FIBONACCI REPRESENTATIONS II

L. CARLITZ* Duke University, Durham, North Carolina

1. Let R(N) denote the number of representations of

(1.1)
$$N = F_{k_1} + F_{k_2} + \cdots + F_{k_t},$$

where

(1.2)
$$k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_t \geq 2$$
.

The integer t is allowed to vary. We call (1.1) a Fibonacci representation of N provided (1.2) is satisfied. If in (1.1), we have

(1.3)
$$k_i - k_{i+1} \ge 2$$
 $(j = 1, \dots, t-1); k_t \ge 2$,

then the representation (1.1) is unique and is called the <u>canonical</u> representation of N.

In a previous paper [1], the writer discussed the function R(N). The paper makes considerable use of the canonical representation and a function e(N) defined by

(1.4)
$$e(N) = F_{k_1-1} + F_{k_2-1} + \cdots + F_{k_t-1}.$$

It is shown that e(N) is independent of the particular representation. The first main result of [1] is a reduction formula which theoretically enables one to evaluate R(N) for arbitrary N. Unfortunately, the general case is very complicated. However, if all the k_1 in the canonical representation have the same parity, the situation is much more favorable and much simpler results are obtained.

^{*}Supported in part by NSF grant GP-7855.

In the present paper, we consider the function R(t,N) which is defined as the number of representations (1.1) subject to (1.2) where now t is fixed. Again we find a reduction formula which theoretically enables one to evaluate R(t,N) but again leads to very complicated results. However, if all the k_1 in the canonical representation have the same parity, the results simplify considerably. In particular, if

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N} &= \mathbf{F}_{2\mathbf{k_{1}}} + \cdots + \mathbf{F}_{2\mathbf{k_{r}}} & (\mathbf{k_{1}} > \mathbf{k_{2}} > \cdots > \mathbf{k_{r}} \geq 1) \text{ ,} \\ \mathbf{j_{s}} &= \mathbf{k_{s}} - \mathbf{k_{s+1}} & (1 \leq \mathbf{s} < \mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{j_{r}} = \mathbf{k_{r}} \text{ ,} \\ \mathbf{f_{r}}(t) &= \mathbf{f}(t; \mathbf{j_{1}}, \cdots, \mathbf{j_{r}}) = \mathbf{R}(t, \mathbf{N}) \text{ ,} \\ \\ \mathbf{F_{r}}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{j_{1}}, \cdots, \mathbf{j_{r}}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}(t; \mathbf{j_{1}}, \cdots, \mathbf{j_{r}}) \mathbf{x^{t}} \text{ ,} \\ \\ \mathbf{G_{r}}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{j_{1}}, \cdots, \mathbf{j_{r-1}}, \mathbf{j_{r}} + 1) \text{ ,} \end{split}$$

then we have

(1.5)
$$G_r(x) - \frac{x(1-x^{j_r+1})}{1-x} G_{r+1}(x) - x^{j_{r-1}+2} G_{r-2}(x) = 0$$
 $(r \ge 2)$,

where

$$G_0(x) = 1$$
, $G_1(x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{1-x}$.

In particular, if $j_1 = \cdots = j_r$, then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r(x) z^r = \left\{1 - [j+1] xz + x^{j+2} z^2\right\}^{-1},$$

from which an explicit formula for $G_{\mathbf{r}}(x)$ is easily obtained. Also the case

$$j_1 = \cdots = j_{r-1} = j, \quad j_r = k$$

leads to simple results.

In the final section of the paper some further problems are stated.

2. Put

(2.1)
$$\Phi(a, x, y) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + ax^{F_n} y^{F_{n+1}}).$$

Then

$$\Phi(a, x, xy) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + ay^{F_{n+1}} x^{F_{n+2}}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + ay^{F_{n}} x^{F_{n+1}})$$
,

so that

$$(1 + axy)\Phi(a, x, xy) = \Phi(a, y, x)$$
.

Now put

(2.2)
$$\Phi(a, x, y) = \sum_{k,m,n=0}^{\infty} A(k, m, n) a^k x^m y^n.$$

Comparison of coefficients gives

$$(2.3) A(k, m, n) = A(k, n - m, m) + A(k - 1, n - m, m - 1),$$

where it is understood that A(k,m,n) = 0 when any of the arguments is negative.

