integer $k \geq 2$. The k -Fibonacci sequence $\{g_n^{(k)}\}$ is defined as

$$g_0^{(k)} = g_1^{(k)} = \cdots = g_{k-2}^{(k)} = 0, \quad g_{k-1}^{(k)} = 1$$

and for $n \geq k \geq 2$,

$$g_n^{(k)} = g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k}^{(k)}.$$

We call $g_n^{(k)}$ the n^{th} k -Fibonacci number. For example, if $k = 2$, then $\{g_n^{(2)}\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence $\{F_n\}$. If $k = 5$, then $g_0^{(5)} = g_1^{(5)} = g_2^{(5)} = g_3^{(5)} = 0$, $g_4^{(5)} = 1$, and the 5-Fibonacci sequence is

$$(g_0^{(5)} = 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 31, 61, 120, 236, 464, 912, \dots$$

Let E be a 1 by $(k-1)$ matrix whose entries are ones and let I_n be the identity matrix of order n . Let $\mathbf{g}_n^{(k)} = (g_n^{(k)}, \dots, g_{n+k-1}^{(k)})^T$ for $n \geq 0$. For any $k \geq 2$, the fundamental recurrence relation, $n \geq k$,

$$g_n^{(k)} = g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k}^{(k)}$$

can be defined by the vector recurrence relation $\mathbf{g}_{n+1}^{(k)} = Q_k \mathbf{g}_n^{(k)}$, where

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{k-1} \\ 1 & E \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

We call Q_k the k -Fibonacci matrix. By applying (1), we have $g_{n+1}^{(k)} = Q_k^n g_1^{(k)}$. In [4], [6] and [7], we can find relationships between the k -Fibonacci numbers and their associated matrices.

In [2], M. Elmore introduced the Fibonacci function following as:

$$f_0(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda_1 x} - e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad f_n(x) = f_0^{(n)}(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^n e^{\lambda_1 x} - \lambda_2^n e^{\lambda_2 x}}{\sqrt{5}},$$

and hence $f_{n+1}(x) = f_n(x) + f_{n-1}(x)$, where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Here, λ_1, λ_2 are the roots of $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$.

In this paper, we consider a function which is a generalization of the Fibonacci function and consider sequences of generalized Fibonacci functions.

2. GENERALIZED FIBONACCI FUNCTIONS

For positive integers l and n with $l \leq n$, let $Q_{l,n}$ denote the set of all strictly increasing l -sequences from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For an $n \times n$ matrix A and for $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{l,n}$, let $A[\alpha|\beta]$ denote the matrix lying in rows α and columns β and let $A(\alpha|\beta)$ denote the matrix complementary to $A[\alpha|\beta]$ in A . In particular, we denote $A(\{i\}|\{j\}) = A(i|j)$.

We define a function $G(k, x)$ by

$$G(k, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_i^{(k)}}{i!} x^i.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_n^{(k)}(n+1)}{g_{n+1}^{(k)}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

the function $G(k, x)$ is convergent for all real number x .

For fixed $k \geq 2$, the power series $G(k, x)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$G^{(k)}(k, x) - G^{(k-1)}(k, x) - \dots - G'''(k, x) - G''(k, x) - G'(k, x) - G(k, x) = 0. \tag{2}$$

In [5], we can find that the characteristic equation $x^k - x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$ of Q_k does not have multiple roots. So, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the roots of $x^k - x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$, then

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct. That is, the eigenvalues of Q_k are distinct. Define V to be the k by k Vandermonde matrix by

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{k-2} & \lambda_2^{k-2} & \dots & \lambda_k^{k-2} \\ \lambda_1^{k-1} & \lambda_2^{k-1} & \dots & \lambda_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1: Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be the eigenvalues of the k -Fibonacci matrix Q_k . Then, the initial-value problem $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} G^{(i)}(k, x) = G^{(k)}(k, x)$, where $G^{(i)}(k, 0) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$,

and $G^{(k-1)}(k, 0) = 1$ has the unique solution $G(k, x) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i e^{\lambda_i x}$, where

$$c_i = (-1)^{k+i} \frac{\det V(k|i)}{\det V}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \tag{3}$$

Proof: Since the characteristic equation of Q_k is $x^k - x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1 = 0$, it is clear that $c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + c_k e^{\lambda_k x}$ is a solution of (2).

