Oct. 1969

$$\log N_{j+1} \le (\log N_j)^{2+\epsilon} .$$

Thus

$$\log N_k \le (\log N_1)^{(2+\epsilon)^k} ,$$

hence by taking logarithms twice,

$$K(N_k) \ge k \ge c_1 \log_3 N_k$$
,

which completes the proof of (1.3).

Denote by L(n) the smallest integer for which $\log n_{\rm L(n)} <$ 1. We conjecture that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}K(m)$$

increases about like L(n), but we have not been able to prove this.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wigert, Sur l'ordre de grandeur du nombre des diviseurs d'un entier, Arkiv för Math. 3(18), 1-9.
- 2. S. Ramanujan, "Highly Composite Numbers," <u>Proc. London Math. Soc.</u>, <u>2</u>(194), 1915, 347-409, see p. 409.

* * * * *

CORRECTION

On p. 113 of Volume 7, No. 2, April, 1969, please make the following changes:

Change the author's name to read George E. Andrews. Also, change the name "Einstein," fourth line from the bottom of p. 113, to "Eisenstein."

* * * * ;