In the next place, it is evident from the definition of e(N) and R(k, N) that

(2.4)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + ax^{F_n} y^{F_{n+1}}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} R(k, N) a^k x^{e(N)} y^N.$$

Comparing (2.4) with (2.1) and (2.2), we get

(2.5)
$$R(k, N) = A(k, e(N), N)$$
.

In particular, for fixed k, n,

(2.6)
$$A(k, m, n) = 0 \quad (m \neq e(n)).$$

It should be observed that A(k,e(n),n) may vanish for certain values of k and n. However, since

$$R(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R(k, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(k, e(n), n)$$
,

it follows that, for fixed n, there is at least one value of k such that

$$A(k, e(n), n) \neq 0$$
.

If we take m = e(n) in (2.3), we get

(2.7)
$$R(t,N) = A(t, N - e(N), e(N)) + A(t - 1, N - e(N), e(N) - 1)$$
.

Now let N have the canonical representation

(2.8)
$$N = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_r}$$
,

with k_r odd. Then

$$e(N) = F_{k_1-1} + \cdots + F_{k_r-1}$$
,
 $N - e(N) = F_{k_1-2} + \cdots + F_{k_r-2}$.

Since $k_r \geq 3$, it follows that

(2.9)
$$N - e(N) = e(e(N))$$
.

On the other hand, exactly as in [1], we find that

$$e(e(N) - 1) = N - e(N) - 1$$
.

It follows that

$$A(t, N - e(N), e(N) - 1) = 0$$
,

and (2.7) reduces to

$$R(t, N) = A(t, e(e(N)).$$

We have, therefore,

(2.10)
$$R(t, N) = R(t, e(N))$$
 (k_r odd).

Now let $k_{\mathbf{r}}$ in the canonical representation of N be even. We shall show that

(2.11)
$$R(t, N) = R(t - 1, e^{k_r - 1}(N_1)) + \sum_{j=2}^{s} R(t - j, e^{k_r - 2}(N_1)),$$

where $k_r = 2s$,

$$(2.12) N_1 = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_{r-1}},$$

and

(2.13)
$$e^{k}(N) = e(e^{k-1}(N)), e^{0}(N) = N.$$

Assume first that s > 1. Then as above

(2.14)
$$N - e(N) = e(e(N))$$
,

and

(2.15)
$$e(e(N) - 1) = e(e(N))$$
.

Thus (2.7) becomes

(2.16)
$$R(t, N) = R(t, e(N)) + R(t - 1, e(N) - 1) (k_r > 2)$$
.

When $k_r = 2$, we have, as in [1],

It follows that

(2.17)
$$R(t, N) = R(t - 1, e(N_1)) (k_r = 2)$$
.

Returning to (2.16), since

$$e(N) - 1 = F_{k_1-1} + \cdots + F_{k_{k-1}-1} + (F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2t-2})$$

= $e(N_1) + (F_2 + F_4 + \cdots + F_{2t-2})$,

it follows from (2.17) and (2.10) that

Repeating this process, we get

$$R(t, e(N) - 1) = R(t - s, e^{2s-2}(N_1))$$
,

so that (2.16) becomes

(2.18)
$$R(t, N) = R(t, e^{2}(N)) + R(t - s, e^{2s-2}(N_{1}))$$
 $(k_{r} = 2s > 2)$.

If $k_r = 4$, Eq. (2.18) reduces, by (2.17) and (2.10), to

$$R(t, N) = R(t - 1, e^{4}(N_{1})) + R(t - 2, e^{2}(N_{1})),$$

since

(2.19)
$$R(t, N) = R(t, e(N_1))$$
 $(k_r = 2)$.

For $k_4 = 2s > 4$, Eq. (2.18) gives

$$R(t, N) = R(t, e^{4}(N) + R(t - s + 1, e^{2s-2}(N_{1})) + R(t - s, e^{2s-2}(N_{1}))$$

$$= R(t, e^{6}(N)) + R(t - s + 2, e^{2s-2}(N_{1})) + R(t - s + 1, e^{2s-2}(N_{1}))$$

$$+ R(t - s, e^{2s-2}(N_{1})).$$

Continuing in this way, we ultimately get

(2.20)
$$R(t, N) = R(t, e^{2s-2}(N)) + \sum_{j=2}^{s} R(t - j, e^{2s-2}(N_1)).$$

By (2.17),

$$R(t, e^{2s-2}(N)) = R(t - 1, e^{2s-1}(N_1))$$
,

so that (2.20) reduces to (2.11).