Now, we will prove that $c_i = \frac{1}{\det V} (-1)^{k+i} \det V(k|i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since $G(k, x) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + c_k e^{\lambda_k x}$ and for $x = 0$, $G^{(i)}(k, 0) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$, $G^{(k-1)}(k, 0) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(k, 0) &= c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_k = 0 \\ G'(k, 0) &= c_1 \lambda_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + c_k \lambda_k = 0 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$G^{(k-2)}(k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1^{k-2} + c_2 \lambda_2^{k-2} + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^{k-2} = 0$$

$$G^{(k-1)}(k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} + c_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^{k-1} = 1.$$

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}, c_k)^T$ and $\mathbf{b} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$. Then we have $V\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{b}$. Since the matrix V is a Vandermonde matrix and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct, the matrix V is nonsingular. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the matrix $V(k|i)$ is also a Vandermonde matrix and nonsingular. Therefore,

by Cramer's rule, we have $c_i = (-1)^{k+i} \frac{\det V(k|i)}{\det V}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and the proof is complete. \square

We can replace the writing of (2) by the form

$$G^{(k)}(k, x) = G^{(k-1)}(k, x) + \dots + G''(k, x) + G'(k, x) + G(k, x).$$

This suggests that we use the notation $G_0(k, x) = G(k, x)$ and, for $i \geq 1$, $G_i(k, x) = G^{(i)}(k, x)$. Thus

$$G_n(k, x) = G^{(n)}(k, x) = c_1 \lambda_1^n e^{\lambda_1 x} + c_2 \lambda_2^n e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^n e^{\lambda_k x}$$

gives us the sequence of functions $\{G_n(k, x)\}$ with the property that

$$G_n(k, x) = G_{n-1}(k, x) + G_{n-2}(k, x) + \dots + G_{n-k}(k, x), \quad n \geq k, \tag{4}$$

where each c_i is in (3). We shall refer to these functions as *k-Fibonacci functions*. If $k = 2$, then $G(2, x) = f_0(x)$ is the Fibonacci function as in [2]. From (4), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2: For the *k-Fibonacci function* $G_n(k, x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(k, 0) = 0 = g_0^{(k)}, G_1(k, 0) = 0 = g_1^{(k)}, \dots, G_{k-2}(k, 0) = 0 = g_{k-2}^{(k)}, \\ G_{k-1}(k, 0) = 1 = g_{k-1}^{(k)}, G_k(k, 0) = G_0(k, 0) + \dots + G_{k-1}(k, 0) = 1 = g_k^{(k)}, \\ g_n^{(k)} = G_n(k, 0) = c_1 \lambda_1^n + c_2 \lambda_2^n + \dots + c_k \lambda_k^n \\ = g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + g_{n-k}^{(k)}, \quad n \geq k, \end{aligned}$$

where each c_i is given by (3).

Let $\mathbf{G}_n(k, x) = (G_n(k, x), \dots, G_{n+k-1}(k, x))^T$. For $k \geq 2$, the fundamental recurrence relation (4) can be defined by the vector recurrence relation $\mathbf{G}_{n+1}(k, x) = Q_k \mathbf{G}_n(k, x)$ and hence $\mathbf{G}_{n+1}(k, x) = Q_k^n \mathbf{G}_1(k, x)$.