This proves (2.11) when $k_r > 2$; for $k_r = 2$, it is evident that (2.11) is identical with (2.17).

We may now state

Theorem 1. Let N have the canonical representation

$$N = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_r},$$

where

$$k_j$$
 - $k_{j+1} \ge 2$ (j = 1, ..., r - 1); $k_r \ge 2$.

Then, for r > 1, t > 1,

(2.21) R(t, N) = R(t - 1,
$$e^{k_r-1}$$
 (N₁)) + $\sum_{j=2}^{s}$ R(t - j, e^{k_r-2} (N₁)),

where
$$s = [k_r/2], N_1 = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_{r-1}}$$

3. For $N = F_r$, $r \ge 2$, Eq. (2.7) reduces to

(3.1)
$$R(t, F_r) = A(t, F_{r-2}, F_{r-1}) + A(t - 1, F_{r-2}, F_{r-1} - 1)$$

= $R(t, F_{r-1}) + A(t - 1, F_{r-2}, F_{r-1} - 1)$.

Also,

(3.2)
$$R(t, F_r - 1) = A(t, F_r - 1 - e(F_r - 1), e(F_r - 1)) + A(t - 1), F_r - 1 - e(F_r - 1), e(F_r - 1) - 1).$$

Since

$$e(F_{2s+1} - 1) = F_{2s}, e(F_{2s} - 1) = F_{2s-1} - 1,$$

we have

$$A(t-1, F_{2s-2}, F_{2s-1}-1) = R(t-1, F_{2s-1}-1),$$

 $A(t-1, F_{2s}-1) = 0.$

Thus (3.1) becomes

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} R(t, F_{2s}) = R(t, F_{2s-1}) + R(t - 1, F_{2s-1} - 1), \\ R(t, F_{2s-1}) = R(t, F_{2s}). \end{cases}$$

In the next place, Eq. (3.2) gives

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{R}(\mathsf{t},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}} - 1) \; &= \; \mathrm{A}(\mathsf{t},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-2},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-1} - 1) + \mathrm{A}(\mathsf{t}-1,\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-2},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-1} - 2) \\ &= \; \mathrm{R}(\mathsf{t},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-1} - 1) \; , \\ \mathrm{R}(\mathsf{t},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}+1} - 1) \; &= \; \mathrm{A}(\mathsf{t},\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-1} - 1,\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}}) + \mathrm{A}(\mathsf{t}-1,\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}-1} - 1,\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}} - 1) \\ &= \; \mathrm{R}(\mathsf{t}-1,\; \mathrm{F}_{2\mathrm{s}} - 1), \end{split}$$

that is,

(3.3)
$$R(t, F_r - 1) = R(t - \lambda, F_{r-1} - 1) \quad (r \ge 2)$$
,

where

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{r even}) \\ 1 & (\text{r odd}) \end{cases}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$R(t, F_{2s} - 1) = R(t - s + 1, 0), R(t, F_{2s+1} - 1) = R(t - s + 1, 1)$$

which gives

(3.4)
$$\begin{cases} R(t, F_{2s} - 1) = \delta_{t,s-1} \\ R(t, F_{2s+1} - 1) = \delta_{t,s} \end{cases} .$$

Combining (3.2) with (3.4), we get

$$R(t, F_{2s}) = R(t, F_{2s+1}) = R(t, F_{2s-1}) + \delta_{t,s}$$

so that

$$R(t, F_{2s}) = R(t, F_{2s-2}) + \delta_{t,s}$$

It follows that

$$R(t, F_{2s}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (1 \le t \le s) \\ 0 & (t > s) \end{cases}$$

We may now state

Theorem 2. We have, for $s \ge 1$, $t \ge 1$,

(3.5)
$$R(t, F_{2s+1} - 1) = R(t, F_{2s+2} - 1) = \delta_{t,s},$$

$$R(t, F_{2s}) = R(t, F_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (1 \le t \le s) \\ 0 & (t > s) \end{cases}$$

Let m(N) denote the minimum number of summands in a Fibonacci representation of N and let M(N) denote the maximum number of summands. It follows at once from (2.21) that

$$m(N) = r,$$

where r is the number of summands in the canonical representation of N. Moreover, it is easily proved by induction that

(3.7)
$$R(r, N) = 1.$$

As for M(N), it follows from (2.21) that

(3.8)
$$M(N) \leq M(F_{k_1-k_2+2} + \cdots + F_{k_{r-1}-k_r+2}) + [\frac{1}{2}k_r],$$

where

$$N = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_r}$$

is the canonical representation. Now, by Theorem 2,

123

$$M(F_k) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence by (3.8),

$$M(F_{k_1} + F_{k_2}) \le \left[\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}k_2\right] + 1$$
.

Again, applying (3.8), we get

$$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{F}_{k_1} + \mathrm{F}_{k_2} + \mathrm{F}_{k_3}) \, \leq \, \left[\tfrac{1}{2} (k_1 - k_2) \right] \, + \left[\tfrac{1}{2} (k_2 - k_3) \right] \, + \, \left[\tfrac{1}{2} k_2 \right] \, + \, 2 \; .$$

It is clear that in general we have

$$(3.9) \quad M(N) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)\right] + \cdots + \left[\frac{1}{2}(k_{r-1} - k_r)\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}k_r\right] + r - 1,$$

so that

(3.10)
$$M(N) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}k_1\right] + r - 1$$
.

We note also that (2.21) implies

(3.11)
$$R(M(N), N) = 1$$
.

We may state

Theorem 3. Let

(3.12)
$$N = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_r}$$

be the canonical representation of N. Let m(N) denote the minimum number of summands in any Fibonacci representation of N and let M(N) denote the maximum number of summands. Then m(N) = r and M(N) satisfies (3.9). Moreover,

(3.13)
$$R(m(N), N) = R(M(N), N) = 1.$$

It can be shown by examples that (3.9) need not be an equality when r > 1.

4. While Theorem 1 theoretically enables one to compute R(t,N) for arbitrary t,N, the results are usually very complicated. Simpler results can be obtained when the k_i in the canonical representation

(4.1)
$$N = F_{k_1} + \cdots + F_{k_r}$$

have the same parity. In the first place, if all the $\,k_{_{\dot{1}}}\,$ are odd, then, by (2.10),

$${\rm R}(t, \ {\rm F}_{{\rm k}_1} \ + \ \cdots \ + \ {\rm F}_{{\rm k}_r}) \ = \ {\rm R}(t, \ {\rm F}_{{\rm k}_{1}-1} \ + \ \cdots \ + \ {\rm F}_{{\rm k}_{r}-1}) \ .$$

There is therefore no loss in generality in assuming that all the $\,k_{\,j}^{\,}$ are even It will be convenient to use the following notation. Let N have the canonical representation

(4.2)
$$N = F_{2k_1} + \cdots + F_{2k_r}$$
,

where

(4.3)
$$k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1$$
.

Then, by (2.21) and (2.10),

(4.4)
$$R(t,N) = R(t-1, F_{2k_1-2k_r} + \cdots + F_{2k_{r-1}-2k_r})$$

$$k_r + \sum_{j=2} R(t-j, F_{2k_1-2k_r+2} + \cdots + F_{2k_{r-1}-2k_r+2}).$$

Put

(4.5)
$$j_s = k_s - k_{s-1}$$
 (s = 1, ..., r - 1); $j_r = k_r$

and

(4.6)
$$f_r(t) = f(t; j_1, \dots, j_r) = R(t, N)$$
.

Then (4.4) becomes

By (2.18), we have

$$\begin{split} & \text{R(t, } \textbf{F}_{2k_1\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}}\!+\!2} \,\, + \, \cdots \,\, + \, \textbf{F}_{2k_{\mathbf{r}-1}\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}}\!+\!2}) \\ & = \, \textbf{R(t, } \textbf{F}_{2k_1\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}}} \,\, + \, \cdots \,\, + \, \textbf{F}_{2k_{\mathbf{r}-1}\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}}}) \\ & \quad + \, \textbf{R(t}\!-\!k_{\mathbf{r}-1}\!+\!k_{\mathbf{r}}\!-\!1; \,\, \textbf{F}_{2k_1\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}-1}\!+\!2}\!+\!\cdots \\ & \quad + \, \textbf{F}_{2k_{\mathbf{r}-2}\!-\!2k_{\mathbf{r}-1}\!+\!2}) \,\, , \end{split}$$

so that

(4.8)
$$f(t; j_1, \dots, j_{r-2}, j_{r-1} + 1)$$

= $f(t; j_1, \dots, j_{r-2}, j_{r-1}) + f(t - j_{r-1} - 1; j_1, \dots, j_{r-3}, j_{r-2} + 1).$