Since $g_{k-1}^{(k)} = g_k^{(k)} = 1$, we can replace the matrix Q_k in (1) with

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & g_{k-1}^{(k)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{k-1}^{(k)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & g_{k-1}^{(k)} \\ g_{k-1}^{(k)} & g_{k-1}^{(k)} & \dots & g_{k-1}^{(k)} & g_k^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can find the matrix $Q_k^n = [g_{i,j}^\dagger(n)]$ in [5] where, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$g_{i,j}^\dagger(n) = g_{n+(i-2)}^{(k)} + \dots + g_{n+(i-2)-(j-1)}^{(k)}. \tag{5}$$

We know that $g_{i,1}^\dagger(n) = g_{n+i-2}^{(k)}$ and $g_{i,k}^\dagger(n) = g_{n+i-1}^{(k)}$. So, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3: For nonnegative integers n and m , $n + m \geq k$, we have

$$G_{n+m+1}(k, x) = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{1,j}^\dagger(n) G_{m+j}(k, x).$$

In particular,

$$G_k(k, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{i+k}^{(k)}}{i!} x^i.$$

Proof: Since $G_{n+1}(k, x) = Q_k^n G_1(k, x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+m+1}(k, x) &= Q_k^{n+m} G_1(k, x) = Q_k^n \cdot Q_k^m G_1(k, x) \\ &= Q_k^n G_{m+1}(k, x). \end{aligned}$$

By applying (5), we have

$$G_{n+m+1}(k, x) = g_{1,1}^\dagger(n) G_{m+1}(k, x) + \cdots + g_{1,k}^\dagger(n) G_{m+k}(k, x).$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} G_i(k, x) = G_k(k, x)$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} G_i(k, x) = g_k^{(k)} + g_{k+1}^{(k)} x + \frac{g_{k+2}^{(k)}}{2!} x^2 + \cdots + \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{n!} x^n + \cdots,$$

we have

$$G_k(k, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{i+k}^{(k)}}{i!} x^i. \quad \square$$

Note that $Q_k^{n+m} = Q_k^{m+n}$. Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4: For nonnegative integers n and m , $n + m \geq k$, we have

$$G_{n+m+1}(k, x) = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{1,j}^\dagger(m) G_{n+j}(k, x).$$

We know that the characteristic polynomial of Q_k is $\lambda^k - \lambda^{k-1} - \cdots - \lambda - 1$. So, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5: Let $\lambda^k - \lambda^{k-1} - \dots - \lambda - 1 = 0$ be the characteristic equation of Q_k . Then, for any root λ of the characteristic equation, $n \geq k > 0$, we have,

$$\lambda^n = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{1,j}^\dagger(n) \lambda^{j-1}.$$

Proof: From (5) we have, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$g_{1,j}^\dagger(n) = g_{n-1}^k + g_{n-2}^k + \dots + g_{n-j}^k.$$

It can be shown directly for $n = k$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^k &= g_k^{(k)} \lambda^{k-1} + \left(g_{k-1}^{(k)} + g_{k-2}^{(k)} + \dots + g_1^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + \left(g_{k-1}^{(k)} + g_{k-2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda + g_{k-1}^k \\ &= \lambda^{k-1} + \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + \lambda + 1 \end{aligned}$$

We show this by induction on n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{n+1} &= \lambda^n \cdot \lambda \\ &= \left(g_{1,k}^\dagger(n) \lambda^{k-1} + g_{1,k-1}^\dagger(n) \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + g_{1,2}^\dagger(n) \lambda + g_{1,1}^\dagger(n) \right) \lambda \\ &= g_n^k \lambda^k + \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + g_{n-k+1}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-1} \\ &\quad + \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + \dots + g_{n-k+2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-2} + \dots + \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^2 + g_{n-1}^{(k)} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1} + \dots + \lambda + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda^{n+1} &= g_n^{(k)} (\lambda^{k-1} + \cdots + \lambda + 1) + \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k+1}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-1} + \\
 &\quad \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k+2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-2} + \cdots + \left(g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^2 + g_{n-1}^{(k)} \lambda \\
 &= \left(g_n^{(k)} + g_{n-1}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k+1}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-1} + \left(g_n^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k+2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-2} \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \left(g_n^{(k)} + g_{n-1}^{(k)} \right) \lambda + g_n^{(k)} \\
 &= g_{n+1}^{(k)} \lambda^{k-1} + \left(g_n^{(k)} + g_{n-1}^{(k)} + \cdots + g_{n-k+2}^{(k)} \right) \lambda^{k-2} \\
 &\quad + \cdots + \left(g_n^{(k)} + g_{n-1}^{(k)} \right) \lambda + g_n^{(k)} \\
 &= g_{1,k}^\dagger(n+1) \lambda^{k-1} + g_{1,k-1}^\dagger(n+1) \lambda^{k-2} + g_{1,k-2}^\dagger(n+1) \lambda^{k-3} \\
 &\quad + \cdots + g_{1,2}^\dagger(n+1) \lambda + g_{1,1}^\dagger(n+1) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k g_{1,j}^\dagger(n+1) \lambda^{j-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by induction of n , the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2.6: Let λ be a root of characteristic equation of Q_k . For positive integer n , we have