If we put

(4.9)
$$F_{r}(x) = F(x; j_{1}, \dots, j_{r}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} f(t; j_{1}, \dots, j_{r}) x^{t},$$

it follows from (4.7) that (for r > 1),

(4.10)
$$F(x; j_1, \dots, j_r) = xF(x; j_1, \dots, j_{r-1}) + \frac{x(x - x^r)}{1 - x} F(x; j_1, \dots, j_{r-2}, j_{r-1} + 1).$$

Similarly, by (4.8),

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(4.11)} & \text{F(x; } j_1, \cdots, j_{r-2}, j_{r-1} + 1) \\ & = & \text{F(x; } j_1, \cdots, j_{r-2}, j_{r-1}) + x \\ \end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{ll} j_{r-1}^{+1} \\ \text{F(x; } j_1, \cdots, j_{r-3}, j_{r-2} + 1), \end{array}$$

which yields

For brevity, put

(4.13)
$$G_r(x) = F(x; j_1, \dots, j_{r-1}, j_r + 1),$$

so that (4.10) becomes

(4.14)
$$F_r(x) - x F_{r-1}(x) = \frac{x(x - x^r)}{1 - x} G_{r-1}(x),$$

while (4.11) becomes

(4.15)
$$G_{r-1}(x) = F_{r-1}(x) + x^{j_{r-1}+1} G_{r-2}(x).$$

Combining (4.14) with (4.15), we get

(4.16)
$$G_{\mathbf{r}}(x) - \frac{x(1-x^{j_{r+1}})}{1-x} G_{r-1}(x) + x^{j_{r-1}+2} G_{r-2}(x) = 0$$
.

Thus $G_{\mathbf{r}}(x)$ satisfies a recurrence of the second order. Note that

$$G_{1}(x) = F(x; j_{1} + 1) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} R(t, F_{2j_{1}+2}) x^{t}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{j_{1}+1} x^{t} = \frac{x(1-x^{j_{1}+1})}{1-x},$$

$$\label{eq:G2} \mathrm{G_2(x)} \ = \ \mathrm{F(x;} \ j_1, \ j_2 \ + \ 1) \ = \ \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \ \mathrm{R(t,} \ \mathrm{F_2}_{j_1\!+\!2j_2\!+\!2} \ + \ \mathrm{F_2}_{j_2\!+\!2}) \ .$$

Now, by (2.21),

$$R(t, F_{2j_1+2j_2+2} + F_{2j_2+2}) = R(t-1, F_{2j_1+1}) + \sum_{u=2}^{j_2+1} R(t-u, F_{2j_1+2}),$$

so that

$$G_{2}(x) = x \sum_{t=1}^{j_{1}} x^{t} + \sum_{u=2}^{j_{2}+1} x^{u} \sum_{t=1}^{j_{1}+1} x^{t}$$

$$= \frac{x^{2}(1-x^{j_{1}})}{1-x} + \frac{x^{2}(1-x^{j_{2}})}{1-x} \frac{x(1-x^{j_{1}+1})}{1-x} .$$

Hence, if we take $G_0(x) = 1$, Eq. (4.16) holds for all $r \ge 2$.

We may state

Theorem 5. With the notation (4.2), (4.6), (4.9), (4.12), $f_r(t) = R(t,N)$ is determined by means of the recurrence (4.16) with

$$G_0(x) = 1, G_1(x) = \frac{x(1 - x^{j_1+1})}{1 - x}$$

and

$$F_r(x) = G_r(x) - x^{j_r+1} G_{r-1}(x)$$
.

It is easy to show that $G_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})$ is equal to the determinant

Marcl

(4.17)
$$D_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x[j_1+1] & -x^{j_1+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & x[j_2+1] & -x^{j_2+2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x[j_3+1] & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x[j_r+1] \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$[j] = (1 - x^{j})/(1 - x).$$

Indeed,

$$D_1(x) = x[j_1 + 1] = G_1(x) ,$$

$$D_2(x) = x^2[j_1 + 1][j_2 + 1] - x^{j_1+2} = x^3[j_1 + 1][j_2] + x^2[j_1] = G_2(x) ,$$

and

(4.19)
$$D_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = x[j_{\mathbf{r}} + 1]D_{\mathbf{r}-1}(x) - x^{j_{\mathbf{r}-1}+2}D_{\mathbf{r}-2}(x) .$$

Since the recurrence (4.16) and (4.19) are the same, it follows that $G_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = D_{\mathbf{r}}(x)$.

5. When

$$j_1 = j_2 = \cdots = j_r = j$$
,

we can obtain an explicit formula for $G_{\mathbf{r}}(x)$. The recurrence (4.16) reduces to

(5.2)
$$G_r(x) - x[j-1]G_{r-1}(x) + x^{j+2}G_{r-2}(x) = 0 \quad (r \ge 2)$$
.

Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r(x) z^r = 1 + [j+1]xz + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} G_r(x) z^r$$

$$= 1 + [j+1]xz + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \{x[j+1]G_{r-1}(x) - x^{j+2}G_{r-2}(x)\} z^r$$

$$= 1 + ([j+1]xz + x^{j+2}z^2) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r(x) z^r ,$$

so that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r(x) z^r = (1 - [j + 1] xz + x^{j+2} z^2)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} x^s z^s ([j + 1] - x^{j+1} z)^s$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} x^s z^s \sum_{t=0}^{s} (-1)^t {s \choose t} [j + 1]^{s-t} x^{(j+1)t} z^t .$$

Hence

(5.3)
$$G_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = \sum_{2t \le \mathbf{r}}^{\infty} (-1)^{t} {r - t \choose t} [j + 1]^{r-2t} x^{r+jt}.$$

Finally, we compute $F_r(x)$ by using

(5.4)
$$F_{r}(x) = G_{r}(x) - x^{j+1} G_{r-1}(x).$$

When j = 1, we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} G_r(x)^r = \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1-x^2z)} = \frac{1}{1-x} \left(\frac{1}{1-xz} - \frac{1}{1-x^2z} \right) ,$$

which gives

(5.5)
$$G_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = x^{2}[r] = \frac{x^{r}(1 - x^{r})}{1 - x}$$
 $(j = 1; r \ge 1)$

(5.6)
$$F_r(x) = x^r$$
 $(j = 1)$.

In this case, we evidently have

$$N = F_{2r} + F_{2r-2} + \cdots + F_2 = F_{2r+1} - 1$$
,

so that (5.6) is in agreement with (3.4).

For certain applications, it is of interest to take

(5.7)
$$j_1 = \cdots = j_{r-1} = j; \quad j_r = k$$
.

Then $G_1(x)$, $G_2(x)$, ..., $G_{r-1}(x)$ are determined by

(5.8)
$$G_{s}(x) = \sum_{2t \le s} (-1)^{t} {s-t \choose t} [j-1]^{s-2t} x^{s+jt}$$
 $(1 \le s < r),$

while

(5.9)
$$G'_{r}(x) = x[k-1]G_{r-1}(x) - x^{j+2}G_{r-2}(x)$$
,

where

$$G'_{r}(x) = G_{r}(x; j, \dots, j, k)$$
.

Also,

(5.10)
$$F'_{\mathbf{r}}(x) = F_{\mathbf{r}}(x; j, \dots, j, k) = x[k]G_{\mathbf{r}-1}(x) - x^{j+2}G_{\mathbf{r}-2}(x)$$
.

We shall now make some applications of these results. Since

$$L_{2i+1}F_{2k} = F_{2k+2i} + F_{2k+2i-2} + \cdots + F_{2k-2i}$$
,

it follows from (5.10) that

$$(5.11) \qquad \sum_{t} R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{2k}) x^{t} = x^{2j+1}[2j][k-j] - x^{2j+2}[2j-1] \qquad (j < k).$$

(Note that formula (6.17) of [1] should read

$$R(L_{2i+1}F_{2k}) = 2j(k - j) - (2j - 1)$$

in agreement with (5.11).) If we rewrite (5.11) as

$$\sum_{t} R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{2k})x^{t} = x^{2j+1} \{1 + x + \cdots + x^{k-j-1} + (x + \cdots + x^{2j-1})(x + \cdots + x^{k-j-1})\}$$

we can easily evaluate $\,R(t,\,L_{2\,j+1}F_{2k}^{}).\,\,$ In particular, we note that

(5.12)
$$R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{2k}) > 0$$
 (j < k)

if and only if

$$2j + 1 \le t \le 3j + k - 1$$
.