$$G_n(k, \lambda) = \sum_{j=n}^k \alpha_{nj} \lambda^{j-1},$$

where

$$\alpha_{j,n} = \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + \frac{g_{n+j-1}^{(k)}}{(j-1)!} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} g_{1,j}^\dagger(i) \frac{g_{n+i}^{(k)}}{i!}.$$

Proof: Since $\lambda^k = \lambda^{k-1} + \cdots + \lambda + 1$ and by lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_n(k, \lambda) &= g_n^{(k)} + g_{n+1}^{(k)}\lambda + \frac{g_{n+2}^{(k)}}{2!}\lambda^2 + \dots + \frac{g_{2n}^{(k)}}{n!}\lambda^n + \dots \\
 &= \left(g_n^{(k)} + \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + g_{11}^\dagger(k+1)\frac{g_{n+k+1}^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} + \dots + g_{11}^\dagger(n)\frac{g_{2n}^{(k)}}{n!} + \dots \right) + \\
 &\quad \left(g_{n+1}^{(k)} + \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + g_{12}^\dagger(k+1)\frac{g_{n+k+1}^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} + \dots + g_{12}^\dagger(n)\frac{g_{2n}^{(k)}}{n!} + \dots \right) \lambda \\
 &\quad + \dots + \\
 &\quad \left(\frac{g_{n+k-1}^{(k)}}{(k-1)!} + \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + g_{1k}^\dagger(k+1)\frac{g_{n+k+1}^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} + \dots + g_{1k}^\dagger(n)\frac{g_{2n}^{(k)}}{n!} + \dots \right) \lambda^{k-1} \\
 &= \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{2n}\lambda + \dots + \alpha_{kn}\lambda^{k-1} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{jn}\lambda^{j-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_{jn} = \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + \frac{g_{n+j-1}^{(k)}}{(j-1)!} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} g_{1,j}^\dagger(i)\frac{g_{n+i}^{(k)}}{i!}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the proof is completed. \square

From theorem 2.3 and theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_n(k, x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_{n+i}^{(k)}}{i!} x^i \\
 &= g_{1,1}^\dagger(n-1)G_1(k, x) + \dots + g_{1,k}^\dagger(n-1)G_k(k, x) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{jn} x^{j-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_{j_n} = \frac{g_{n+k}^{(k)}}{k!} + \frac{g_{n+j-1}^{(k)}}{(j-1)!} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} g_{1,j}^{\dagger}(i) \frac{g_{n+i}^{(k)}}{i!}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

3. SEQUENCES OF GENERALIZED FIBONACCI FUNCTIONS

Matrix methods are a major tool in solving certain problems stemming from linear recurrence relations. In this section, the procedure will be illustrated by means of a sequence, and an interesting example will be given.