Note that, for k = 3j,

$$\sum_{t} R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{6j})x^{t} = x^{2j+1} \{1 + x + \cdots + x^{2j-1} + (x + x^{2} + \cdots + x^{2j-1})^{2}\}.$$

This example shows that the function R(t,N) takes on arbitrarily large values. When j=k, we have

$$L_{2k+1}F_{2k} = F_{4k+1} - 1$$
,

so that, by (3.4),

(5.13)
$$\sum_{t} R(t, L_{2k+1}F_{2k}) x^{t} = x^{2k}.$$

Next, since

$$L_{2j+1}F_{2k} = F_{2j+2k} + F_{2j+2k-2} + \cdots + F_{2j-2k-2}$$
 (j > k),

we get

(5.14)
$$\sum_{t} R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{2k})x^{t} = x^{2k}[j-k-1][2k-1] - x^{2k+1}[2k-2]$$

$$(j > k > 1).$$

Corresponding to (5.15), we now have

(5.15)
$$R(t, L_{2j+1}F_{2k}) > 0 \qquad (j > k > 1),$$

if and only if

$$2k \le t \le j + 3k - 2.$$

The case k = 1 is not included in (5.14), because (5.5) does not hold when r = 0. For the excluded case, since

$$L_{2j+1} = F_{2j+2} + F_{2j}$$
,

we get, by Theorem 1,

(5.16)
$$\sum_{t} R(t, L_{2j+1}) x^{t} = x^{2} + (x^{2} + x^{3}) \frac{x - x^{j}}{1 - x} \qquad (j \ge 1).$$

For t = 1, Eq. (5.16) reduces to the known result:

$$R(L_{2i+1}) = 2i - 1$$
.

In [1] a number of formulas of the type

$$R(F_{2n+1}^2 - 1) = F_{2n+1}$$
 $(n \ge 0)$, $R(F_{2n}^2) = F_{2n}$ $(n \ge 1)$

were obtained. They depend on the identities

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{F_4} \; + \; \mathbf{F_8} \; + \; \cdots \; + \; \mathbf{F_{4n}} \; = \; \mathbf{F_{4n+1}^2} \; - \; \mathbf{1} \; , \\ &\mathbf{F_2} \; + \; \mathbf{F_6} \; + \; \cdots \; + \; \mathbf{F_{4n+2}} \; = \; \mathbf{F_{2n}^2} \end{aligned} \; .$$

We now apply (5.10) to these identities. Then $G_r(x)$ is determined by

(5.17)
$$G_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{2t \le \mathbf{r}} (-1)^t {r - t \choose t} [3]^{\mathbf{r} - 2t} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r} + 2t} .$$

Thus (5.10) yields

(5.18)
$$\sum_{t} R(t, F_{4n+1}^2 - 1)x^t = x(1 + x)G_{n-1}(x) - x^4G_{n-2}(x),$$

(5.19)
$$\sum_{t} R(t, F_{2n}^{2}) x^{t} = xG_{n-1}(x) - x^{4}G_{n-2}(x) ,$$

with $G_{n-1}(x)$, $G_{n-2}(x)$ given by (5.17).

It may be of interest to note that

$$G_{r}(1) = \sum_{2t \le r} (-1)^{t} {r - t \choose t} 3^{r-2t} = F_{2r+2}$$
.

- 6. The following problems may be of some interest.
 - A. Evaluate M(N) in terms of the canonical representation of N.
 - B. Determine whether $R(t, N) \ge 1$ for all t in $m(N) \le t \le M(N)$.
 - C. Does R(t, N) have the unimodal property? That is, for given N, does there exist an integer $\mu(N)$ such that

$$R(t, N) \le R(t + 1, N)$$
 $(m(N) \le t \le \mu(N)),$ $R(t, N) \ge R(t + 1, N)$ $(\mu(N) \le t \le M(N))?$

D. Is R(t, N) logarithmically concave? That is, does it satisfy

$$R^{2}(t,N) \ge R(t-1,N)R(t+1,N)$$
 (m(N) < t < M(N))?

E. Find the general solution of the equation

$$R(t, N) = 1.$$

REFERENCES

- 1. L. Carlitz, "Fibonacci Representations," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 6 (1968), pp. 193-220.
- 2. D. A. Klarner, "Partitions of N into Distinct Fibonacci Numbers," <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>, Vol. 6 (1968), pp. 235-244.