To begin with, we introduce the concept of the *resultant* of given polynomials [3]. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{n-i}$ and $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^{m-i}$ be polynomials, where $a_0 \neq 0$ and $b_0 \neq 0$. The presence of a common divisor for $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ is equivalent to the fact that there exists polynomials $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ such that $f(x)q(x) = g(x)p(x)$ where $\deg p(x) \leq n-1$ and $\deg q(x) \leq m-1$. Let $q(x) = u_0 x^{m-1} + \dots + u_{m-1}$ and $p(x) = v_0 x^{n-1} + \dots + v_{n-1}$. The equality $f(x)q(x) = g(x)p(x)$ can be expressed in the form of a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 u_0 &= b_0 v_0 \\ a_1 u_0 + a_0 u_1 &= b_1 v_0 + b_0 v_1 \\ a_2 u_0 + a_1 u_1 + a_0 u_2 &= b_2 v_0 + b_1 v_1 + b_0 v_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have a common root if and only if this system of equations has a nonzero solution $(u_0, u_1, \dots, v_0, v_1, \dots)$. If, for example, $m = 3$ and $n = 2$, then the determinant of this system is of the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_0 & 0 & 0 & -b_0 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_0 & 0 & -b_1 & -b_0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & -b_2 & -b_1 \\ 0 & a_2 & a_1 & -b_3 & -b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & 0 & -b_3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 \\ 0 & b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix} = |S(f(x), g(x))|.$$

The matrix $S(f(x), g(x))$ is called the *Sylvester matrix* of polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. The determinant of $S(f(x), g(x))$ is called the *resultant* of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ and is denoted by $R(f(x), g(x))$. It is clear that $R(f(x), g(x)) = 0$ if and only if the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have a common divisor, and hence, an equation $f(x) = 0$ has multiple roots if and only if $R(f(x), f'(x)) = 0$.

Now, we define a sequence. For fixed k , $k \geq 2$, and a complex number a , a sequence of k -Fibonacci functions, $\{G_n(k, a)\}$, is defined recursively as follows:

$$G_0(k, a) = s_0, G_1(k, a) = s_1, \dots, G_{k-1}(k, a) = s_{k-1}, \tag{6}$$

$$G_n(k, a) = p_1 G_{n-1}(k, a) + p_2 G_{n-2}(k, a) + \dots + p_k G_{n-k}(k, a), \quad n \geq k, \quad (7)$$

where $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ are complex numbers.

Our natural question now becomes, for $k \geq 2$, what is an explicit expression for $G_n(k, a)$ in terms of $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, p_1, \dots, p_k$? If $s_0 = \dots = s_{k-2} = 0, s_{k-1} = s_k = 1, p_1 = \dots = p_k = 1$ and $a = 0$, then by theorem 2.2 we have $G_n(k, 0) = g_n$. In [8], Rosenbaum gave the explicit expression for $k = 2$.

In this section, we give an explicit expression for $G_n(k, a) = p_1 G_{n-1}(k, a) + p_2 G_{n-2}(k, a) + \dots + p_k G_{n-k}(k, a), n \geq k$ in terms of initial conditions $G_0(k, a) = s_0, G_1(k, a) = s_1, \dots, G_{k-1}(k, a) = s_{k-1}, k \geq 2$.

Let $\tilde{G}_n(k) = (G_n(k, a), \dots, G_{n-k+1}(k, a))^T$ for $k \geq 2$. The fundamental recurrence relation (7) can be defined by the vector recurrence relation $\tilde{G}_n(k) = \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{G}_{n-1}(k)$, where

$$\tilde{Q}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & p_k \\ I_{k-1} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{p} = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}].$$

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_{k-1}, \dots, s_0)^T$. Then, we have, for $n \geq 0, \tilde{G}_{n+k-1}(k) = \tilde{Q}_k^n \mathbf{s}$, and the characteristic equation of \tilde{Q}_k is

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^k - p_1 \lambda^{k-1} - \dots - p_{k-1} \lambda - p_k = 0.$$

If $R(f(\lambda), f'(\lambda)) \neq 0$, then the equation $f(\lambda) = 0$ has distinct k roots.

Theorem 3.1: Let $f(\lambda)$ be the characteristic equation of the matrix \tilde{Q}_k . If $R(f(\lambda), f'(\lambda)) \neq 0$, then $G_n(k, a) = p_1 G_{n-1}(k, a) + p_2 G_{n-2}(k, a) + \dots + p_k G_{n-k}(k, a)$ has an explicit expression in terms of s_0, \dots, s_{k-1} .

Proof: If $R(f(\lambda), f'(\lambda)) \neq 0$, then the characteristic equation of \tilde{Q}_k has k distinct roots, say $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$. Since the matrix \tilde{Q}_k is diagonalizable, there exists a matrix Λ such that $\Lambda^{-1} \tilde{Q}_k \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$. Then $\tilde{G}_{n+k-1}(k) = \Lambda \text{diag}(\lambda_1^n, \lambda_2^n, \dots, \lambda_k^n) \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{s}$, and hence we have

$$G_n(k, a) = d_1 \lambda_1^n + d_2 \lambda_2^n + \dots + d_k \lambda_k^n = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \lambda_i^n,$$

where d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k are complex numbers independent of n . We can determine the values of d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k by Cramer's rule. That is, by setting $n = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(k, a) &= d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k, \\ G_1(k, a) &= d_1 \lambda_1 + d_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + d_k \lambda_k, \\ &\vdots \\ G_{k-1}(k, a) &= d_1 \lambda_1^{k-1} + d_2 \lambda_2^{k-1} + \dots + d_k \lambda_k^{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$V\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{s}, \quad \mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)^T. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we now have the desired result from (8). \square

Recall that

$$\tilde{Q}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} & p_k \\ I_{k-1} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[\mathbf{p} = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}]$. Then, in [1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 [1]: The (i, j) entry $q_{ij}^{(n)}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k)$ in \tilde{Q}_k^n is given by the following formula:

$$q_{ij}^{(n)}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_k)} \frac{m_j + m_{j+1} + \dots + m_k}{m_1 + \dots + m_k} \times \binom{m_1 + \dots + m_k}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k}, \quad (9)$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = n - i + j$, and the coefficient in (9) is defined to be 1 if $n = i - j$.

Applying the $\tilde{G}_{n+k-1}(k) = \tilde{Q}_k^n \mathbf{s}$ to the above theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(k, a) &= q_{k1}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) s_{k-1} + q_{k2}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) s_{k-2} + \\ &\quad \dots + q_{kk}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) s_0 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k q_{kj}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) s_{k-j}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (9), we have

$$q_{kj}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) = \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_k)} \frac{m_j + m_{j+1} + \dots + m_k}{m_1 + \dots + m_k} \times \binom{m_1 + \dots + m_k}{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k},$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = n - k + j$, and the coefficient in (10) is defined to be 1 if $n = k - j$.

Hence, from theorem 3.1 and (10),

$$G_n(k, a) = \sum_{j=1}^k q_{kj}^{(n)}(p_1, \dots, p_k) s_{k-j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \lambda_i^n.$$

Example: In (6) and (7), if we take $a = 0$, $s_0 = s_1 = \dots = s_{k-3} = 0$, $s_{k-2} = s_{k-1} = 1$ and $p_1 = \dots = p_k = 1$, then

$$G_0(k, 0) = \dots = G_{k-3}(k, 0) = 0, G_{k-2}(k, 0) = G_{k-1}(k, 0) = 1,$$

and for $n \geq k \geq 2$,

$$G_n(k, 0) = G_{n-1}(k, 0) + G_{n-2}(k, 0) + \dots + G_{n-k}(k, 0)$$

$$= g_n = g_{n-1} + g_{n-2} + \dots + g_{n-k}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_n^{(k)} = (g_n^{(k)}, \dots, g_{n-k+1}^{(k)})^T$. For any $k \geq 2$, the fundamental recurrence relation $g_n^{(k)} = g_{n-1}^{(k)} + g_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + g_{n-k}^{(k)}$ can be defined by the vector recurrence relation $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_n^{(k)} = \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{n-1}^{(k)}$.

Then, we have $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_n^{(k)} = \tilde{Q}_k^n \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_0^{(k)} = \tilde{Q}_k^n (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. Since \tilde{Q}_k has k distinct eigenvalues (see [5]),

$$g_n^{(k)} = d_1 \lambda_1^n + \dots + d_k \lambda_k^n.$$

Hence, we can determine d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k from (8).

For example, if $k = 3$, then the characteristic equation of \tilde{Q}_3 is $f(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1 = 0$, and hence

$$R(f(\lambda), f'(\lambda)) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 44 \neq 0.$$

Thus $f(\lambda) = 0$ has 3 distinct roots. Suppose α , β and γ are the distinct roots of $f(\lambda) = 0$. Then we have

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{3}(u + v) + \frac{1}{3},$$

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{6}(u + v) + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{6}(u - v) + \frac{1}{3},$$

$$\gamma = -\frac{1}{6}(u + v) - \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{6}(u - v) + \frac{1}{3},$$

where

$$i = \sqrt{-1}, \quad u = \sqrt[3]{19 + 3\sqrt{33}} \text{ and } v = \sqrt[3]{19 - 3\sqrt{33}}.$$

So, we have

$$g_n^{(3)} = d_1\alpha^n + d_2\beta^n + d_3\gamma^n, \tag{11}$$

and hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$\delta = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta_\alpha = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \beta & \gamma \\ 1 & \beta^2 & \gamma^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta_\beta = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha & 1 & \gamma \\ \alpha^2 & 1 & \gamma^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\delta_\lambda = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & 1 \\ \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$d_1 = \frac{\delta_\alpha}{\delta}, \quad d_2 = \frac{\delta_\beta}{\delta}, \quad \text{and } d_3 = \frac{\delta_\gamma}{\delta}.$$

As we know, the complex numbers d_1 , d_2 , and d_3 are independent of n .

We can also find an expression for $g_n^{(3)}$ in [6] follows:

$$g_n^{(3)} = \frac{(g_{n-1}^{(3)} + g_{n-2}^{(3)}) (\beta - \gamma) - (\beta^n - \alpha^n)}{(\alpha - 1)(\beta - \gamma)}. \tag{12}$$

So, by (11) and (12),

$$\frac{\delta_\alpha \alpha^n + \delta_\beta \beta^n + \delta_\gamma \gamma^n}{\delta} = \frac{(g_{n-1}^{(3)} + g_{n-2}^{(3)}) (\beta - \gamma) - (\beta^n - \alpha^n)}{(\alpha - 1)(\beta - \gamma)}.$$

Similarly, if $k = 2$, then

$$g_n^{(2)} = F_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n), \quad (13)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of Q_2 . Actually

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \text{ and } \lambda_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

In this case,

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

and (13) is Binet's formula for the n th Fibonacci number F_n .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0005). The second author was supported by the BK21 project for the Korea Education Ministry.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bicknell and V.E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci's Problem Book*. The Fibonacci Association, 1974.
- [2] M. Elmore. "Fibonacci Functions." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **4** (1967): 371-382.
- [3] I.M. Gelfand, M.M. Kapranov and A.V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*. Birkhauser, Boston, 1994.
- [4] G.Y. Lee and S.G. Lee. "A Note on Generalized Fibonacci Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **33.3** (1995): 273-278.
- [5] G.Y. Lee, S.G. Lee, J.S. Kim and H.K. Shin. "The Binet Formula and Representations of k -generalized Fibonacci Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **39.2** (2001): 158-164.
- [6] G.Y. Lee, S.G. Lee and H.G. Shin. "On the k -generalized Fibonacci Matrix Q_k ." *Linear Algebra and Its Appl.* **251** (1997): 73-88.
- [7] E.P. Miles. "Generalize Fibonacci Numbers and Associated Matrices." *Amer. Math. Monthly* **67** (1960):745-752.
- [8] R.A. Rosenbaum. "An Application of Matrices to Linear Recursion Relations." *Amer. Math. Monthly* **66** (1959): 792-793.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B37, 11B39, 15A36

